# Lecture 15: All-Pairs Shortest Paths 

Michael Dinitz

October 19, 2021
601.433/633 Introduction to Algorithms

## Announcements

- HW5 due now
- HW6 due next Thursday
- Mid-Semester feedback on Campuswire!


## Introduction

## Setup:

- Directed graph G $=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$
- Length $\ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ on each edge $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{E}$
- Length of path $\mathbf{P}$ is $\ell(\mathbf{P})=\sum_{(x, y) \in \mathbf{P}} \ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$
- $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}_{\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{y} \text { paths } \mathrm{P}} \ell(\mathrm{P})$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$. Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!

## Introduction

## Setup:

- Directed graph G $=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$
- Length $\ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ on each edge $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{E}$
- Length of path $\mathbf{P}$ is $\ell(\mathbf{P})=\sum_{(x, y) \in \mathbf{P}} \ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$
- $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}_{\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{y} \text { paths } \mathrm{P}} \ell(\mathbf{P})$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$. Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!

Obvious solution:

## Introduction

## Setup:

- Directed graph G $=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$
- Length $\ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ on each edge $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{E}$
- Length of path $\mathbf{P}$ is $\ell(\mathbf{P})=\sum_{(x, y) \in \mathbf{P}} \ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$
- $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}_{\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{y} \text { paths } \mathrm{P}} \ell(\mathbf{P})$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$. Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!

Obvious solution: single-source from each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$

## Introduction

## Setup:

- Directed graph G $=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$
- Length $\ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ on each edge $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{E}$
- Length of path $\mathbf{P}$ is $\ell(\mathbf{P})=\sum_{(x, y) \in \mathbf{P}} \ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$
- $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}_{\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{y} \text { paths } \mathrm{P}} \ell(\mathrm{P})$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$.
Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!
Obvious solution: single-source from each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$

- No negative weights: $\mathbf{n}$ runs of Dijkstra, time $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n} \log \mathbf{n}))$
- Negative weights: $\mathbf{n}$ runs of Bellman-Ford, time $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n m n})=\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{m n}^{2}\right)$


## Introduction

## Setup:

- Directed graph $\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})$
- Length $\ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ on each edge $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbf{E}$
- Length of path $\mathbf{P}$ is $\ell(\mathbf{P})=\sum_{(x, y) \in \mathbf{P}} \ell(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$
- $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}_{\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{y} \text { paths } \mathrm{P}} \ell(\mathbf{P})$

Last time: All distances from source node $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$.
Today: Distances between all pairs of nodes!
Obvious solution: single-source from each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$
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Can we do better? Particularly for negative edge weights?

- Main goal today: Negative weights as fast as possible.

Floyd-Warshall Algorithm

Floyd-Warshall: A Different Dynamic Programming Approach

To simplify notation, let $\mathbf{V}=\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{n}\}$ and $\ell(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})=\infty$ if $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \notin \mathbf{E}$
Bellman-Ford subproblems: length of shortest path with at most some number of edges
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## Formalizing Subproblems
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## Optimal Substructure

## Theorem

For all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k} \in[\mathbf{n}]$ :

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\ell(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}) & \text { if } \mathrm{k}=\mathbf{0} \\
\min \left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{k-1}, \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{ik}}^{\mathrm{k}-1}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{kj}}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\right) & \text { if } \mathrm{k} \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{0}: \checkmark$
If $\mathbf{k} \geq \mathbf{1}$ : prove $\leq$ and $\geq$
$\leq:$ Two feasible solutions
$\geq$ : Let $\mathbf{P}$ be shortest $\mathbf{i} \rightarrow \mathbf{j}$ path with all intermediate nodes in $[\mathbf{k}]$

- If $\mathbf{k}$ not an intermediate node of $\mathbf{P}: \mathbf{P}$ has all intermediate nodes in $[\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}] \Longrightarrow$ $\min \left(d_{i j}^{k-1}, d_{i k}^{k-1}+d_{k j}^{k-1}\right) \leq d_{i j}^{k-1} \leq \ell(P)=d_{i j}^{k}$
- If $\mathbf{k}$ is an intermediate node of $\mathbf{P}$ : divide $\mathbf{P}$ into $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ (subpath from $\mathbf{i}$ to $\mathbf{k}$ ) and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (subpath from $\mathbf{k}$ to $\mathbf{j}$ )
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Floyd-Warshall Algorithm
Usually bottom-up, since so simple:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M[\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{0}]=\ell(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) \text { for all } \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in[\mathbf{n}] \\
& \operatorname{for}(\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1} \text { to } \mathbf{n}) \\
& \quad \operatorname{for}(\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{1} \text { to } \mathbf{n}) \\
& \quad \operatorname{for}(\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1} \text { to } \mathbf{n}) \\
& \quad \mathbf{M}[\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}]=\min (M[\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}], M[\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}]+M[\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{1}])
\end{aligned}
$$
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(optimal substructure)
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Running Time: $\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{n}^{3}\right)$

## Johnson's Algorithm

## Reweighting

Different Approach: Can we "fix" negative weights so Dijkstra from every node works?

- Time would be $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n} \log \mathbf{n}))=\mathbf{O}\left(\mathbf{m n}+\mathbf{n}^{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o g }} \mathbf{n}\right)$, better than Floyd-Warshall
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- Does this work? No!
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Some other kind of reweighting? Need new lengths $\hat{\ell}$ such that:

- Path $\mathbf{P}$ a shortest path under lengths $\boldsymbol{\ell}$ if and only $\mathbf{P}$ a shortest path under lengths $\hat{\ell}$
- $\hat{\ell}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \geq \mathbf{0}$ for all $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{E}$


## Vertex Reweighting

Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- Let $\mathbf{h}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.
- Let $\ell_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})+\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})-\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v})$
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$$
\ell_{h}(P)=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell_{h}\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\ell\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)+h\left(v_{i}\right)-h\left(v_{i+1}\right)\right)
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## Vertex Reweighting

Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- Let $\mathbf{h}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.
- Let $\ell_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})+\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})-\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v})$

Let $\mathbf{P}=\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right\rangle$ be arbitrary (not necessarily shortest) path.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{h}(P) & =\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell_{h}\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(\ell\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)+h\left(v_{i}\right)-h\left(v_{i+1}\right)\right) \\
& =h\left(v_{0}\right)-h\left(v_{k}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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Neat observation: put weights at vertices!

- Let $\mathbf{h}: \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be node weights.
- Let $\ell_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})+\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})-\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v})$

Let $\mathbf{P}=\left\langle\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right\rangle$ be arbitrary (not necessarily shortest) path.

$$
\begin{aligned}
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$\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)-\mathbf{h}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ added to every $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$ path, so shortest path from $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{0}}$ to $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}$ still shortest path!

## Making lengths nonnegative

So vertex reweighting preserves shortest paths. Find weights to make lengths nonnegative?
Add new node $\mathbf{s}$ to graph, edges $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ of length $\mathbf{0}$
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Add new node $\mathbf{s}$ to graph, edges ( $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}$ ) for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ of length $\mathbf{0}$

- Run Bellman-Ford from $\mathbf{s}$, then for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ set $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})$ to be $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})$
- Note $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u}) \leq \mathbf{0}$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$
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Want to show that $\ell_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \geq \mathbf{0}$ for all edges $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$.

- Triangle inequality: $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}) \leq \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})+\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})+\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$
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So vertex reweighting preserves shortest paths. Find weights to make lengths nonnegative?
Add new node $\mathbf{s}$ to graph, edges ( $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}$ ) for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$ of length $\mathbf{0}$

- Run Bellman-Ford from $\mathbf{s}$, then for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ set $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})$ to be $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})$
- Note $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u}) \leq \mathbf{0}$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$

Want to show that $\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \geq \mathbf{0}$ for all edges $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$.

- Triangle inequality: $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{v}) \leq \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})+\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})+\ell(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$

$$
\ell_{h}(u, v)=\ell(u, v)+h(u)-h(v) \geq \ell(u, v)+h(u)-(h(u)+\ell(u, v))=0
$$

## Johnson's Algorithm

- Add vertex $\mathbf{s}$ to graph, edge $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ with $\ell(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})=\mathbf{0}$
- Run Bellman-Ford from s, set $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})$
- Remove s, run Dijkstra from every node $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ to get $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$
- If want distances, set $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})-\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})+\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$

Correctness: From previous discussion.
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## Running Time:

## Johnson's Algorithm

- Add vertex $\mathbf{s}$ to graph, edge $(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ with $\ell(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})=\mathbf{0}$
- Run Bellman-Ford from s, set $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})=\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{u})$
- Remove s, run Dijkstra from every node $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{V}$ to get $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$
- If want distances, set $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})=\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})-\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{u})+\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{v})$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}$

Correctness: From previous discussion.
Running Time: $\mathbf{O}(n)+\mathbf{O}(m n)+\mathbf{O}(n(m+n \log n))=\mathbf{O}\left(m n+n^{2} \log n\right)$

