

## 4/7/22: "General" Mechanism Design (not just single parameter)

- Setup:
- Bidders (players)  $[n]$
  - Finite set  $\Omega$  of outcomes (allocations)
  - Each bidder  $i$  has valuation function  $v_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  (private)
  - Social Welfare of  $w \in \Omega$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i(w)$
  - Utility of player  $i$  = value - price

Ex: Single item:  $\Omega$  is n+1 possible allocations

$$v_i(w) = \begin{cases} v_i & \text{if } i \text{ wins in } w \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Single Parameter Environments:

$$\Omega = X \quad v_i(w) = v_i w_i$$

Combinatorial Auctions:

- Players  $[n]$
- $m$  items  $M$
- Player  $i$  has valuation for each "bundle"  $S \subseteq M$   
 $v_i: 2^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
- $\Omega$  = all possible allocations  
 $f: M \rightarrow [n]$

Thm [Vickrey-Clarke-Groves]: In every general mechanism design environment, there is an incentive-compatible mechanism which maximizes social welfare.

Issues/Notes:

- computationally inefficient
- Myerson doesn't apply, and what does "monotonicity" mean?  
 $\Rightarrow$  have to also design prices

- What is a bid?

Said bid function  $b_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

IC if  $b_i = v_i$  is dominant strategy

VCG Mechanism:

Outcome Rule:

$$x(b) = \arg \max_{w \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(w)$$

## Pricing Rule:

Intuition from Vickrey (second-price) for single item

How does player  $i$  participating affect social welfare of everyone else?

Let  $x$  be allocation when  $i$  participates,  
 $x'$  " " " "  $i$  does not participate

$i$  does not get item: (in  $x$ )

$$\sum_{j \neq i} v_j x_j = \max_{j \in [n]} v_j = \sum_{j \neq i} v_j x'_j$$

( $i$  has no affect on social welfare)

$i$  does get item: (in  $x$ )

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j \neq i} v_j x_j = 0$$

$$\sum_{j \neq i} v_j x'_j = \max_{j \neq i} v_j$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{damage} = \max_{j \neq i} v_j - 0 = \max_{j \neq i} v_j$$

= price!

So think of price as "harm caused to other players"  
(externality)

In general:

Given bids  $b$ , let  $w^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{w \in \Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(w)$

$\Rightarrow$  Externality of  $i$ :

$$\underbrace{\max_{w \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w)}_{\text{without } i} - \underbrace{\sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w^*)}_{\text{with } i} = p_i(b)$$

VCG Mechanism: max social welfare, charge externality

Analysis: Fix  $i \in [n]$ , other bids  $b_{-i}$

If  $i$  bids  $b_i$ , outcome  $w^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{w \in \Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(w)$

$b_i = v_i$  is dominant strategy:

Utility of player  $i$  is

$$v_i(w^*) - p_i(b) = v_i(w^*) - \left( \max_{w \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w^*) \right)$$

$$= \underbrace{V_i(w^*) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w^*)}_{b_i \text{ affects } w^*} - \underbrace{\max_{w \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w)}_{\text{independent of } b_i}$$

$\Rightarrow$  to max utility, player  $i$  bids to maximize

$$V_i(w^*) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w^*)$$

If bid  $b_i$ ,  $w^*$  will maximize  $\sum_{j=1}^n b_j(w^*) = V_i(w^*) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w^*)$

$\Rightarrow$  if  $b_i = v_i$ , maximize utility!

$P_i(b) \geq 0$ :

$$\max_{w \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w^*) \geq 0$$

Nonnegative utility for truthful bidders:

Let  $w' = \arg \max_{w \in \Omega} \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w)$

If  $b_i = v_i$ , utility of player  $i$  =

$$= V_i(w^*) + \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w^*) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w')$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(w^*) - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w')$$

$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^n b_j(w') - \sum_{j \neq i} b_j(w') \quad (\text{def of } w^*)$$

$$= b_i(w') = v_i(w') \geq 0$$

"Practical" Issues with VCG:

- Computing outcome is computationally hard
- Preference elicitation / size of bid
- Bad for revenue:

Two items  $A, B$

Two players:  $v_1(AB) = 1$ , 0 otherwise

$v_2(AB) = v_2(A) = 1$ , 0 otherwise

max welfare = 1, price = 1:

both to 1, both to 2, B to 1 A to 2

player 1 charged 1      player 2 charged 1

Add new player 3:

$$v_3(AB) = v_3(B) = 1, \quad 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

max welfare = 2: A to 2, B to 3

$$p_2 = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{welfare w/out} \\ 2 \text{ participating}}}{1} - \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{welfare of all} \\ \text{other players in} \\ \text{chosen outcome}}}{1} = 0$$

$$p_3 = 1 - 1 = 0$$

No revenue!