

1. (25 points) Show that HALT is **NP**-hard. Is it **NP**-complete?
2. (25 points) Let ϕ be a 3CNF. An \neq -assignment to the variables of ϕ is one where each clause contains two literals with unequal truth values.

- (a) Show that any \neq -assignment automatically satisfies ϕ , and the negation of any \neq -assignment to ϕ is also an \neq -assignment.
- (b) Let \neq SAT be the collection of 3CNFs that have an \neq -assignment. Show that we obtain a polynomial time reduction from 3SAT to \neq SAT by replacing each clause

$$c_i = (y_1 \vee y_2 \vee y_3)$$

with the two clauses

$$(y_1 \vee y_2 \vee z_i) \text{ and } (\bar{z}_i \vee y_3 \vee b),$$

where z_i is a new variable for each clause c_i and b is a single additional new variable.

- (c) Conclude that \neq SAT is **NP**-complete.
3. (25 points) Let $\text{DOUBLE-SAT} = \{\phi \mid \phi \text{ is a CNF that has at least two satisfying assignments}\}$. Show that DOUBLE-SAT is **NP**-complete.
 4. (25 points) A subset of the nodes of a simple, undirected graph G is a dominating set if every other node of G is adjacent to some node in the subset. Let

$$\text{DOMINATING-SET} = \{\langle G, k \rangle \mid G \text{ has a dominating set with } k \text{ nodes}\}.$$

Show that it is **NP**-complete by giving a reduction from VERTEX-COVER . You can assume that G has no vertex with degree 0.

Hint: First figure out the difference between a vertex cover and a dominating set. For example, is a vertex cover always a dominating set? Is a dominating set always a vertex-cover? Then, try to modify the first graph (e.g., add some corresponding vertices) so that a vertex cover in the first graph implies a dominating set in the second graph, and vice versa.