### Lecture 8: Priority Queues and Heaps

Michael Dinitz

#### September 23, 2021 601.433/633 Introduction to Algorithms

### Introduction

Priority Queues / Heaps: Like a queue/stack, but instead of FIFO/LIFO, by priority

- ▶ Insert(**H**, **x**): insert element **x** into heap **H**.
- Extract-Min(**H**): remove and return an element with smallest key
- Decrease-Key $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})$ : decrease the key of  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{k}$ .
- $Meld(H_1, H_2)$ : replace heaps  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  with their union

Extra Operations:

- Find-Min( $\mathbf{H}$ ): return the element with smallest key
- Delete( $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x}$ ): delete element  $\mathbf{x}$  from heap  $\mathbf{H}$

Min-Heap, but can also do Max-Heap.

### Introduction

Priority Queues / Heaps: Like a queue/stack, but instead of FIFO/LIFO, by priority

- Insert( $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x}$ ): insert element  $\mathbf{x}$  into heap  $\mathbf{H}$ .
- Extract-Min(**H**): remove and return an element with smallest key
- Decrease-Key $(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})$ : decrease the key of  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{k}$ .
- $Meld(H_1, H_2)$ : replace heaps  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  with their union

Extra Operations:

- Find-Min( $\mathbf{H}$ ): return the element with smallest key
- Delete( $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x}$ ): delete element  $\mathbf{x}$  from heap  $\mathbf{H}$

Min-Heap, but can also do Max-Heap.

Note:  $\mathbf{x}$  is a *pointer* to an element. No way to lookup, so need a pointer to an element to change it.

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	OCI)	()(h)	0(1)	0 <sup>c</sup> l)

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	O(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	0(1)

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	<b>O</b> (1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	<b>O</b> (1)
Sorted Array				

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	O(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	0(1)
Sorted Array	O(n)	O(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (n)

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	0(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	0(1)
Sorted Array	O(n)	O(1)	O(n)	O(n)
Balanced Search Tree				

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	O(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	0(1)
Sorted Array	O(n)	O(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (n)
Balanced Search Tree	O(log n)	O(log n)	O(log n)	O(n)

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	O(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	<b>O</b> (1)
Sorted Array	O(n)	O(1)	O(n)	O(n)
Balanced Search Tree	O(log n)	O(log n)	O(log n)	<b>O</b> (n)

I

Goal: get as many of these to O(1) as possible

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	O(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	0(1)
Sorted Array	O(n)	O(1)	O(n)	O(n)
Balanced Search Tree	O(log n)	O(log n)	O(log n)	<b>O</b> (n)

Goal: get as many of these to O(1) as possible

Question: Can we make Insert and Extract-Min both O(1), even amortized?

1

	Insert	Extract-Min	Decrease-Key	Meld
Linked List	O(1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	0(1)
Sorted Array	O(n)	<b>O</b> (1)	O(n)	<b>O</b> (n)
Balanced Search Tree	O(log n)	O(log n)	O(log n)	<b>O</b> (n)

Goal: get as many of these to O(1) as possible

**Question:** Can we make Insert and Extract-Min both O(1), even amortized? **No!** Sorting lower bound. But maybe can make one O(1), other  $O(\log n)$ ?

1

State of the art: *strict Fibonacci Heaps*.

• Too complicated for this class, not practical. See CLRS 19 for Fibonacci Heaps.

Today: binary heaps (should be review), then binomial heaps

Binomial heaps not quite as complicated as Fibonacci heaps, many of same core ideas

## **Binary Heaps**

- Complete binary tree, except possibly at bottom level.
- Heap order: key of any node no larger than key of its children.



## **Binary Heaps**

- Complete binary tree, except possibly at bottom level.
- Heap order: key of any node no larger than key of its children.



Properties:

- Since (almost) complete binary tree, depth O(log n)
- Min must be at root

Michael Dinitz

Representation:

- Pointers to root and rightmost leaf
- Every node has pointers to parent and children

 $Insert(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x})$ 

Preserve heap *structure*: insert **x** into next open spot (bottom right, or left of new level if bottom level full)

Might violate heap order!



6

8

Michael Dinitz

7

## $Insert(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x})$

Preserve heap *structure*: insert **x** into next open spot (bottom right, or left of new level if bottom level full)

• Might violate heap *order*!



6

6 10 8 12 18 11 25 "Swim up": as long as x smaller than its parent, <sup>21</sup> <sup>17</sup> with <sup>19</sup> <sup>7</sup> (violates heap order)



7 8 Michael Dinitz Lecture 8:

## Insert(H, x)

Preserve heap *structure*: insert **x** into next open spot (bottom right, or left of new level if bottom level full)

Might violate heap order!



6





Running time:  $O(\log n)$  worst case (also amortized) via depth

8

7 Michael Dinitz

Min is definitely at root. How to remove it while still have binary tree?

Min is definitely at root. How to remove it while still have binary tree?

- Swap root with final heap element, remove former root.
- Sink down: swap root with smaller of its children until heap order restored



Min is definitely at root. How to remove it while still have binary tree?

- Swap root with final heap element, remove former root.
- Sink down: swap root with smaller of its children until heap order restored



Running time:  $O(\log n)$  worst case (via depth). Amortized: O(1) (not obvious)

Michael Dinitz

Decrease key of  $\mathbf{x}$  to  $\mathbf{k}$ , "swim up" until heap order restored.

Running time: **O(log n)** (depth)

Assume both heaps have size **n**.

• Obvious approach: insert each element of  $H_2$  into  $H_1$ . Time:  $O(n \log n)$ 

Assume both heaps have size **n**.

• Obvious approach: insert each element of  $H_2$  into  $H_1$ . Time:  $O(n \log n)$ 

Better:

- ▶ Insert all elements of **H**<sub>2</sub> all at once (not fixing heap order)
- Instead of fixing by swimming up: iterate from bottom up and sink down to fix heap.



Assume both heaps have size **n**.

• Obvious approach: insert each element of  $H_2$  into  $H_1$ . Time:  $O(n \log n)$ 

Better:

- ▶ Insert all elements of **H**<sub>2</sub> all at once (not fixing heap order)
- Instead of fixing by swimming up: iterate from bottom up and sink down to fix heap.

Correctness: ends up in heap order (induction, or contradiction)

Assume both heaps have size **n**.

• Obvious approach: insert each element of  $H_2$  into  $H_1$ . Time:  $O(n \log n)$ 

Better:

- ▶ Insert all elements of **H**<sub>2</sub> all at once (not fixing heap order)
- Instead of fixing by swimming up: iterate from bottom up and sink down to fix heap.
   Correctness: ends up in heap order (induction, or contradiction)
   Running Time:
  - Inserting: O(n) total

## $\mathsf{Meld}(H_1,H_2)$

Assume both heaps have size **n**.

• Obvious approach: insert each element of  $H_2$  into  $H_1$ . Time:  $O(n \log n)$ 

Better:

- ▶ Insert all elements of **H**<sub>2</sub> all at once (not fixing heap order)
- Instead of fixing by swimming up: iterate from bottom up and sink down to fix heap.

**Correctness:** ends up in heap order (induction, or contradiction) **Running Time:** 

- Inserting: O(n) total
- Sinking down:
  - Nodes at height **h** might have to sink down **h**.
  - At most n/2<sup>h</sup> nodes at height h



Assume both heaps have size **n**.

• Obvious approach: insert each element of  $H_2$  into  $H_1$ . Time:  $O(n \log n)$ 

Better:

- ▶ Insert all elements of **H**<sub>2</sub> all at once (not fixing heap order)
- Instead of fixing by swimming up: iterate from bottom up and sink down to fix heap.

**Correctness:** ends up in heap order (induction, or contradiction) **Running Time:** 

- Inserting: O(n) total
- Sinking down:
  - Nodes at height **h** might have to sink down **h**.
  - At most n/2<sup>h</sup> nodes at height h line the soles of height h line the soles of height h

$$\sum_{h=0}^{\log n} h\left(\frac{n}{2^h}\right) = n \sum_{h=0}^{\log n} \frac{h}{2^h} \le O(n)$$

Weights: w(x) = depth of x

▶ Root has weight **0**, its children have weight **1**, etc.

Potential:  $\Phi(\mathbf{H}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})$ 

Weights: w(x) = depth of x

Root has weight 0, its children have weight 1, etc. tree DD test ID

Potential:  $\Phi(\mathbf{H}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})$ 

Insert:  $\Delta \Phi = O(\log n) \implies$  amortized cost  $\leq O(\log n) + O(\log n) = O(\log n)$ 

Weights: w(x) = depth of x

• Root has weight **0**, its children have weight **1**, etc.

Potential:  $\Phi(H) = \sum_{x} w(x)$ 

Insert:  $\Delta \Phi = O(\log n) \implies$  amortized cost  $\leq O(\log n) + O(\log n) = O(\log n)$ 

Extract-Min:

- True cost: height  $h = \Theta(\log n)$  of tree, plus O(1) (for initial swap).
- $\Delta \Phi$ : one less node at depth  $h \implies \Delta \Phi = -h$
- Amortized cost: h + O(1) h = O(1).

trac p trac DD

Weights: w(x) = depth of x

▶ Root has weight **0**, its children have weight **1**, etc.

Potential:  $\Phi(\mathbf{H}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})$ 

 $\mathsf{Insert:} \ \Delta \Phi = O(\log n) \implies \mathsf{amortized} \ \mathsf{cost} \le O(\log n) + O(\log n) = O(\log n)$ 

Extract-Min:

- True cost: height  $h = \Theta(\log n)$  of tree, plus O(1) (for initial swap).
- $\Delta \Phi$ : one less node at depth  $h \implies \Delta \Phi = -h$
- Amortized cost:  $\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{O}(1) \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{O}(1)$ .

Uses Inserts to "pay for" Extract-Mins.

mi insuis, me extructioning

hotel fire E dem, the M2 anothind inext questied

#### Improvements

Downsides of binary heaps:

- Do at least as many Inserts as Extract-Mins! Want O(1) Insert,  $O(\log n)$  Extract-Min
- Meld in **O**(**n**) is better than trivial, but still not great.

#### Improvements

Downsides of binary heaps:

- Do at least as many Inserts as Extract-Mins! Want O(1) Insert,  $O(\log n)$  Extract-Min
- Meld in O(n) is better than trivial, but still not great.

**Binomial Heaps:** 

- Get Insert down to **O(1)** (amortized)
- Meld in O(log n) (worst-case and amortized)
- Downside: O(log n) Extract-Min, O(log n) Find-Min

#### Improvements

Downsides of binary heaps:

- ▶ Do at least as many Inserts as Extract-Mins! Want O(1) Insert, O(log n) Extract-Min
- Meld in O(n) is better than trivial, but still not great.

**Binomial Heaps:** 

- Get Insert down to **O(1)** (amortized)
- Meld in O(log n) (worst-case and amortized)
- Downside: O(log n) Extract-Min, O(log n) Find-Min

Fibonacci Heaps:

Everything O(1) (amortized) except O(log n) Extract-Min (amortized)

### **Binomial Heaps**

Not based on binary tree anymore! Based on binomial tree.

### **Binomial Heaps**

Not based on binary tree anymore! Based on binomial tree.

- ► **B**<sub>0</sub> = single node.
- $B_k$  = one  $B_{k-1}$  linked to another  $B_{k-1}$ .



### Structure Lemma

#### Lemma

The order k binomial tree  $B_k$  has the following properties:

 $\binom{k}{i}$ 

- 1. Its height is **k**.
- 2. It has  $\mathbf{2^k}$  nodes
- 3. The degree of the root is  ${\bf k}$

4. If we delete the root, we get k binomial trees  $B_{k-1}, \ldots, B_0$ .



### **Binomial Heap**

## Definition A binomial heap is a collection of binomial trees so that each tree is heap ordered, and there is exactly $\mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{1}$ tree of order $\mathbf{k}$ for each integer $\mathbf{k}$ .

Keep roots of trees in linked list, from smallest order (not key!) to largest



## **Binomial Heap**

#### Definition

A binomial heap is a collection of binomial trees so that each tree is heap ordered, and there is exactly **0** or  $\mathbf{1}$  tree of order **k** for each integer **k**.

Keep roots of trees in linked list, from smallest order (not key!) to largest



With **n** items, in the choices about which binomial trees exist in heap! • Write **n** in binary:  $\mathbf{b}_{a}\mathbf{b}_{a-1}\dots\mathbf{b}_{1}\mathbf{b}_{0}$ .

- Tree  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}}$  exists if and only if  $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{1}$

## **Binomial Heap**

#### Definition

A binomial heap is a collection of binomial trees so that each tree is heap ordered, and there is exactly **0** or  $\mathbf{1}$  tree of order **k** for each integer **k**.

Keep roots of trees in linked list, from smallest order (not key!) to largest



With n items, no choices about which binomial trees exist in heap!

- Write **n** in binary:  $b_a b_{a-1} \dots b_1 b_0$ .
- Tree B<sub>k</sub> exists if and only if b<sub>k</sub> = 1
- $\implies$  at most log n trees, and by lemma each has height  $\leq \log n$

Analyze all operations both worst-case and amortized.

Analyze all operations both worst-case and amortized.

Potential function:  $\Phi(H) = \#$  trees in H

- Initially 0
- Never negative

Analyze all operations both worst-case and amortized.

Potential function:  $\Phi(H) = \#$  trees in H

- Initially 0
- Never negative

Find-Min(**H**): Scan through roots of trees in **H**, return min

Analyze all operations both worst-case and amortized.

Potential function:  $\Phi(H) = \#$  trees in H

- Initially 0
- Never negative

Find-Min(**H**): Scan through roots of trees in **H**, return min

• Correct: each tree heap-ordered, so global min one of the roots

Analyze all operations both worst-case and amortized.

Potential function:  $\Phi(H) = \#$  trees in H

- Initially 0
- Never negative

Find-Min(H): Scan through roots of trees in H, return min

- Correct: each tree heap-ordered, so global min one of the roots
- Worst-case: O(log n)
- Amortized: doesn't change potential, also O(log n).

Key operation: we'll use Meld to do Insert and Extract-Min

Key operation: we'll use Meld to do Insert and Extract-Min

Warmup:  $H_1, H_2$  both single trees of same order k.

- Union has size  $2^{k} + 2^{k} = 2^{k+1}$ : just a single  $B_{k+1}$
- Easy to make a  $B_{k+1}$  out of two  $B_k$ 's!



Key operation: we'll use Meld to do Insert and Extract-Min

Warmup:  $H_1, H_2$  both single trees of same order k.

- Union has size  $2^{k} + 2^{k} = 2^{k+1}$ : just a single  $B_{k+1}$
- Easy to make a  $B_{k+1}$  out of two  $B_k$ 's!



## $Meld(H_1, H_2)$ : Link

Key operation: we'll use Meld to do Insert and Extract-Min

Warmup:  $H_1, H_2$  both single trees of same order k.

- Union has size  $2^{k} + 2^{k} = 2^{k+1}$ : just a single  $B_{k+1}$
- Easy to make a  $B_{k+1}$  out of two  $B_k$ 's!



Link of two trees.

- Worst-case time: O(1) (create a single link). Normalize: call 1
- $\Delta \Phi$ : two trees to one: -1
- Amortized cost:
  - 1-1=0=O(1).

# $Meld(H_1, H_2)$ : General Case

(Almost) just like binary addition!



Н,

 $H_2$ 

Easy to prove correct (exercise for home).

Running time:

- Worst case: O(1) per "order"  $k \implies \leq O(\log n)$
- Amortized: Potential does not go up, but could stay the same

   O(log n) amortized

## Insert(H, x)

Use Meld:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Create new heap H' with one  $B_0$  consisting of just x
- ► Meld(**H**, **H**′)

Correctness: Obvious

## Insert(H, x)

Use Meld:

- ${\scriptstyle \blacktriangleright}$  Create new heap H' with one  $B_0$  consisting of just x
- ► Meld(**H**, **H**′)

Correctness: Obvious

Running Time:

Worst case: O(log n) (via Meld)

## $Insert(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x})$

Use Meld:

- ${\scriptstyle \blacktriangleright}$  Create new heap H' with one  $B_0$  consisting of just x
- ► Meld(**H**, **H**′)

Correctness: Obvious

Running Time:

- Worst case: O(log n) (via Meld)
- Amortized:
  - Like incrementing a binary counter!

## $Insert(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{x})$

Use Meld:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Create new heap H' with one  $B_0$  consisting of just x
- ► Meld(**H**, **H**′)

Correctness: Obvious

Running Time:

- Worst case: **O(log n)** (via Meld)
- Amortized:
  - Like incrementing a binary counter!
  - If we link **k** trees, potential goes down by  $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{1}$
  - Cost = # links plus 1 (for making new heap)
  - Amortized cost =  $k + 1 + \Delta \Phi = k + 1 (k 1) = 2 = O(1)$

Use Meld again!

- **O(log n)** to Find-Min: one of the roots.
- Delete and return this root: tree turns into a new heap!
- Meld with original heap (minus the tree)

Correctness: Obvious

Use Meld again!

- **O**(log n) to Find-Min: one of the roots.
- Delete and return this root: tree turns into a new heap!
- Meld with original heap (minus the tree)

Correctness: Obvious

Running Time:

- Worst-Case: O(log n) from creating new heap, Meld
- Amortized:
  - Potential can go up! But by at most log n
  - Amortized time at most O(log n) + log n = O(log n)