2/10/22:

Today: computing coarse correlated equilibria with "natual" dynamics: online learning!

Def: Let σ be a distribution over $S = S_1 \times S_2 \times ... \times S_k$.

Then σ is a coarse correlated equilibrium if $E[C_1(s)] \leq E[C_1(s-i,s')] \quad \forall i \in (k), \quad \forall s'_i \in S_i$ $s \sim \sigma$

Defor to online learning:

Multiarmed bandils:

- Action set A (~ms).

-A+ time t= 1,2, ..., T:

-Algorithm picks distribution pt over A

- Advarsary picks cost vector c+: A-> [0,1]

- Action at ~pt, algorithm incurs (-)+ (+(a+)

-Algorithm learny either (*(a+) (bandit satting)
or (*(a) WaeA (experts setting)

how for algorithm: minimize total cost!

Need to be a little more careful about adversory

Def: An adaptive adversary takes as impat

- 1) Algorithm A, 2) time t,
- 3) distributions pl, p2, ..., pt produced by A
- 4) Realized actions a , a , ..., a from past

and ortput, costs ct: A > [0,1]

Det: An oblivious (or non-adaptive) adversory is
is an adversory that depends only on A and t

Equivalent: fixes cost forations at beginning, knowing A.

Surprising: not much difference in what can be achieved!

For usi - care about adaptive adversaries

For usi - care about adoptive adversaries
-mostly analyze oblivious for simplicity
- defails in textbooks

Thm: No algorithm can be competitive with the best action sequence in hindsight (adaptive adversory)

PF: Let lAl=2

Adversory:
$$1 \neq \rho^{1}(0) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$
: $(^{\dagger}(0) = 1)$, $c^{\dagger}(1) = 0$
 $1 \neq \rho^{1}(1) > \frac{1}{2}$: $c^{1}(0) = 0$, $c^{1}(1) = 1$

 \Rightarrow at every time to algorithm his expected (15t $\geq \frac{1}{2}$) expected (15t $\geq \frac{1}{2}$) expected (15t $\geq \frac{1}{2}$)

But there is some sequence of actions with fatal cost =0

New benchmark: not best action segmence, but best action

Def: The regret of an action sequence ajajajajaja, at with respect to a EA is

$$R_{T}(a) = \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} c^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} c^{\dagger}(a) \right)$$

Det: It A is an online learning algorithm, then
its expected regret at time T with respect
to a e A is

 $E\left[R_{T}^{A}(\alpha)\right] = \frac{1}{T}\left(\underbrace{Z}_{t=1} \underbrace{E}_{a^{t} \sim p^{t}} \left[c^{t}(a^{t})\right] - \underbrace{Z}_{t=1} c^{t}(\alpha)\right)$

Det: Algorithm & is a no-respect algorithm

(or he) no-respect) if for every adversary,

for every acA,

lin ELRT(a)) = 0 (or ELRT(a)) = 0(1))

Amezing fact: no-regret algorithms exist, and are pretty simple!

Relationship to game theory:

(an model playing a game as online learning!

-Particularly aftractive if player does not know
defails of game

Suppose you're player is play gene T times.

Think of other players' mixed stratesies as adversary!

At time ti

- every player 57; chooses some mixed stratesy

P; tover S;

- Possibly unknown to player!

- (all use a no-regret algorithm to choose pit!

Note: adversary is adaptive!

Informal claim: "Rational" way for players to act!

Connection to equilibria:

have with k phyers, cost functions (::5 -> [0,1]) Play T times

- Player ; wer algorithm A;

- Let p: be mixed strategy used by player i at time t

- Let ot = The product distribution over S induced

by individual player distributions

- Let o= + Zot be "average" distribution.

Interpretation: sample to uniformly from [7], then sample from ot

Note: Not product distribution!

- For each ie CRI, te CTI, and a eSi, let

Note: E [c; (a)] = E [E [C; (s-; a)]]

= E [C; (s)]

Then or is an e-approximate coase correlated equilibrium:

E [(;(s)] < f [(:(s,s;)]+8 \ \(\eller\); \(\sigma\); \(\eller\);

Interpretation: average distribution converses to a (CE!
"empirical distribution of play" converses to a CCE

PE:

$$E\left[\left(i(s)\right) - E\left[\left(i(s-i,s_i')\right)\right] = \left(\text{boat to show } EE\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{a^{\dagger} \sim p^{\dagger}_{t}} \left(c^{\dagger}_{i}(a^{\dagger}) \right) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} c^{\dagger}_{i}(s^{\dagger}_{i}) \right) \quad (d \circ f \circ f c^{\dagger}_{i})$$