Secure Computation - II

CS 601.642/442 Modern Cryptography

Fall 2018

CS 601.642/442 Modern Cryptograph

Secure Computation - II

A B +
 A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

• E > 4

 ▲ ■
 ■
 つ へ ○

 Fall 2018
 1 / 10

- Main question: How can Alice and Bob securely compute any function f over their private inputs x and y?
- Solution: Using Yao's garbled circuits with OT

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Fall 2018

2 / 10

- A Garbling Scheme consists of two procedures (Garble, Eval):
 - Garble(C): Takes as input a circuit C and outputs a collection of garbled gates $\hat{\mathsf{G}}$ and garbled input wires $\hat{\mathsf{In}}$ where

$$\hat{\mathbf{G}} = \{\hat{g}_1, \dots, \hat{g}_{|C|}\},\$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{In}} = \{\hat{\mathbf{in}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{in}}_n\}.$$

• $\text{Eval}(\hat{G}, \hat{\Pi}_x)$: Takes as input a garbled circuit \hat{G} and garbled input wires $\hat{\Pi}_x$ corresponding to an input x and outputs z = C(x)

CS 601.642/442 Modern Cryptograph

Secure Computation - II

Fall 2018

3 / 10

- Each wire i in the circuit C is associated with two keys (k_0^i, k_1^i) of a secret-key encryption scheme, one corresponding to the wire value being 0 and other for wire value being 1
- For an input x, the evaluator is given the input wire keys $(k_{x_1}^1, \ldots, k_{x_n}^n)$ corresponding to x. Furthermore, for every gate g in C, it is also given an "encrypted" truth table of g
- We want the evaluator to use the input wire keys and the encrypted truth tables to "uncover" a single key k_v^i for every internal wire *i* corresponding to the value *v* of that wire. However, k_{1-v}^i should remain hidden from the evaluator

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Special Encryption Scheme: We need a secret-key encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) with an extra property: there exists a negligible function $\nu(\cdot)$ s.t. for every n and every message $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$,

$$\Pr[k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), k' \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), \mathsf{Dec}_{k'}(\mathsf{Enc}_k(m)) = \bot] > 1 - \nu(n)$$

That is, if a ciphertext is decrypted using the "wrong" key, then the answer is always \perp

Construction: Modify the secret-key encryption scheme discussed earlier in the class s.t. instead of encrypting m, we encrypt $0^n || m$. Upon decrypting, check if the first n bits of the message are all 0's; if not, then output \perp .

Garbled Circuits: Construction

Let (Gen, Enc, Dec) be a special encryption scheme. Assign an index to each wire in C s.t. the input wires have indices $1, \ldots, n$.

 $\mathsf{Garble}(C)$:

- For every non-output wire i in C, sample $k_0^i \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$, $k_1^i \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$. For every output wire i in C, set $k_0^i = 0$, $k_1^i = 1$.
- For every $i \in [n]$, set $in_i = (k_0^i, k_1^i)$. Set $ln = (in_1, \dots, in_n)$
- For every gate g in C with input wires (i, j), output wire ℓ :

First Input	Second Input	Output
k_0^i	k_0^j	$z_1 = Enc_{k_0^i}(Enc_{k_0^j}(k_{g(0,0)}^\ell))$
k_0^i	k_1^j	$z_2 = Enc_{k_0^i}(Enc_{k_1^j}(k_{g(0,1)}^\ell))$
k_1^i	k_0^j	$z_3 = Enc_{k_1^i}(Enc_{k_0^j}(k_{g(1,0)}^\ell))$
k_1^i	k_1^j	$z_4 = Enc_{k_1^i}(Enc_{k_1^j}(k_{g(1,1)}^\ell)$

Set $\hat{g} = \mathsf{RandomShuffle}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$. Output $(\hat{\mathsf{G}} = (\hat{g}_1, \dots, \hat{g}_{|C|}), \hat{\mathsf{In}})$

Garbled Circuits: Construction (contd.)

Think: Why is RandomShuffle necessary?

 $Eval(\hat{G}, \hat{In}_x)$:

- Parse $\hat{\mathsf{G}} = (\hat{g}_1, \dots, \hat{g}_{|C|}), \ \hat{\mathsf{In}}_x = (k^1, \dots, k^n)$
- Parse $\hat{g}_i = (\hat{g}_i^1, \dots, \hat{g}_i^4)$
- Decrypt each garbled gate \hat{g}_i one-by-one, in a canonical order:
 - Let k^i and k^j be the input wire keys for gate g.
 - Repeat the following for every $p \in [4]$:

$$\alpha_p = \mathsf{Dec}_{k^i}(\mathsf{Dec}_{k^j}(\hat{g}_i^p))$$

If $\exists \alpha_p \neq \bot$, set $k^{\ell} = \alpha_p$

• Let out_i be the value obtained for each output wire *i*. Output $\mathsf{out} = (\mathsf{out}_1, \dots, \mathsf{out}_n)$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・

Secure Computation from Garbled Circuits

- A plausible strategy for computing C(x, y) using Garbled Circuits:
 - A generates a garbled circuit for $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ along with garbled wire keys for first and second input to C
 - A sends the garbled wire keys corresponding to its input x along with the garbled circuit to B
 - However, in order to evaluate the garbled circuit on (x, y), B also needs the garbled wire keys corresponding to its input y
 - **Possible Solution:** A sends all the wire keys corresponding to the second input of C to B
 - **Problem:** In this case, B can not only compute C(x, y) but also C(x, y') for any y' of its choice!
 - Solution: A will transmit the garbled wire keys corresponding to B's input using Oblivious Transfer!

Secure Computation from Garbled Circuits: Details

Ingredients: Garbling scheme (Garble, Eval), 1-out-of-2 OT scheme OT = (S, R)

Common Input: Circuit C for $f(\cdot, \cdot)$

A's input: $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n$, B's input: $y = y_1, \ldots, y_n$

Protocol $\Pi = (A, B)$:

- $A \to B$: A computes $(\hat{G}, \hat{\mathsf{ln}}) \leftarrow \mathsf{Garble}(C)$. Parse $\hat{\mathsf{ln}} = (\hat{\mathsf{nn}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathsf{ln}}_{2n})$ where $\hat{\mathsf{in}}_i = (k_0^i, k_1^i)$. Set $\hat{\mathsf{ln}}_x = (k_{x_1}^1, \dots, k_{x_n}^n)$. Send $(\hat{\mathsf{G}}, \hat{\mathsf{ln}}_x)$ to B.
- $A \leftrightarrow B$: For every $i \in [n]$, A and B run $\mathsf{OT} = (S, R)$ where A plays sender S with input (k_0^{n+i}, k_1^{n+i}) and B plays receiver Rwith input y_i . Let $\hat{\mathsf{In}}_y = (k_{y_1}^{n+1}, \ldots, k_{y_n}^{2n})$ be the outputs of the n OT executions received by B.
 - B: B outputs $Eval(\hat{G}, \hat{ln}_x, \hat{ln}_y)$

《曰》 《問》 《臣》 《臣》 三臣

Intuition for Security

Property 1: For every wire i, B only learns one of the two wire keys:

- Input wires: For input wires corresponding to A's input, it follows from protocol description. For input wires corresponding to B's input, it follows from security of OT
- Internal Wires: Follows from the security of the encryption scheme

Property 2: B does not know whether the key corresponds to wire value being 0 or 1 (except the keys corresponding to its own input wires).

- Overall, B only learns the output and nothing else. A does not learn anything (in particular, B's input remains hidden from A due to security of OT)
- Additional Reading: Read security proof from [Lindell-Pinkas'04]

イロト 不同ト 不同ト 不同ト