

Advanced AdaBoost.

Note Title

11/25/2006

Variant of AdaBoost. (Viola & Jones)

strong classifier: $H_M(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^M \{ \alpha_{\mu} h_{\mu}(x) + \beta_{\mu} \}$
 $h_{\mu}(x)$ - weak classifier..

Modify the update rule:

$$D_{t+1}(i) = \frac{1}{Z_t} D_t(i) e^{-w_i \{ \alpha_t h_t(x) + \beta_t \}}$$

Let w_{pq} be the sum of the weights of the weak class is p and the true class is q .

Pick weak classifier to minimize

$$2 \left(\sqrt{w_{++} w_{+-}} + \sqrt{w_{-+} w_{--}} \right)$$

$$\text{set } \alpha_t + \beta_t = \log \sqrt{w_{++} / w_{+-}}$$

$$\alpha_t - \beta_t = \log \sqrt{w_{--} / w_{-+}}$$

Proof - natural extension of basic proof.

(2) We may want to penalize false positives and false negatives in a different way.

For example, detecting faces in images. In a typical image, there will far more non-faces than faces. So we want to be very certain before labelling a window as a face.

Loss function:

$$L = \sqrt{k}, \text{ if } w_i = 1, \text{ and } H(x_i) = -1$$

$$L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}, \text{ if } w_i = -1, \text{ and } H(x_i) = 1.$$

$$L = 0, \text{ otherwise.}$$

Modify the update rule:

$$D_{t+1}(i) = \frac{1}{Z_t} D_t e^{-w_i (\alpha_t h_t(x_i) + \beta_t)} e^{w_i \log \sqrt{k}}$$

Verify that the weighted loss is bounded.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sqrt{k} \delta_{w_i, 1} \delta_{H(x_i), -1} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right) \delta_{w_i, -1} \delta_{H(x_i), 1} \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N e^{-w_i \sum_{n=1}^n (\alpha_n h_n(x_i) + \beta_n)} e^{w_i \log \sqrt{k}} \end{aligned}$$

(3) This modifies the update rule by
$$\beta_t \rightarrow \beta_t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \log \sqrt{t} //$$

Cascade.

Motivation

(4) Logit Boost.

$$p(\omega_i | x_i) = \frac{e^{\omega_i \lambda \cdot \phi(x_i)}}{e^{\omega_i \lambda \cdot \phi(x_i)} + e^{-\omega_i \lambda \cdot \phi(x_i)}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2\omega_i \lambda \cdot \phi(x_i)}}$$

Formulate a log-likelihood

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\lambda, \phi) &= \log \prod_{i=1}^m p(\omega_i | x_i) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + e^{-2\omega_i \lambda \cdot \phi(x_i)}) \end{aligned}$$

This requires solving the optimization problem,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda, \phi)^* &= \text{ARG MAX } \ell(\lambda, \phi) \\ &= \text{ARG MIN } \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + e^{-2\omega_i \lambda \cdot \phi(x_i)}) \end{aligned}$$

This differs from AdaBoost criteria

$$(\lambda, \phi)^* = \text{ARG MIN } \sum_{i=1}^m \exp(-\omega_i \lambda \cdot \phi(x_i))$$

Claim — these become similar as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

— Replace sample mean by expectation,

giving
AdaBoost $(\lambda, \phi)^* = \text{ARG MIN } E_{p(x,y)} \{ \log(1 + e^{-2\omega \lambda \cdot \phi(x)}) \}$

LogitBoost $(\lambda, \phi)^* = \text{ARG MIN } E_{p(x,y)} \{ e^{-\omega \lambda \cdot \phi(x)} \}$

(5) Claim, these problem have the same minimum at

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{p(\omega=+1|x)}{p(\omega=-1|x)}$$

Proof. $A(F) = \sum_{\omega \in \{-1, 1\}} \int p(x, y) \log(1 + e^{-2yF(x)}) ds$

$$\frac{\delta A(F)}{\delta F} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p(x, y=+1) \frac{-2e^{-F(x)}}{1+e^{-2F(x)}} + p(x, y=-1) \frac{2e^{F(x)}}{1+e^{2F(x)}} = 0 \quad \forall x$$

Solving gives:

$$F^*(x) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{p(x, \omega=+1)}{p(x, \omega=-1)} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{p(\omega=+1|x)}{p(\omega=-1|x)}$$

Viola & Jones paper.