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## Introduction

Typically been considering "static" or "one-shot" problems: given input, compute correct output as efficiently as possible.

Data structures: sequence of operations!

- Dictionary: insert, insert, insert, lookup, insert, lookup, lookup, ...

Last time: analyzed the (worst-case) cost of each operation. What about (worst-case) cost of sequence of operations?

## Definition \& Example
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Example: 100 operations of cost $\mathbf{1}$, then $\mathbf{1}$ operation of cost $\mathbf{1 0 0}$

- Normal worst-case analysis: 100
- Amortized cost: 200/101 $\approx 2$


## Definition \& Example

## Definition

The amortized cost of a sequence of $\mathbf{n}$ operations is the total cost of the sequence divided by $\mathbf{n}$.
"Average cost per operation" (but no randomness!)
Example: 100 operations of cost $\mathbf{1}$, then $\mathbf{1}$ operation of cost $\mathbf{1 0 0}$

- Normal worst-case analysis: 100
- Amortized cost: 200/101 $\approx 2$

If we care about total time (e.g., using data structure in larger algorithm) then worst-case too pessimistic

## Amortized Algorithm

Still want worst-case, but worst-case over sequences rather than single operations.
Maybe only possible way to have an expensive operation is to have a bunch of cheap operations: amortized cost always small!

## Amortized Algorithm

Still want worst-case, but worst-case over sequences rather than single operations.
Maybe only possible way to have an expensive operation is to have a bunch of cheap operations: amortized cost always small!

## Definition

If the amortized cost of every sequence of $\mathbf{n}$ operations is at most $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})$, then the amortized cost or amortized complexity of the algorithm is at most $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})$.

# Example: Stack From Array 

## Stack Using Array

## Stack:

- Last In First Out (LIFO)
- Push: add element to stack

- Pop: Remove the most recently added element.
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## Stack:

- Last In First Out (LIFO)
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## Stack Using Array

Stack:

- Last In First Out (LIFO)
- Push: add element to stack
- Pop: Remove the most recently added element.

Building a stack with an array A:

- Initialize: top $=0$
- Push(x): A[top] = x; top++

- Pop: top--; Return A[top]


## End of Array

What if array is full ( $\mathbf{n}$ elements)?
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What if array is full ( $\mathbf{n}$ elements)?
Make new, bigger array, copy old array over

- Cost: free to create new array, each copy costs 1
- Worst case: a single Push could cost $\Omega(\mathbf{n})$ !
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## End of Array

What if array is full ( $\mathbf{n}$ elements)?
Make new, bigger array, copy old array over

- Cost: free to create new array, each copy costs 1
- Worst case: a single Push could cost $\Omega(\mathbf{n})$ !

New array has size $\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{1}$ :

- Sequence of $\mathbf{n}$ Push operations. Total cost: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\mathbf{n}^{2}\right)$.
- Amortized cost: $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{n})$ (same as worst single operation!)
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## Better Idea

Instead of increasing from $\mathbf{n}$ to $\mathbf{n + 1}$ : increase to $\mathbf{2 n}$
Consider any sequence of $\mathbf{n}$ operations.

- Have to double when array has size $2,4,8,16,32,64, \ldots,\lfloor\log n\rfloor$
- Total time spent doubling: at most $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{i}} \leq \mathbf{2 n}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{n})$
- Any operation that doesn't cause a doubling costs $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{1})$
- Total cost at most $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})+\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})$
- Amortized cost at most $\mathbf{O ( 1 )}$


## Better Idea

Instead of increasing from $\mathbf{n}$ to $\mathbf{n + 1}$ : increase to $\mathbf{2 n}$

Consider any sequence of $\mathbf{n}$ operations.

- Have to double when array has size $2,4, \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{3 2}, \mathbf{6 4}, \ldots,\lfloor\log n\rfloor$
- Total time spent doubling: at most $\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{i}} \leq \mathbf{2 n}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\mathbf{n})$
- Any operation that doesn't cause a doubling costs $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{1})$
- Total cost at most $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n})+\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{O ( 1 )}=\mathbf{O}(n)$
- Amortized cost at most $\mathbf{O ( 1 )}$

Amortized analysis explains why it's better to double than add 1!

# More Complicated Analysis: Piggy Banks and Potentials 

## Basic Bank: Informal

Can be hard to give good bound directly on total cost.

- Lots of variance: some operations very expensive, some very cheap.
- Idea: "smooth out" the operations.
- "Pay more" for cheap operations, "pay less" for expensive ops.
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## Basic Bank: Informal

Can be hard to give good bound directly on total cost.

- Lots of variance: some operations very expensive, some very cheap.
- Idea: "smooth out" the operations.
- "Pay more" for cheap operations, "pay less" for expensive ops.

Use a "bank" to keep track of this

- Cheap operation: add to the bank
- Expensive operation: take from the bank

Charge cheap operations more, use extra to pay for expensive operations

## Basic Bank: Formal

## Bank L.

- Initially L = 0
- $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}=$ value of bank ofter operation $\mathbf{i}\left(\right.$ so $\left.\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{0}\right)$.
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Operation i:

- Cost $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$
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## Basic Bank: Formal

## Bank L.

- Initially L = 0
- $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}=$ value of bank ofter operation $\mathbf{i}$ (so $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{0}$ ).

Operation i:

- Cost $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$
" "Amortized cost" $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}+\boldsymbol{\Delta L}=\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$
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So if $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{n}} \geq \mathbf{0}$ (bank not negative): $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}$.

## Basic Bank: Formal

## Bank L.

- Initially L = 0
- $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}=$ value of bank ofter operation $\mathbf{i}$ (so $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{0}$ ).

Operation i:

- Cost $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}$
" "Amortized cost" $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}+\boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{L}=\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}+\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{i}}$
Total cost of sequence:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(c_{i}^{\prime}+L_{i-1}-L_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{\prime}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(L_{i-1}-L_{i}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{\prime}\right)+L_{0}-L_{n}
$$

So if $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{n}} \geq \mathbf{0}$ (bank not negative): $\sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}} \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}$.

- If $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime} \leq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})$ for all $\mathbf{i}$, then "true" amortized $\operatorname{cost}\left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) / \mathbf{n}$ also at most $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{n})$ !


## Variants

Multiple banks

- Sometimes easier to keep track of / think about.
- No real difference: could think of one bank = sum of all banks


## Variants

Multiple banks

- Sometimes easier to keep track of / think about.
- No real difference: could think of one bank = sum of all banks


## Potential Functions:

- "Bank analogy": we choose how much to deposit/withdraw.
- New analogy: "potential energy". Function of state of system.
- Rename $\mathbf{L}$ to $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ : all previous analysis works same!
- Sometimes easier to think about: just define once at the beginning, instead of for each operation.


## Example: Binary Counter

## Binary Counter

Super simple setup: binary counter stored in array $\mathbf{A}$.

- Least significant bit in $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{0}]$, then $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{1}], \ldots$

- Only operation is increment.
- Costs 1 to flip any bit.
10
11
100
11
100
1000


## Binary Counter

Super simple setup: binary counter stored in array $\mathbf{A}$.

- Least significant bit in $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{0}]$, then $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{1}], \ldots$
- Don't worry about length of array (infinite, or long enough)
- Only operation is increment.
- Costs 1 to flip any bit.
n increments. Cost of most expensive increment:


## Binary Counter

Super simple setup: binary counter stored in array A.

- Least significant bit in $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{0}]$, then $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{1}], \ldots$
- Don't worry about length of array (infinite, or long enough)
- Only operation is increment.
- Costs 1 to flip any bit.
$\mathbf{n}$ increments. Cost of most expensive increment: $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} \mathbf{n})$.


## Binary Counter

Super simple setup: binary counter stored in array $\mathbf{A}$.

- Least significant bit in $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{0}]$, then $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{1}], \ldots$
- Don't worry about length of array (infinite, or long enough)
- Only operation is increment.
- Costs 1 to flip any bit.
$\mathbf{n}$ increments. Cost of most expensive increment: $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} \mathbf{n})$.
What about amortized cost?


## Banks

## Bank for every bit $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]$



Flip bit $\mathbf{i}$ from $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{1}$ : add $\$$ to bank for $\mathbf{i}$ 11 Flip bit $\mathbf{i}$ from $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{0}$ : remove $\$$ from bank for $\mathbf{i} O 0$

- No bank ever negative (induction)
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- \# 0's flipped to $\mathbf{1}$ :
- \# 1's flipped to $\mathbf{0}$ :
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$\Longrightarrow$ amortized cost at most $\mathbf{1}$ (cost of flipping $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{1}$ ) plus $\mathbf{1}$ (increase in bank for that bit) $=2$
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Do an increment, flips $\mathbf{k}$ bits $\Longrightarrow$ true cost is $\mathbf{k}$.

- \# 0's flipped to 1: $\mathbf{1}$
- \# 1's flipped to 0: $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{- 1}$

Flipping $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{0}$ paid for by bank! Costs $\mathbf{1}$, bank decreases by $\mathbf{1}$
$\Longrightarrow$ amortized cost at most $\mathbf{1}$ (cost of flipping $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{1}$ ) plus $\mathbf{1}$ (increase in bank for that bit) $=2$

Global: Change in total bank is $-(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1})+\mathbf{1}=-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{2}$
$\Longrightarrow$ amortized cost $=\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{L}=\mathbf{k}+(-\mathbf{k}+2)=\mathbf{2}$

## Analysis

Do an increment, flips $\mathbf{k}$ bits $\Longrightarrow$ true cost is $\mathbf{k}$.

- \# 0's flipped to $\mathbf{1}$ : $\mathbf{1}$
- \# 1's flipped to 0: $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{- 1}$

Flipping $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{0}$ paid for by bank! Costs $\mathbf{1}$, bank decreases by $\mathbf{1}$
$\Longrightarrow$ amortized cost at most $\mathbf{1}$ (cost of flipping $\mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{1}$ ) plus $\mathbf{1}$ (increase in bank for that bit) $=2$

Global: Change in total bank is $-(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1})+\mathbf{1}=-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{2}$
$\Longrightarrow$ amortized cost $=\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{L}=\mathbf{k}+(-\mathbf{k}+2)=\mathbf{2}$
Potential function: let $\boldsymbol{\Phi}=\# \mathbf{1}$ 's in counter.
$\Longrightarrow$ amortized cost $=\mathbf{c}+\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}=\mathbf{k}+(-\mathbf{k}+2)=\mathbf{2}$

## Example: Simple Dictionary

## Setup

Same dictionary problem as last lecture (insert, lookup).

- Can we do something simple with just arrays (no trees)?
- Give up on worst-case: try for amortized.
- Sorted array: inserts $\Omega(\mathbf{n})$ amortized (i'th insert could take time $\Omega(\mathbf{i})$ )
- Unsorted array: lookups $\Omega(\mathbf{n})$ amortized
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Solution: array of arrays!

- A[i] either empty or a sorted array of exactly $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{i}}$ elements
- No relationship between arrays


## Setup

Same dictionary problem as last lecture (insert, lookup).

- Can we do something simple with just arrays (no trees)?
- Give up on worst-case: try for amortized.
- Sorted array: inserts $\Omega(\mathbf{n})$ amortized (i'th insert could take time $\Omega(\mathbf{i})$ )
- Unsorted array: lookups $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\mathbf{n})$ amortized

Solution: array of arrays!

- $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]$ either empty or a sorted array of exactly $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{i}}$ elements
- No relationship between arrays

Example: insert 1-11

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}[0]=[5] \\
& \mathrm{A}[1]=[2,8] \\
& \mathrm{A}[2]=\varnothing \\
& \mathrm{A}[3]=[1,3,4,6,7,9,10,11]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithm

Note: With $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, at most $\log \mathbf{n}$ arrays.
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Note: With $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, at most $\log \mathbf{n}$ arrays.
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- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \log \left(2^{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\log ^{2} \mathbf{n}\right)$


## Algorithm

Note: With $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, at most $\log \mathbf{n}$ arrays.
Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \log \left(2^{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$
$\operatorname{Insert}(\mathbf{x})$ :


## Algorithm

Note: With $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, at most $\log \mathbf{n}$ arrays.
Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=\mathbf{0}}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \log \left(\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\log ^{2} \mathbf{n}\right)$

Insert( $\mathbf{x}$ ):

- Create array $\mathbf{B}=[\mathbf{x}]$
- $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}$
- Otherwise: $\mathbf{i = 0}$
- If $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]=\varnothing$, set $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]=\mathbf{B}$, return.
- Merge $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]$ to get $\mathbf{B}$
- Set $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]=\varnothing$
- $\mathbf{i}++$


## Algorithm

Note: With $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, at most $\log \mathbf{n}$ arrays.

Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{\mathbf{i = 0}}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \log \left(\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\log ^{2} \mathbf{n}\right)$

Insert( $\mathbf{x}$ ):

- Create array $\mathbf{B}=[\mathbf{x}]$
- $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}$
- Otherwise: $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}$
- If $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]=\varnothing$, set $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]=\mathbf{B}$, return.
- Merge $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]$ to get $\mathbf{B}$
- Set $\mathbf{A}[\mathrm{i}]=\varnothing$
- i++

Example: insert 12 into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}[0]=[5] \\
& \mathrm{A}[1]=[2,8] \\
& \mathrm{A}[2]=\varnothing \\
& \mathrm{A}[3]=[1,3,4,6,7,9,10,11]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Algorithm

Note: With $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, at most $\log \mathbf{n}$ arrays.

Lookup(x)

- Binary search in each (nonempty) array
- Time at most $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=\mathbf{0}}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \log \left(\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}\left(\log ^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{n}\right)$
$\operatorname{lnsert}(\mathbf{x})$ :
- Create array $\mathbf{B}=[\mathbf{x}]$
- $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}$
- Otherwise: $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{0}$
- If $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]=\varnothing$, set $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]=\mathbf{B}$, return.
- Merge $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]$ to get $\mathbf{B}$
- Set $\mathbf{A}[\mathbf{i}]=\varnothing$
- i++

Example: insert 12 into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}[0]=[5] \\
& \mathrm{A}[1]=[2,8] \\
& \mathrm{A}[2]=\varnothing \\
& \mathrm{A}[3]=[1,3,4,6,7,9,10,11]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}[0]=\varnothing
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}[1]=\varnothing
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}[2]=[2,5,8,12]
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}[3]=[1,3,4,6,7,9,10,11]
$$

## Analysis

## Concrete costs:

- Merging two arrays of size $\mathbf{m}$ costs $\mathbf{2 m}$
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Worst case:

- Might need to do a merge for every array if all full
- Time $\sum_{i=0}^{[\log n\rfloor}\left(2 \cdot 2^{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}(n)$
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- Might need to do a merge for every array if all full
- Time $\sum_{i=0}^{[\log n\rfloor}\left(2 \cdot 2^{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}(n)$

Amortized:

- Merge arrays of length $2^{\mathbf{i}}$ one out of every $2^{\mathbf{i}}$ inserts
- So after $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, have merged arrays of length $\mathbf{1}$ at most $\mathbf{n}$ times, arrays of length $\mathbf{2}$ at most $\mathbf{n} / 2$ times, arrays of length 4 at most $n / 4$ times, ...


## Analysis

Concrete costs:

- Merging two arrays of size $\mathbf{m}$ costs $\mathbf{2 m}$

Worst case:

- Might need to do a merge for every array if all full
- Time $\sum_{i=0}^{[\log n\rfloor}\left(2 \cdot 2^{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}(n)$

Amortized:

- Merge arrays of length $2^{\mathbf{i}}$ one out of every $2^{\mathbf{i}}$ inserts
- So after $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, have merged arrays of length $\mathbf{1}$ at most $\mathbf{n}$ times, arrays of length $\mathbf{2}$ at most $\mathbf{n} / 2$ times, arrays of length 4 at most $\mathrm{n} / 4$ times, ...
- Total cost at most

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \frac{n}{2^{i-1}} 2^{i+1}=\Theta(n \log n)
$$

## Analysis

Concrete costs:

- Merging two arrays of size $\mathbf{m}$ costs $\mathbf{2 m}$

Worst case:

- Might need to do a merge for every array if all full
- Time $\sum_{i=0}^{[\log n\rfloor}\left(2 \cdot 2^{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Theta}(n)$

Amortized:

- Merge arrays of length $2^{\mathbf{i}}$ one out of every $2^{\mathbf{i}}$ inserts
- So after $\mathbf{n}$ inserts, have merged arrays of length $\mathbf{1}$ at most $\mathbf{n}$ times, arrays of length $\mathbf{2}$ at most $\mathbf{n} / 2$ times, arrays of length 4 at most $\mathbf{n} / 4$ times, ...
- Total cost at most

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor\log n\rfloor} \frac{n}{2^{i-1}} 2^{i+1}=\Theta(n \log n)
$$

- Amortized cost at most $\boldsymbol{\Theta}(\log \mathbf{n})$ !


## Multiple Operations

How do we define amortized analysis of data structures with multiple operations?

## Definition

トyf2
If structure supports $\mathbf{k}$ operations, say that operation $\mathbf{i}$ has amortized cost at most $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$ if for every sequence which performs with at most $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}$ operations of type $\mathbf{i}$, the total cost is at most $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

## Multiple Operations

How do we define amortized analysis of data structures with multiple operations?

## Definition

If structure supports $\mathbf{k}$ operations, say that operation $\mathbf{i}$ has amortized cost at most $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{i}}$ if for every sequence which performs with at most $\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}$ operations of type $\mathbf{i}$, the total cost is at most $\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

- When analyzing multiple operations, need to use the same bank/potential for all of them!
- With multiple operations, bounds not necessarily unique. Different amortization schemes could yield different bounds, all of which are correct and non-contradictory.

