

2022 Large Language Model Bootcamp

# **Practical Tips on BERT Applications**



### Xuan Zhang June 30, 2022



## Improve BERT by

- I. Optimizing BERT pre-training
- II. Optimizing BERT fine-tuning
- III. Hyperparameter Search

#### **A Primer in BERTology: What We Know About How BERT Works**

#### **Anna Rogers**

Center for Social Data Science University of Copenhagen arogers@sodas.ku.dk

#### Olga Kovaleva

#### Anna Rumshisky

Dept. of Computer Science Dept. of Computer Science University of Massachusetts Lowell University of Massachusetts Lowell okovalev@cs.uml.edu arum@cs.uml.edu

### Alternative training objectives — MLM alternatives

How to mask

Static masking vs. dynamic masking (Liu et al., 2019b) Replace MASK token w/ [UNK] (Clinchant et al., 2019)

#### What to mask lacksquare

Full words vs. word-pieces (Devlin et al., 2019; Cui et al., 2019) Spans vs. single tokens (Joshi et al., 2020)

Phrases & named entities (Sun et al., 2019b)



Alternative training objectives — MLM alternatives (continued)

• Where to mask

Arbitrary text streams vs. Sentence pairs (Lample and Conneau, 2019)

Alternatives to masking

Deletion, infilling, sentence permutation, document rotation (Lewis et al., 2019) Predict whether a token is capitalized and whether it occurs in other segments (Sun et al., 2019c) Train on different permutations of word order, maximizing the prob of original order (Yang et al., 2019)



**Alternative training objectives – NSP alternatives** 

Removing NSL does not hurt or slightly improves performance.

Predict both the next and previous sentences (Wang et al., 2019a; Cheng et al., 2019)

Sentence reordering and sentence distance prediction (ERNIE 2.0)



**Incorporate External Knowledge** 

Incorporate explicit linguistic information  $\bullet$ 

Explicitly supply structured knowledge Mask named entities rather than random words (Sun et al., 2019b, c)

Include entity embeddings as input for training BERT (Peters et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2019)



Why does it help?



Pre-trained weights help BERT find wider optima in fine-tuning on MRPC (right) than training from scratch (left).





Taking more layers into account

*Kovaleva et al., 2019:* During fine-tuning, the most changes occur in the last two layers, and those changes cause self-attention to focus on [SEP] rather than linguistically interpretable patterns.

Learn a complementary representation of the information in deep & output layers (Yang and Zhao, 2019)

Use a weighted combination of all layers instead of the final one (Su and Cheng, 2019; Kondratyuk and Straka, 2019)



**Two-stage fine-tuning** 

Introduce an intermediate supervised training stage between pre-training and fine-tuning.



#### Regularization

Jiang et al., 2019:

Encourage output of the model not to change much, when injecting a small perturbation to the input.

Update the model only within a small neighborhood of the previous iterate.



#### Adapter





### **Architecture Choices**

- Larger hidden representation size is consistently better
- *#attention heads* is not as significant as *#layers*
- Information flow through layers: task-invariant at initial layers -> task-specific at higher layers; a deeper model has more capacity to encode task-invariant info
- Many self-attention heads learn the same patterns
- Benefits can be obtained with more attention sublayers at the bottom, and more feedforward sublayers at the top (Press et al., 2020)

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Training Regime**

- Large batch training (8k, 32k)
- Normalization of the trained [CLS] (Zhou et al., 2019)
- Recursive training: shallow layers are trained first and then copied to deeper layers

-> 25% faster (Gong et al., 2019)

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Random Seeds (weight initialization, data order)

	MRPC	RTE	CoLA	SST
BERT (Phang et al., 2018)	90.7	70.0	62.1	92.5
BERT (Liu et al., 2019)	88.0	70.4	60.6	93.2
	01.1		<b>/ /</b>	0 - 4

BERT (ours)	<u>91.4</u>	77.3	67.6	<b>95.</b> 1
STILTs (Phang et al., 2018)	90.9	83.4	62.1	93.2
XLNet (Yang et al., 2019)	89.2	83.8	63.6	95.6
RoBERTa (Liu et al., 2019)	90.9	86.6	68.0	96.4
ALBERT (Lan et al., 2019)	90.9	<u>89.2</u>	<u>71.4</u>	<u>96.9</u>

\* Ours: Tuning only the random seeds

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **Random Seeds** (weight initialization, data order)

Frequently evaluating the model on validation data leads to higher expected validation values.

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Early Stopping (save computations in hyperparameter search)

Start many, stop early, continue some

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure from automl.org

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

- 1. Though there exist a large number of BERT modifications, gains are often marginal, significant testing are rare.
- 2. Performance improvements of new models may be within variation induced by environment factors & random seeds.
- 3. It is nontrivial to tune random seeds.