Hard Core Predicate

#### 601.642/442: Modern Cryptography

Fall 2018

601.642/442: Modern Cryptography

Hard Core Predicate

A B + 
 A B +
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

→ Ξ → →

 Image: Image:

- Proof via Reduction:  $f_{\times}$  is a weak OWF
- Amplification: From weak to strong OWFs

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- What do OWFs Hide?
- Hard Core Predicate
- Concluding Remarks on OWFs

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

## What OWFs Hide

- The concept of OWFs is simple and concise
- But OWFs often not very useful by themselves
- It only guarantees that f(x) hides x but nothing more!
  - E.g., it may not hide first bit of x,
  - Or even first half bits of x
  - Or ANY subset of bits
- In fact: if  $\mathbf{a}(x)$  is any non-trivial information about x, we don't know if f(x) will hide it (except when  $\mathbf{a}(x) = x$ )

Is there any non-trivial (non-identity) function of x, even 1 bit, that OWFs hide?

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・

### Hard Core Predicate

- A hard core predicate for a OWF f
  - is a function over its inputs  $\{x\}$
  - its output is a single bit (called "hard core bit")
  - it can be easily computed given x
  - but "hard to compute" given only f(x)
- Intuition: f may leak many bits of x but it does not leak the hard-core bit.
- In other words, learning the hardcore bit of x, even given f(x), is "as hard as" inverting f itself.
- <u>Think</u>: What does "hard to compute" mean for a single bit?
  - you can always guess the bit with probability 1/2.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

• Hard-core bit cannot be learned or "predicted" or "computed" with probability  $> \frac{1}{2} + \nu(|x|)$  even given f(x) (where  $\nu$  is a negligible function)

#### Definition (Hard Core Predicate)

A predicate  $h : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$  is a hard-core predicate for  $f(\cdot)$  if h is efficiently computable given x and there exists a negligible function  $\nu$  s.t. for every non-uniform PPT adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\Pr\left[x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(1^n, f(x)) = h(x)\right] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \nu(n).$$

601.642/442: Modern Cryptography

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Hard Core Predicate: Construction

- Can we construct hard-core predicates for general OWFs f?
- Define  $\langle x, r \rangle$  to be the inner product function mod 2. I.e.,

$$\langle x,r 
angle = \left(\sum_i x_i r_i\right) \mod 2$$

#### Theorem (Goldreich-Levin)

Let f be a OWF (OWP). Define function

$$g(x,r) = (f(x),r)$$

where |x| = |r|. Then g is a OWF (OWP) and  $h(x,r) = \langle x,r \rangle$ 

is a hard-core predicate for f

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Proof via Reduction?
- Main challenge: Adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  for h only outputs 1 bit. Need to build an inverter  $\mathcal{B}$  for f that outputs n bits.

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三)

## Warmup Proof (1)

- <u>Assumption</u>: Given g(x, r) = (f(x), r), adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  always (i.e., with probability 1) outputs h(x, r) correctly
- Inverter  $\mathcal{B}$ :
  - Compute  $x_i^* \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(f(x), e_i)$  for every  $i \in [n]$  where:

$$e_i = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(i-1)\text{-times}}, 1, \dots, 0)$$

• Output 
$$x^* = x_1^* \dots x_n^*$$

<ロト (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

# Warmup Proof (2)

- Assumption: Given g(x, r) = (f(x), r), adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs h(x, r)with probability  $3/4 + \varepsilon(n)$  (over choices of (x, r))
- Main Problem: Adversary may not work on "improper" inputs (e.g.,  $r = e_i$  as in previous case)
- Main Idea: Split each query into two queries s.t. each query individually looks random
- Inverter  $\mathcal{B}$ :
  - Let  $a := \mathcal{A}(f(x), e_i + r)$  and  $b := \mathcal{A}(f(x), r)$ , for  $r \xleftarrow{} \{0, 1\}^n$
  - Compute  $c := a \oplus b$
  - $c = x_i$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$  (Union Bound)
  - Repeat and take majority to obtain  $x_i^*$  s.t.  $x_i^* = x_i$  with prob.  $1 \mathsf{negl}(n)$
  - Output  $x^* = x_1^* \dots x_n^*$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○ ○○

Fall 2018

10 / 12

Try on your own

601.642/442: Modern Cryptography

Hard Core Predicate

Fall 2018 11 / 12

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Try on your own (or read from lecture notes)

- Goldreich-Levin Theorem extremely influential even outside cryptography
- Applications to learning, list-decoding codes, extractors,...
- Extremely useful tool to add to your toolkit

Fall 2018

11 / 12

- One-way functions are necessary for most of cryptography
- But often not sufficient. *Black-box* separations known [Impagliazzo-Rudich'89]; full separations not known
- Additional Reading: Universal One-way Functions
  - Suppose somebody tells you that OWFs exist! E.g., they might discover a proof for it!
  - But they don't tell you what this function is. E.g., even they might not know the function! They just have a proof of its existence...
  - Can you use this fact to build an **explicit** OWF?
  - Yes! Levin gives us a method!