CS 318 Principles of Operating Systems

Lecture 11: Virtual Memory II

Prof. Ryan Huang
Administrivia

Thursday (10/13) project hacking day

- No class, work on lab 2
- Office hour 10:00 am to 11:30 am over Zoom
Lecture Overview

Today we’ll cover more paging mechanisms:

Optimizations
- Managing page tables (space)
- Efficient translations (TLBs) (time)
- Demand paged virtual memory (space)

Recap address translation

Advanced Functionality
- Sharing memory
- Copy on Write
- Mapped files
Recap: Virtual Address Lookup in Page Table

Virtual Address

Page number Offset

Page Table

Page frame Offset

Physical Address

Page frame Offset

Physical Memory
Recap: Paging Example

Pages are 4K
- VPN is 20 bits ($2^{20}$ VPNs), offset is 12 bits

Virtual address is $0x7468$
- Virtual page is $0x7$, offset is $0x468$

Page table entry $0x7$ contains $0x2$
- Physical page number is $0x2$
- Seventh virtual page is at address $0x2000$ (2nd physical page)

Physical address = $0x2000 + 0x468 = 0x2468$
Managing Page Tables

Size of the page table for a 32-bit address space w/ 4K pages
- \( \frac{2^{32}}{2^{12}} \times 4 \text{ B} = 4 \text{MB} \)
- This is far far too much overhead for each process

How can we reduce this overhead?
- **Observation:** only need to map the portion of the address space actually being used (tiny fraction of entire addr space)

How do we only map what is being used?
- Can dynamically extend page table...
- Does not work if addr space is sparse (internal fragmentation)

Use another level of indirection: **two-level page tables**
Two-Level Page Tables

Two-level page tables

- Virtual addresses (VAs) have three parts:
  • Master page number, secondary page number, and offset
- One master page table that maps VAs to some secondary page table
- A secondary page table maps page number to some physical page
- Offset indicates where in physical page the address is located
Two-Level Page Tables

Virtual Address

Master page number | Secondary | Offset

Page table

Master Page Table

Secondary Page Table

Physical Address

Page frame | Offset

Page frame

Physical Memory
Two-Level Page Tables

Example
- 4KB-sized pages, 4 bytes/PTE
- How many bits in offset? \( \log_2(4K) = 12 \) bits
- We want the master page table in one page: 4K/4 bytes = 1K entries
- Hence, 1024 secondary page tables. How many bits?
- Master \( \log_2(1K) = 10 \), offset = 12, inner = 32 – 10 – 12 = 10 bits

32-bit Virtual Address

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Master page number</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
x86 Page Translation

1024 PDEs

1024 PTEs

*32 bits aligned onto a 4-KByte boundary
Page Table Evolution

Linear (Flat) Page Table

Virtual Address Space

Page 0
Page 1
Page 2
Page N-1

Physical Memory
Page Table Evolution

Hierarchical Page Table

Master

Secondary

Virtual Address Space
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Page 1
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Page N-1

Physical Memory
Wait a second...

We introduced two-level page tables to reduce the overhead of storing page tables

- Each page table costs $2^{32}/2^{12} \times 4 \text{ B} = 4 \text{MB}$ to store

But even if we add another level, isn’t the overhead the same?

- 1024 secondary page tables
- Each secondary page table has $2^{10}$ PTEs, thus has a size of 4KB
- Total size of these page tables is $1024 \times 4 \text{KB} = 4 \text{MB}$...
- In fact, we also have one master page table, which has a size of 4KB...
Page Table Evolution

Hierarchical Page Table

Virtual Address Space

Physical Memory
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Secondary
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Addressing Page Tables

Where do we store page tables (which address space)?

Physical memory
- Easy to address, no translation required
- But, allocated page tables consume memory for lifetime of VAS

Virtual memory (OS virtual address space)
- Cold (unused) page table pages can be paged out to disk
- But, addressing page tables requires translation
- How do we stop recursion?
- Do not page the outer page table (called wiring)

If we’re going to page the page tables, might as well page the entire OS address space, too
- Need to wire special code and data (fault, interrupt handlers)
Efficient Translations

Our original page table already doubled the cost of memory access
- One lookup into the page table, another to fetch the data

Now two-level page tables triple the cost!
- Two lookups into the page tables, a third to fetch the data
- Worse, 64-bit architectures support 4-level page tables
- And this assumes the page table is in memory

How can we use paging but also reduce lookup cost?
- Cache translations in hardware
- Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)
- TLB managed by Memory Management Unit (MMU)
**TLBs**

**Translation Lookaside Buffers**
- Translate virtual page #s into PTEs (not physical addr)
- Can be done in a single machine cycle

**TLBs implemented in hardware**
- Typically 4-way to fully associative cache (all entries looked up in parallel)
- Cache tags are virtual page numbers
- Cache values are PTEs (entries from page tables)
- With PTE + offset, can directly calculate physical address

**TLBs exploit locality**
- Processes only use a handful of pages at a time
  - 32-128 entries/pages (128-512K)
  - Only need those pages to be "mapped"
- Hit rates are therefore very important
Typical Details:
- Small (Just 32-128 PTEs)
- Separate Instruction and Data TLBs
- Two-level (256-512 combined I/D)

Full Page Table in Memory

CPU

Cache of PTEs

TLB

Virtual Addresses

Physical Addresses

DRAM
Managing TLBs

Address translations for most instructions are handled using the TLB
- >99% of translations, but there are misses (TLB miss)...

Who places translations into the TLB (loads the TLB)?
- Hardware-managed TLB (Memory Management Unit) [x86]
  - Knows where page tables are in main memory
  - OS maintains tables, HW accesses them directly
  - Tables have to be in HW-defined format (inflexible)
- Software-managed TLB (OS) [MIPS, Alpha, Sparc, PowerPC]
  - TLB faults to the OS, OS finds appropriate PTE, loads it in TLB
  - Must be fast (but still 20-200 cycles)
  - CPU ISA has instructions for manipulating TLB
  - Tables can be in any format convenient for OS (flexible)
Managing TLBs (2)

OS ensures that TLB and page tables are consistent
- When it changes the protection bits of a PTE, it needs to invalidate the PTE if it is in the TLB

Reload TLB on a process context switch
- Invalidate all entries
- Why? What is one way to fix it?

When the TLB misses and a new PTE has to be loaded, a cached PTE must be evicted
- Choosing PTE to evict is called the TLB replacement policy
- Implemented in hardware, often simple (e.g., Last-Not-Used)
Paged Virtual Memory

Pages can be moved between memory and disk
- Use disk to simulate larger virtual than physical mem
- This process is called paging in/out
Paged Virtual Memory

Pages can be moved between memory and disk

Paging process over time
- Initially, pages are allocated from memory
- When memory fills up, allocating a page requires some other page to be evicted
- Evicted pages go to disk (where? the swap file/backing store)
- Done by the OS, and transparent to the application

Extreme design: demand paging
- Paging in a page from disk into memory only if an attempt is made to access it
- Main memory becomes a cache for disk
Page Faults

What happens when a process accesses a page is evicted?
1. When the OS evicts a page, it sets the PTE as invalid and stores the location of the page in the swap file in the PTE
2. When a process accesses the page, the invalid PTE causes a trap (page fault)
3. The trap will run the OS page fault handler
4. Handler uses the invalid PTE to locate page in swap file
5. Reads page into a physical frame, updates PTE to point to it
6. Restarts process

But where does it put it? Have to evict something else
- OS usually keeps a pool of free pages around so that allocations do not always cause evictions
Page Fault & Paging

1. Reference
2. Trap
3. Page is on backing store
4. Bring in missing page
5. Reset page table
6. Restart instruction

Disk

Operating system

Load M

Page table

Free frame

Physical memory
Address Translation Redux

We started this topic with the high-level problem of translating virtual addresses into physical addresses.

We’ve covered all of the pieces:
- Virtual and physical addresses
- Virtual pages and physical page frames
- Page tables and page table entries (PTEs), protection
- TLBs
- Demand paging

Now let’s put it together, bottom to top.
The Common Case

Situation: Process is executing on the CPU, and it issues a read to an address
- What kind of address is it? Virtual or physical?

The read goes to the TLB in the MMU
1. TLB does a lookup using the page number of the address
2. Common case is that the page number matches, returning a page table entry (PTE) for the mapping for this address
3. TLB validates that the PTE protection allows reads (in this example)
4. PTE specifies which physical frame holds the page
5. MMU combines the physical frame and offset into a physical address
6. MMU then reads from that physical address, returns value to CPU

Note: This is all done by the hardware
TLB Misses

At this point, two other things can happen

1. TLB does not have a PTE mapping this virtual address
2. PTE in TLB, but memory access violates PTE protection bits

We’ll consider each in turn
Reloading the TLB

If the TLB does not have mapping, two possibilities:

1. MMU loads PTE from page table in memory
   - Hardware managed TLB, OS not involved in this step
   - OS has already set up the page tables so that the hardware can access it directly

2. Trap to the OS
   - Software managed TLB, OS intervenes at this point
   - OS does lookup in page table, loads PTE into TLB
   - OS returns from exception, TLB continues

A machine will only support one method or the other

At this point, there is a PTE for the address in the TLB
TLB Misses (2)

Note that:

Page table lookup (by HW or OS) can cause a recursive fault if page table is paged out
- Assuming page tables are in OS virtual address space
- Not a problem if tables are in physical memory
- Yes, this is a complicated situation

When TLB has PTE, it restarts translation
- Common case is that the PTE refers to a valid page in memory
  - These faults are handled quickly, just read PTE from the page table in memory and load into TLB
- Uncommon case is that TLB faults again on PTE because of PTE protection bits (e.g., page is invalid)
  - Becomes a page fault...
Page Faults

PTE can indicate a protection fault
- Read/write/execute – operation not permitted on page
- Invalid – virtual page not allocated, or page not in physical memory

TLB traps to the OS (software takes over)
- R/W/E – OS usually will send fault back up to process, or might be playing games (e.g., copy on write, mapped files)
- Invalid
  - Virtual page not allocated in address space
  - OS sends fault to process (e.g., segmentation fault)
  - Page not in physical memory
    - OS allocates frame, reads from disk, maps PTE to physical frame
Address Translation: Putting It All Together

- TLB Lookup
  - virtual address
    - hit
      - Protection Check
        - permitted
          - Physical address (to cache)
    - miss
      - Page Table Walk
        - Page not in memory
          - Page Fault (OS loads page)
        - Page in memory
          - Update TLB
            - Protection Fault
              - SEGFAULT
Advanced Functionality

Now we’re going to look at some advanced functionality that the OS can provide applications using virtual memory tricks

- Shared memory
- Copy on Write
- Mapped files
Sharing

Private virtual address spaces protect applications from each other
- Usually exactly what we want

But this makes it difficult to share data (have to copy)
- Parents and children in a forking Web server or proxy will want to share an in-memory cache without copying

We can use shared memory to allow processes to share data using direct memory references
- Both processes see updates to the shared memory segment
  • Process B can immediately read an update by process A
- How are we going to coordinate access to shared data?
Sharing (2)

How can we implement sharing using page tables?
- Have PTEs in both tables map to the same physical frame
- Each PTE can have different protection values
- Must update both PTEs when page becomes invalid

Can map shared memory at same or different virtual addresses in each process’ address space
- Different: Flexible (no address space conflicts), but pointers inside the shared memory segment are invalid (Why?)
- Same: Less flexible, but shared pointers are valid (Why?)

What happens if a pointer inside the shared segment references an address outside the segment?
Isolation: No Sharing
Sharing Pages

- Virtual Address Space #1
- PTEs Point to Same Physical Page
- Physical Memory
- Virtual Address Space #2
Copy on Write

OSes spend a lot of time copying data
- System call arguments between user/kernel space
- Entire address spaces to implement fork()

Use Copy on Write (CoW) to defer large copies as long as possible, hoping to avoid them altogether
- Instead of copying pages, create shared mappings of parent pages in child virtual address space
- Shared pages are protected as read-only in parent and child
  - Reads happen as usual
  - Writes generate a protection fault, trap to OS, copy page, change page mapping in client page table, restart write instruction
- How does this help fork()?
Copy on Write: Before Fork

- Parent Virtual Address Space
- Physical Memory
Copy on Write: Fork

Parent Virtual Address Space       Physical Memory       Child Virtual Address Space

Read-Only Mappings

Read-Only Mappings
Copy on Write: On A Write

Parent Virtual Address Space

Physical Memory

Now Read-Write & Private

Child Virtual Address Space

Now Read-Write & Private

Now Read-Write & Private
Mapped Files

Mapped files enable processes to do file I/O using loads and stores
- Instead of “open, read into buffer, operate on buffer, …”

Bind a file to a virtual memory region (\texttt{mmap()} in Unix)
- PTEs map virtual addresses to physical frames holding file data
- Virtual address \texttt{base + N} refers to offset \texttt{N} in file

Initially, all pages mapped to file are invalid
- OS reads a page from file when invalid page is accessed
- OS writes a page to file when evicted, or region unmapped
- If page is not dirty (has not been written to), no write needed
  • Another use of the dirty bit in PTE
Mapped Files

Virtual Address Space

Mapped File

...
Mapped Files (2)

File is essentially backing store for that region of the virtual address space (instead of using the swap file)
- Virtual address space not backed by “real” files also called Anonymous VM

Advantages
- Uniform access for files and memory (just use pointers)
- Less copying (why?)

Drawbacks
- Process has less control over data movement
  - OS handles faults transparently
- Does not generalize to streamed I/O (pipes, sockets, etc.)
Summary

Paging mechanisms:

Optimizations
- Managing page tables (space)
- Efficient translations (TLBs) (time)
- Demand paged virtual memory (space)

Recap address translation

Advanced Functionality
- Sharing memory
- Copy on Write
- Mapped files

Next time: Paging policies
Next time...

Chapters 21-23