

Other ways of measuring DP

- Renyi DP
- Zero-centered DP
- Gaussian DP.

Main idea: easier to deal with than (ϵ, δ) -DP, better leaving composition.

- Convert back to (ϵ, δ) -DP for final guarantee, but internally work with alternate measurement.

Starting point: divergences between distributions

Reminder from advanced composition lecture

Max-divergence: $D_\infty(P \| Q) = \max_{S \subseteq \text{supp}(Q)} \left[\log \frac{P(S)}{Q(S)} \right]$

M is ϵ -DP iff $D_\infty(M(D), M(D')) \leq \epsilon \quad \forall D, D'$

$$\left(\log \left(\frac{P_1(M(D) \cap S)}{P_1(M(D') \cap S)} \right) \leq \epsilon \Leftrightarrow P_1(M(D) \cap S) \leq e^\epsilon P_1(M(D') \cap S) \right)$$

KL-Divergence: $D(P \| Q) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim P} \left[\ln \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \right]$

Rényi Divergence: (of order $\alpha > 1$):

$$D_\alpha(P \| Q) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \mathbb{E}_{x \sim Q} \left[\left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \right)^\alpha \right]$$

(Limit - Limit as $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ gives max-divergence!

- Limit as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ gives KL-Divergence

Def: A mechanism M is (α, ϵ) -RDP if

$$D_\alpha(M(D), M(D')) \leq \epsilon \quad \forall D \sim D'$$

Fact: Rényi divergence is monotone w.r.t. α :

$$\text{If } \alpha \geq \alpha', \text{ then } D_\alpha(P \| Q) \geq D_{\alpha'}(P \| Q)$$

\Rightarrow Since ϵ -DP is (∞, ϵ) -RDP, ϵ -DP $\Rightarrow (\alpha, \epsilon)$ -DP $\forall \alpha$.

So weaker than ϵ -DP. But what about compared to (ϵ, δ) -DP?

Idea: simpler and better!

Simpler - easier to analyze Gaussian mechanism

- Easier to prove composition!

Better: - can convert to (ϵ, δ) -DP
 - often gives stronger bounds! but in (ϵ, δ) -DP
 - "Better" privacy guarantee: doesn't just give up on privacy w/ prob. δ !

Interpretation:

If $P_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(D) \parallel \mathcal{M}(D'))$ is small, then while unlikely events can have larger probability distributions, not un-bounded!

Composition:

Thm: Let $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow R_1$ be (α, ϵ_1) -RDP,
 $g: R_1 \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow R_2$ be (α, ϵ_2) -RDP, then
 mechanism that returns (X, Y) ^{on input D} where $X = f(D)$,
 $Y = g(X, D)$
 satisfies $(\alpha, \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ -DP.

Proof: Let $h: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow R_1 \times R_2$ be sequential mechanism.

- Let X distribution for $f(D)$ X'
 Y dist. of $g(X, D)$ Y' for D'
 Z dist. of (X, Y) Z'

$$\exp((\alpha-1) D_\alpha(h(D) \| h(D'))) = \mathbb{E}_{h(D')} \left[\left(\frac{Z}{Z'} \right)^\alpha \right]$$

$$= \int_{R_1 \times R_2} \left(\frac{Z(x,y)}{Z'(x,y)} \right)^\alpha Z'(x,y) dx dy$$

$$= \int_{R_1 \times R_2} Z(x,y)^\alpha Z'(x,y)^{1-\alpha} dx dy$$

$$= \int_{R_1} \int_{R_2} (X(x) Y(x,y))^\alpha (X'(x) Y'(x,y))^{1-\alpha} dy dx$$

$$= \int_{R_1} X(x)^\alpha X'(x)^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_{R_2} Y(x,y)^\alpha Y'(x,y)^{1-\alpha} dy \right) dx$$

$$= \int_{R_1} X(x)^\alpha X'(x)^{1-\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{y \sim Y(x)} \left[\left(\frac{Y(x,y)}{Y'(x,y)} \right)^\alpha \right] dx$$

$$= \int_{R_1} X(x)^\alpha X'(x)^{1-\alpha} \exp((\alpha-1) D_\alpha(g(x,D) \| g(x,D'))) dx$$

$$\leq \int_{R_1} X(x)^\alpha X'(x)^{1-\alpha} dx \exp((\alpha-1) \cdot \varepsilon_2)$$

$$\leq \exp((\alpha-1) \varepsilon_1) \cdot \exp((\alpha-1) \varepsilon_2)$$

$$= \exp((\alpha-1)(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2))$$

$$\Rightarrow D_\alpha(h(D) \| h(D')) \leq \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \quad \checkmark$$

Thm: If f is (α, ϵ) -RDP, then it is
 $(\epsilon + \frac{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}{\alpha - 1}, \delta)$ -DP $\forall 0 < \delta < 1$

Thm: If f has sensitivity 1, then Gaussian
mechanism adding $N(0, \sigma^2)$ noise is $(\alpha, \frac{\epsilon}{2\sigma^2})$ -RDP
 $\forall \alpha > 1$

\Rightarrow Can add noise without "precommitting" to δ !

In practice,

- analyzing via RDP then converting to (ϵ, δ) -DP better
than using (ϵ, δ) -DP and advanced composition

- Most mechanisms don't need to commit to α ahead
of time: afterwards, can try diff. α 's and see
which gives best analysis

2CDP:

RDP is a little complex: 2 parameters!

Indication from Gaussian: $(\alpha, \rho\alpha)$ $\forall \alpha$: ϵ is a linear fn
of α !

Def: M is ρ -2CDP if

$$D_\alpha(M(D) \| M(D')) \leq \rho\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \geq 1$$

\Rightarrow Gaussian mechanism is $\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$ -2CDP
much simpler!

Can still convert from ρ -2CDP \rightarrow RDP \rightarrow (ϵ, δ) -DP

- In practice, people tend to prefer RDP, since more precise, can choose α

- In theory, 2CDP easier to deal with.

Thm: Let $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1$ ρ_1 -2CDP,

$g: \mathcal{R}_1 \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_2$ ρ_2 -2CDP

\Rightarrow composition is $(\rho_1 + \rho_2)$ -2CDP