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## Securely Computing any Function

Main question: How can Alice and Bob securely compute any function $f$ over their private inputs $x$ and $y$ ?

## Two Solutions:

- Goldreich-Micali-Wigderson (GMW): Highly interactive solution. Extends naturally to multiparty case
- Yao's Garbled Circuits: Requires little interaction, but only tailored to two-party case


## Circuit Representation

Function $f(x, y)$ can be written as a boolean circuit $C$ :

- Input: Input wires of $C$ correspond to inputs $x$ and $y$ to $f$
- Gates: C contains AND and NOT gates, where each gate has fan in at most 2 and arbitrary fan out

- Output: Output wires of $C$ correspond to output of $f(x, y)$


## Secret Sharing

A $k$-out-of- $n$ secret sharing scheme allows for "dividing" a secret value $s$ into $n$ parts $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ s.t.

- Correctness: Any subset of $k$ shares can be "combined" to reconstruct the secret $s$
- Privacy: The value $s$ is completely hidden from anyone who only has at most $k-1$ shares of $s$

Think: How to formalize?

## Secret Sharing: Definition

## Definition

A $(k, n)$ secret-sharing consists of a pair of PPT algorithms
(Share, Reconstruct) s.t.:

- Share( $s$ ) produces an $n$ tuple $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$
- Reconstruct $\left(s_{i_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{i_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ is s.t. if $\left\{s_{i_{1}}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{i_{k}}^{\prime}\right\} \subseteq\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$, then it outputs $s$
- For any two $s$ and $\tilde{s}$, and for any subset of at most $k-1$ indices $X \subset[1, n],|X|<k$, the following two distributions are statistically close:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Share}(s):\left(s_{i} \mid i \in X\right)\right\}, \\
& \left\{\left(\tilde{s}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{s}_{n}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Share}(\tilde{s}):\left(\tilde{s}_{i} \mid i \in X\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Secret Sharing: Construction

An $(n, n)$ secret-sharing scheme for $s \in\{0,1\}$ based on XOR:

- Share $(s)$ : Sample random bits $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ s.t. $s_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus s_{n}=s$
- Reconstruct $\left(s_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ : Output $s_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus s_{n}^{\prime}$

Think: Security?
Additional Reading: Shamir's $(k, n)$ secret-sharing using polynomials

## GMW Protocol: Outline

GMW protocol consists of three phases:

- Input Sharing: Each party secret-shares its input into two parts and sends one part to the other party
- Circuit evaluation: The parties evaluate the circuit in a gate-by-gate fashion in such a manner that for every internal wire $w$ in the circuit, each party holds a secret share of the value of wire $w$
- Output reconstruction: Finally, the parties exchange the secret shares of the output wires. Each party then, on its own, combines the secret shares to compute the output of the circuit


## GMW Protocol: Details

## Notation:

- Protocol Ingredients: A $(2,2)$ secret-sharing scheme (Share, Reconstruct), and a 1-out-of-4 OT scheme (OT $=(S, R)$ )
- Common input: Circuit $C$ for function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ with two $n$-bit inputs and an $n$-bit output
- A's input: $x=x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ where $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$
- $B$ 's input: $y=y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ where $y_{i} \in\{0,1\}$

Protocol Invariant: For every wire in $C(x, y)$ with value $w \in\{0,1\}$, $A$ and $B$ have shares $w^{A}$ and $w^{B}$, respectively, s.t.
Reconstruct $\left(w^{A}, w^{B}\right)=w$

## GMW Protocol: Details (contd.)

Protocol $\Pi=(A, B)$ :
Input Sharing: $A$ computes $\left(x_{i}^{A}, x_{i}^{B}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Share}\left(x_{i}\right)$ for every $i \in[n]$ and sends $\left(x_{1}^{B}, \ldots, x_{n}^{B}\right)$ to $B$. $B$ acts analogously.
Circuit Evaluation: Run the CircuitEval sub-protocol. $A$ obtains out $_{i}^{A}$ and $B$ obtains out ${ }_{i}^{B}$ for every output wire $i$.
Output Phase: For every output wire $i, A$ sends out $_{i}^{A}$ to $B$, and $B$ sends out ${ }_{i}^{B}$ to $A$. Each party computes

$$
\text { out }_{i}=\operatorname{Reconstruct}^{\left(\text {out }_{i}^{A}, \text { out }_{i}^{B}\right)}
$$

The output is out $=$ out $_{1}, \ldots$, out $_{n}$

## CircuitEval: NOT Gate

NOT Gate: Input $u$, output $w$

- $A$ holds $u^{A}, B$ holds $u^{B}$
- $A$ computes $w^{A}=u^{A} \oplus 1$
- $B$ computes $w^{B}=u^{B}$

Observe: $w^{A} \oplus w^{B}=u^{A} \oplus 1 \oplus u^{B}=\bar{u}$

## CircuitEval: AND Gate

AND Gate: Inputs $u, v$, output $w$

- $A$ holds $u^{A}, v^{A}, B$ holds $u^{B}, v^{B}$
- $A$ samples $w^{A} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow}\{0,1\}$ and computes $w_{1}^{B}, \ldots, w_{4}^{B}$ as follows:

| $u^{B}$ | $v^{B}$ | $w^{B}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $w_{1}^{B}=w^{A} \oplus\left(\left(u^{A} \oplus 0\right) \cdot\left(v^{A} \oplus 0\right)\right)$ |
| 0 | 1 | $w_{2}^{B}=w^{A} \oplus\left(\left(u^{A} \oplus 0\right) \cdot\left(v^{A} \oplus 1\right)\right)$ |
| 1 | 0 | $w_{3}^{B}=w^{A} \oplus\left(\left(u^{A} \oplus 1\right) \cdot\left(v^{A} \oplus 0\right)\right)$ |
| 1 | 1 | $w_{4}^{B}=w^{A} \oplus\left(\left(u^{A} \oplus 1\right) \cdot\left(v^{A} \oplus 1\right)\right)$ |

- $A$ and $B$ run OT $=(S, R)$ where $A$ acts as sender $S$ with inputs $\left(w_{1}^{B}, \ldots, w_{4}^{B}\right)$ and $B$ acts as receiver $R$ with input $b=1+2 u^{B}+v^{B}$


## Intuition for Security

For every wire in $C$ (except the input and output wires), each party only holds a secret share of the wire value:

- NOT gate: Follows from construction
- AND gate: Follows from security of OT

At the end, the parties only learn the values of the output wires
Exercise: Construct Simulator for $\Pi$ using Simulator for OT and prove indistinguishability

