

4/28/22: Voting Schemes and Social Choice II

Setup:

- Set A of candidates
 - Let $L = \{\text{total orderings of } A\}$
- Set $[n]$ of voters
 - Each $i \in [n]$ has **private** ordering $\succ_i \in L$

Arrow's Theorem

Def: An aggregation function is a function $F: L^n \rightarrow L$

Def: An aggregation function F is a dictatorship if
 $\exists i \in [n]$ s.t. $F(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n) = \succ_i \quad \forall (\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n) \in L^n$

Def: F satisfies **unanimity** if following holds:

For all $(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$ where $a \succ_i b \quad \forall i \in [n]$,

$$a \succ_{F(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)} b$$

Def: F satisfies **independence of irrelevant alternatives (IIA)** if following holds $\forall a, b \in A$. Let $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n) \in L^n$ s.t. λ_i and λ'_i have same ordering of $a, b \forall i \in [n]$. Then a, b have same ordering in $F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $F(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_n)$

Thm [Arrow]: If $|A| \geq 3$, then every aggregation function that satisfies unanimity and IIA is a dictatorship.

Gibbard - Satterthwaite :

Def: A **social choice function** is a function

$$f: L^n \rightarrow A$$

Def: A social choice function f is a **dictatorship**

if $\exists i \in [n]$ s.t. $\forall (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in L^n$,

$$f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = a \Rightarrow a \succ_i b \quad \forall b \in S \setminus \{a\}$$

Def: A social choice function f is **incentive-compatible** if $\forall i \in [n], \forall (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in L^n, \forall \lambda'_i \in L,$

$$f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \succeq_i f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda'_i, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

Def: f is **monotone** if $\forall i \in [n], \forall (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in L^n, \forall \lambda'_i \in L:$

$$f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = a \neq a' = f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{i-1}, \lambda'_i, \lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow a \succeq_i a' \text{ and } a' \succeq_i a$$

(If choice switches because one player changes, that player must have switched to preferring a' to a)

Lemma: f is incentive-compatible if and only if f is monotone

pf: monotone \Rightarrow IC: fix player i . By monotonicity, bidding truth is best

IC \Rightarrow monotone: switching from λ_i to λ'_i can't help
 \Rightarrow monotone

Thm: Let f be an incentive compatible social choice function which is surjective with $|A| \geq 3$.

Then f is a dictatorship.

Prove using Arrow's theorem.

Let f surjective, incentive compatible social choice fn.

Def: Let $\succ \in L$ and $S \subseteq A$. Let $\succ^S \in L$ as follows:

- if $a, b \in S$ or $a, b \notin S$, then $a \succ^S b$ iff $a \succ b$
- if $a \in S$ and $b \notin S$, then $a \succ^S b$

(Move S to top of ordering)

Claim: $\forall (\succ_1, \succ_2, \dots, \succ_n) \in L^n$ and $S \subseteq A$,

$$f(\succ_1^S, \succ_2^S, \dots, \succ_n^S) \in S$$

pf: Let $a \in S$

Since f surjective, $\exists (\succ_1', \succ_2', \dots, \succ_n')$ s.t.

$$f(\succ_1', \dots, \succ_n') = a$$

For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, let $P_i = (\succ_1^S, \succ_2^S, \dots, \succ_i^S, \succ_{i+1}', \dots, \succ_n')$

Claim: $f(P_i) \in S \quad \forall i$

Base case: $f(P_0) = a \in S$

Induction: sups $f(P_i) \in S$.

By monotonicity, $f(P_{i+1}) \succ_{i+1}^S f(P_i) \leftarrow \in S$

$$\Rightarrow f(P_{i+1}) \in S$$

$$\Rightarrow f(P_n) = f(\succ_1^S, \succ_2^S, \dots, \succ_n^S) \in S$$

Let $F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be defined by

$$a \sum_{F(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\})} b \quad \text{iff} \quad f(\{x_1^{(a,b)}, x_2^{(a,b)}, \dots, x_n^{(a,b)}\}) = a$$

Lemma: F is an aggregation function

pf: Need to show $F(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in L$ (total ordering)

1) Consider $a, b \in S$. Since $f(\sum_1^{[a,b]}, \dots, \sum_n^{[a,b]}) \in \{a, b\}$
by previous claim, either $a \sum_{P(\sum_1, \dots, \sum_n)} b$ or

$$b \succ a \quad P(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

2) Transitivity: S_P cycle $a_1 \succ a_2 \succ \dots \succ a_k \succ a_1$
 $\hat{\succ} = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \succ_P$

Let $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$

wlog $f(\{i\}, \{i\}, \dots, \{i\}) = c_2 \in S$

$$\forall i \in [k]: p_i = (\binom{\{a_1, a_2\}}{1}, \dots, \binom{\{a_1, a_2\}}{i}, \binom{\{ \}}{i+1}, \dots, \binom{\{ \}}{n})$$

$$f(p_0) = a_2$$

induction: $f(p_i) = a_i$ (monotonicity)

$$\rightarrow f(p_n) = a_2$$

$\Rightarrow a_2 > a_1$ (contradiction)

Lemma: If f is not a dictatorship then F satisfies unanimity and IIA and is not a dictatorship

Pf: F not dictatorship:

f not dictatorship

Unanimity:

Sups $a \succ_i b \quad \forall i \in [n]$

$$\Rightarrow \forall i, (\succ_i^{\{a,b\}})^{[n]} = \succ_i^{\{a,b\}}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(\succ_1^{\{a,b\}}, \dots, \succ_n^{\{a,b\}}) = f((\succ_1^{\{a,b\}})^{[n]}, \dots, (\succ_n^{\{a,b\}})^{[n]})$$

$$= a \quad (\text{by claim})$$

$$\Rightarrow a \succ_{F(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)} b \quad \checkmark$$

IIA:

Let $(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$ and $(\succ'_1, \dots, \succ'_n) \in L^n$ s.t. \succ_i and \succ'_i have same ordering of $a, b \quad \forall i \in [n]$.

\Rightarrow ordering in $F(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$ determined by

$$f(\succ_1^{\{a,b\}}, \succ_2^{\{a,b\}}, \dots, \succ_n^{\{a,b\}})$$

Ordering in $F(\succ'_1, \dots, \succ'_n)$ determined by

$$f(\sum_1^{\{a,b\}}, \dots, \sum_n^{\{a,b\}})$$

same induction and monotonicity argument:

$$s_n) \quad f(\sum_1^{\{a,b\}}, \sum_2^{\{a,b\}}, \dots, \sum_n^{\{a,b\}}) = a$$

$$p_i = (\sum_1^{\{a,b\}}, \dots, \sum_i^{\{a,b\}}, \sum_{i+1}^{\{a,b\}}, \dots, \sum_n^{\{a,b\}})$$

$$\Rightarrow f(p_0) = a$$

$$f(p_i) \leq a \Rightarrow f(p_{i+1}) = a \text{ by monotonicity}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(p_n) = a \quad \checkmark$$