## Lecture 4: Pseudorandomness - II

(ロ) (四) (主) (主) (主) のへで

- Hard Core Predicates
- Computational Indistinguishability
- Prediction Advantage

ъ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

- Pseudorandom Distributions & Next-bit Unpredictability
- Completeness of Next-bit Test for Pseudorandomness
- Pseudorandom Generators
  - 1-bit stretch
  - Polynomial stretch
- Pseudorandom functions

э.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

- Uniform distribution over  $\{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$  is denoted by  $U_{\ell(n)}$
- <u>Intuition</u>: A distribution is pseudorandom if it looks like a uniform distribution to any efficient test

### Definition (Pseudorandom Ensembles)

An ensemble  $\{X_n\}$ , where  $X_n$  is a distribution over  $\{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$ , is said to be pseudorandom if:

 $\{X_n\} \approx \{U_{\ell(n)}\}$ 

• Looking ahead: A PRG's output should be pseudorandom

- Here is another interesting way to talk about pseudorandomness
- A pseudorandom string should pass all efficient tests that a (truly) random string would pass
- Next Bit Test: for a truly random sequence of bits, it is not possible to predict the "next bit" in the sequence with probability better than 1/2 even given all previous bits of the sequence so far
- A sequence of bits *passes the next bit test* if no efficient adversary can predict "the next bit" in the sequence with probability better than 1/2 even given all previous bits of the sequence so far

《曰》 《圖》 《注》 《注》 三注

#### Definition (Next-bit Unpredictability)

An ensemble of distributions  $\{X_n\}$  over  $\{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$  is next-bit unpredictable if, for all  $0 \leq i < \ell(n)$  and n.u. PPT  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\exists$  negligible function  $\nu(\cdot)$  s.t.:

$$\Pr[t = t_1 \dots t_{\ell(n)} \sim X_n \colon \mathcal{A}(t_1 \dots t_i) = t_{i+1}] \leqslant \frac{1}{2} + \nu(n)$$

#### Theorem (Completeness of Next-bit Test)

If  $\{X_n\}$  is next-bit unpredictable then  $\{X_n\}$  is pseudorandom.

(ロ) (四) (注) (注) (注) (注)

$$H_n^{(i)} := \left\{ x \sim X_n, u \sim U_n \colon x_1 \dots x_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{\ell(n)} \right\}$$

- First Hybrid:  $H_n^0$  is the uniform distribution  $U_{\ell(n)}$
- Last Hybrid:  $H_n^{\ell(n)}$  is the distribution  $X_n$
- Suppose  $H_n^{(\ell(n))}$  is next-bit unpredictable but not pseudorandom
- $H_n^{(0)} \not\approx H_n^{(\ell(n))} \implies \exists i \in [\ell(n) 1] \text{ s.t. } H_n^{(i)} \not\approx H_n^{(i+1)}$
- Now, next bit unpredictability is violated
- <u>Exercise</u>: Do the full formal proof

(ロ) (回) (三) (三) (三) (0,0)

#### Definition (Pseudorandom Generator)

A deterministic algorithm G is called a *pseudorandom generator* (PRG) if:

- G can be computed in polynomial time
- |G(x)| > |x|

• 
$$\left\{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n : G(x)\right\} \approx_c \left\{U_{\ell(n)}\right\}$$
 where  $\ell(n) = |G(0^n)|$ 

The **stretch** of G is defined as: |G(x)| - |x|

- Can we construct PRG with even 1-bit stretch?
- What about many bits? Can we generically stretch?

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

### PRG with 1-bit stretch

- Remember the hardcore predicate?
- It is hard to guess h(s) even given f(s)
- Let G(s) = f(s) ||h(s) where f is a OWF
- Some small issues:
  - -|f(s)| might be less than |s|
  - -f(s) may always start with prefix 101 (not random)
- Solution: let f be a one-way permutation (OWP) over  $\{0,1\}^n$ 
  - Domain and Range are of same size, i.e., |f(s)| = |s| = n

- 
$$f(s)$$
 is uniformly random over  $\{0,1\}^n$  if  $s$  is  
 $\forall y : \Pr[f(s) = y] = \Pr[s = f^{-1}(y)] = 2^{-n}$   
 $\Rightarrow f(s)$  is uniform and cannot start with a fix value!

◆ロト ◆母 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ○臣 ○ のへの

## PRG with 1-bit stretch

- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$  be a **OWP**
- $\bullet$  Let  $h:\{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$  be a hard core predicate for f
- Construction:  $G(s) = f(s) \parallel h(s)$

### Theorem (PRG based on OWP)

 $G \ is \ a \ pseudorandom \ generator \ with \ 1-bit \ stretch.$ 

- <u>Think:</u> Proof?
- <u>Proof Idea</u>: Use next-bit unpredictability. Since first *n* bits of the output are uniformly distributed (since *f* is a permutation), any adversary for next-bit unpredictability with non-negligible advantage  $\frac{1}{p(n)}$  must be predicting the (n + 1)th bit with advantage  $\frac{1}{p(n)}$ . Build an adversary for hard-core predicate to get a contradiction.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つんの

### One-bit stretch PRG $\implies$ Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of  $G_{poly}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$ : • Let  $G: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^{n+1}$  be a one-bit stretch PRG

$$s = X_0$$
  
 $G(X_0) = X_1 || b_1$   
 $\vdots$   
 $G(X_{\ell(n)-1}) = X_{\ell(n)} || b_{\ell(n)}$ 

•  $G_{poly}(s) := b_1 \dots b_{\ell(n)}$ 

Think: Proof?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● 今へで

# Proof that $G_{poly}$ is pseudorandom

• Want: 
$$\left\{ s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n : G_{poly}(s) \right\} \approx_c \left\{ U_{\ell(n)} \right\}$$

• Let D be any non-uniform PPT algorithm.

Step 0:  

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{Experiment } H_0 \\ s &= X_0 \\ G(X_0) &= X_1 \| b_1 \\ G(X_1) &= X_2 \| b_2 \\ \vdots \\ G(X_{\ell-1}) &= X_\ell \| b_\ell
\end{array}$$

Output  $D(b_1b_2\ldots b_\ell)$ 

**Claim:**  $\left| \Pr_s[D(G'(s)) = 1] - \Pr_s[H_0 = 1] \right| = 0.$ **Proof:** Input of *D* is identically distributed in both cases.  $\Box$ 

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン … ヨ

# Proof that $G_{poly}$ is pseudorandom

**Step 1:** modify  $H_0$  one line at a time.

$$\frac{\text{Experiment } H_0}{s = X_0}$$

$$G(X_0) = X_1 || b_1$$

$$G(X_1) = X_2 || b_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$G(X_{\ell-1}) = X_\ell || b_\ell$$

Output  $D(b_1b_2\ldots b_\ell)$ .

# Proof that $G_{poly}$ is pseudorandom

**Step 1:** modify  $H_0$  one line at a time.

Claim:

$$\frac{\text{Experiment } H_0}{s = X_0} \qquad \frac{\text{Experiment } H_1}{s = X_0} \\
G(X_0) = X_1 \| b_1 \qquad X_1 \| b_1 = s_1 \| u_1 \\
G(X_1) = X_2 \| b_2 \qquad G(s_1) = X_2 \| b_2 \\
\vdots \qquad \vdots \\
G(X_{\ell-1}) = X_\ell \| b_\ell \qquad G(X_{\ell-1}) = X_\ell \| b_\ell \\
\text{Output } D(b_1 b_2 \dots b_\ell). \qquad \text{Output } D(u_1 b_2 \dots b_\ell). \\
\text{Pr}_s[H_0 = 1] - \text{Pr}_{s,s_1,u_1}[H_1 = 1] \Big| \leq \mu(n)$$

• Can similarly define  $H_2, \ldots, H_{\ell-1}$  s.t. in  $H_{\ell-1}, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_{\ell}$  is sampled from  $U_{\ell}$ 

• To prove that  $G_{poly}$  is PRG, it suffices to show that  $H_0 \approx_c H_\ell$ 

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 三日

#### Step 2: Hybrid Lemma

- For contradiction, suppose that  $G_{poly}$  is not a PRG, i.e.,  $H_0$  and  $H_{\ell}$  are distinguishable with non-negligible probability  $\frac{1}{p(n)}$
- By Hybrid Lemma, there exists *i* s.t.  $H_i$  and  $H_{i+1}$  are distinguishable with probability  $\frac{1}{p(n)\ell(n)}$
- <u>Idea</u>: Contradict the security of G

ヘロト 人間ト 人団ト 人口ト

# Proof that $G_{poly}$ is pseudorandom (contd.)

**Step 3:** Breaking security of G

- For simplicity, suppose that i = 0 (proof works for any i)
- $\bullet\,$  Construct D to break the pseudorandomness of G as follows
  - D gets as input Z || r sampled either as  $X_1 || b_1$  or as  $s_1 || u_1$
  - Compute  $X_2 || b_2 = G(Z)$  and continue as the rest of the experiment(s)
  - Output  $D(rb_2 \dots b_\ell)$
- If Z || r is pseudorandom, i.e., sampled as  $X_1 || b_1 = G(s)$ , then output of D is distributed identically to the output of  $H_0$
- Otherwise, i.e., Z || r is (truly) random, and therefore output of D is is distributed identically to the output of  $H_1$
- Hence: D distinguishes the output of G with advantage  $\frac{1}{p(n)\ell(n)}$  and runs in polynomial time. This is a contradiction  $\Box$

◆ロト ◆母 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 ○のへの

- OWF  $\implies$  PRG: [Impagliazzo-Levin-Luby-89] and [Hastad-90]
  - Celebrated result! Good to read
- More Efficient Constructions: [Vadhan-Zheng-12]
- Computational analogues of Entropy
- Non-cryptographic PRGs and Derandomization: [Nisan-Wigderson-88]

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト