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Overview

- Applications and advances
- Language as data
- Language models
- Part of speech
- Morphology
- Sentences and parsing
- Semantics
What is Language?

- **Nouns** — to describe things in the world

- **Verbs** — to describe actions

- **Adjectives** — to describe properties

+ glue to tie all this together
Why is Language Hard?

- **Ambiguity** on many levels
- **Sparse data** — many words are rare
- No clear understand how humans process language
This is a simple sentence
Morphology
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be 3sg present

having few parts

string of words satisfying the grammatical rules of a language

Semantics
This is a simple sentence.

But it is an instructive one.
Recent Advances

Spoken dialogue devices (Siri, Google Now, Echo, ...)

IBM Watson wins Jeopardy

Google machine translation

Web-scale question answering
IBM Watson

- IBM built a computer that won Jeopardy in 2011
- Question answering technology built on 200 million text pages, encyclopedias, dictionaries, thesauri, taxonomies, ontologies, and other databases
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South China Sea Fleet combat patrol far-sea training formation on the 21st stereoscopic beach landing training carried out in the South China Sea waters. To participate in the training, Marines, Air Force, more than 100 officers and men from Jinggangshan ship troops group. Around the beach landing D Island, forces amphibious barrier-breaking, the sea skimming assault, vertical assault subjects training.

The recipe was simple. The austerity budget would restore confidence, and this growth. It would balance the public accounts and the return of the activity. Good old British common sense! Thus spoke George Osborne, the peremptory and spirited Chancellor of the Exchequer. It does not happen. Since coming to power in 2010 David Cameron, the relentless austerity policy London to conduct no more restored the growth it has cleaned up the finances of the State.
Joseph Weizenbaum wrote the computer program **Eliza** in 1969 to demonstrate how easily people can be fooled into thinking that machines have some deep understanding.

**young woman:** Men are all alike.

**eliza:** In what way?

**young woman:** They’re always bugging us about something specific or other.

**eliza:** Can you think of a specific example?

**young woman:** Well, my boyfriend made me come here.

**eliza:** Your boyfriend made you come here?

**young woman:** He says I’m depressed much of the time.

**eliza:** I am sorry to hear you are depressed.

**young woman:** It’s true. I’m unhappy.

**eliza:** Do you think coming here will help you not to be unhappy?

Online demo: http://www-ai.ijs.si/eliza-cgi-bin/eliza_script
A Concrete Goal

- We would like to build
  - a machine that answers questions in natural language.
  - may have access to knowledge bases
  - may have access to vast quantities of English text

- Basically, a smarter Google

- This is typically called **Question Answering**
Example Question

• Question
  When was Barack Obama born?

• Text available to the machine
  Barack Obama was born on August 4, 1961

• This is easy.
  – just phrase a Google query properly:
    "Barack Obama was born on *"
  – syntactic rules that convert questions into statements are straight-forward
Example Question (2)

• Question
  What kind of plants grow in Maryland?

• Text available to the machine
  A new chemical plant was opened in Maryland.

• What is hard?
  – words may have different meanings
  – we need to be able to disambiguate between them
Example Question (3)

- **Question**
  
  Does the police use dogs to sniff for drugs?

- **Text available to the machine**
  
  The police use canines to sniff for drugs.

- **What is hard?**
  
  - words may have the same meaning (synonyms)
  - we need to be able to match them
Example Question (4)

- **Question**
  
  What is the name of George Bush’s poodle?

- **Text available to the machine**
  
  President George Bush has a terrier called Barnie.

- **What is hard?**
  
  – we need to know that **poodle** and **terrier** are related, so we can give a proper response
  – words need to be group together into semantically related classes
Example Question (5)

- **Question**
  
  Which animals love to swim?

- **Text available to the machine**
  
  Ice bears love to swim in the freezing waters of the Arctic.

- **What is hard?**
  
  - some words belong to groups which are referred to by other words
  - we need to have database of such A is-a B relationships, so-called ontologies
Example Question (6)

- **Question**
  
  Did Poland reduce its carbon emissions since 1989?

- **Text available to the machine**
  
  Due to the collapse of the industrial sector after the end of communism in 1989, all countries in Central Europe saw a fall in carbon emissions.

  Poland is a country in Central Europe.

- **What is hard?**

  - we need more complex semantic database
  - we need to do inference
language as data
Data: Words

- Definition: strings of letters separated by spaces

- But how about:
  - punctuation: commas, periods, etc. typically separated (tokenization)
  - hyphens: high-risk
  - clitics: Joe’s
  - compounds: website, Computerlinguistikvorlesung

- And what if there are no spaces:
  伦敦每日快报指出,两台记载黛安娜王妃一九九七年巴黎
  死亡车祸调查资料的手提电脑,被从前大都会警察总长的
  办公室里偷走.
Word Counts

Most frequent words in the English Europarl corpus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>any word</th>
<th>Frequency in text</th>
<th>Token</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the</td>
<td>1,929,379</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>,</td>
<td>1,297,736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>956,902</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of</td>
<td>901,174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>841,661</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and</td>
<td>684,869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in</td>
<td>582,592</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that</td>
<td>452,491</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is</td>
<td>424,895</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>424,552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nouns</th>
<th>Frequency in text</th>
<th>Content word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>129,851</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr</td>
<td>110,072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commission</td>
<td>98,073</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>president</td>
<td>71,111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parliament</td>
<td>67,518</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>union</td>
<td>64,620</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>report</td>
<td>58,506</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>council</td>
<td>57,490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>states</td>
<td>54,079</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>member</td>
<td>49,965</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
But also:

There is a large tail of words that occur only once.
33,447 words occur once, for instance

- cornflakes
- mathematicians
- Tazhikhistan
Zipf’s Law

\[ f \times r = k \]

\( f \) = frequency of a word
\( r \) = rank of a word (if sorted by frequency)
\( k \) = a constant
Zipf’s Law as a Graph

why a line in log-scales? \( fr = k \) \( \Rightarrow \) \( f = \frac{k}{r} \) \( \Rightarrow \) \( \log f = \log k - \log r \)
language models
Language models

- **Language models** answer the question:
  
  *How likely is a string of English words good English?*

- Help with ordering

  \[ p_{LM}(\text{the house is small}) > p_{LM}(\text{small the is house}) \]

- Help with word choice

  \[ p_{LM}(\text{I am going home}) > p_{LM}(\text{I am going house}) \]
N-Gram Language Models

- Given: a string of English words $W = w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_n$

- Question: what is $p(W)$?

- Sparse data: Many good English sentences will not have been seen before

→ Decomposing $p(W)$ using the chain rule:

$$p(w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_n) = p(w_1) p(w_2|w_1) p(w_3|w_1, w_2) ... p(w_n|w_1, w_2, ... w_{n-1})$$

(not much gained yet, $p(w_n|w_1, w_2, ... w_{n-1})$ is equally sparse)
Markov Chain

- **Markov assumption:**
  - only previous history matters
  - limited memory: only last \( k \) words are included in history
    (older words less relevant)
  \( \rightarrow \) \( k \)th order Markov model

- For instance 2-gram language model:

  \[
  p(w_1, w_2, w_3, ..., w_n) \approx p(w_1) p(w_2|w_1) p(w_3|w_2) ... p(w_n|w_{n-1})
  \]

- What is conditioned on, here \( w_{i-1} \) is called the **history**
Estimating N-Gram Probabilities

- Maximum likelihood estimation

\[ p(w_2|w_1) = \frac{\text{count}(w_1, w_2)}{\text{count}(w_1)} \]

- Collect counts over a large text corpus

- Millions to billions of words are easy to get
  (trillions of English words available on the web)
Example: 3-Gram

- Counts for trigrams and estimated word probabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>the green (total: 1748)</th>
<th>the red (total: 225)</th>
<th>the blue (total: 54)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>word</td>
<td>c.</td>
<td>prob.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paper</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>0.458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>group</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>0.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>light</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>party</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ecu</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 225 trigrams in the Europarl corpus start with the red
- 123 of them end with cross
→ maximum likelihood probability is $\frac{123}{225} = 0.547$. 
How good is the LM?

- A good model assigns a text of real English $W$ a high probability

- This can be also measured with cross entropy:

$$H(W) = \frac{1}{n} \log p(W^n_1)$$

- Or, perplexity

$$\text{perplexity}(W) = 2^{H(W)}$$
## Example: 3-Gram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>prediction</th>
<th>( p_{LM} )</th>
<th>(-\log_2 p_{LM})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(i&lt;s&gt;\langle s\rangle) )</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>3.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{would} \langle s\rangle i) )</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>2.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{like} \mid i \text{ would}) )</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>1.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{to} \mid \text{would like}) )</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{commend} \mid \text{like to}) )</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>8.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{the} \mid \text{to commend}) )</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>1.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{rapporteur} \mid \text{commend the}) )</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>2.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{on} \mid \text{the rapporteur}) )</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>4.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{his} \mid \text{rapporteur on}) )</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>2.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\text{work} \mid \text{on his}) )</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>3.498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(. \mid \text{his work}) )</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>1.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_{LM}(\langle s\rangle \text{work} .) )</td>
<td>0.99999</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>2.634</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Comparison 1–4-Gram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>word</th>
<th>unigram</th>
<th>bigram</th>
<th>trigram</th>
<th>4-gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>6.684</td>
<td>3.197</td>
<td>3.197</td>
<td>3.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>would</td>
<td>8.342</td>
<td>2.884</td>
<td>2.791</td>
<td>2.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>like</td>
<td>9.129</td>
<td>2.026</td>
<td>1.031</td>
<td>1.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>5.081</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commend</td>
<td>15.487</td>
<td>12.335</td>
<td>8.794</td>
<td>8.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the</td>
<td>3.885</td>
<td>1.402</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>0.880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rapporteur</td>
<td>10.840</td>
<td>7.319</td>
<td>2.763</td>
<td>2.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on</td>
<td>6.765</td>
<td>4.140</td>
<td>4.150</td>
<td>1.862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>his</td>
<td>10.678</td>
<td>7.316</td>
<td>2.367</td>
<td>1.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>work</td>
<td>9.993</td>
<td>4.816</td>
<td>3.498</td>
<td>2.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>4.896</td>
<td>3.020</td>
<td>1.785</td>
<td>1.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;/s&gt;</td>
<td>4.828</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>8.051</td>
<td>4.072</td>
<td>2.634</td>
<td>2.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perplexity</td>
<td>265.136</td>
<td>16.817</td>
<td>6.206</td>
<td>4.758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Core Challenge

- How to handle low counts and unknown n-grams?

- Smoothing
  - adjust counts for seen n-grams
  - use probability mass for unseen n-grams
  - many discount schemes developed

- Backoff
  - if 5-gram unseen → use 4-gram instead

- Neural network models promise to handle this better
parts of speech
Parts of Speech

- **Open class words** (or content words)
  - nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs
  - refer to objects, actions, and features in the world
  - *open* class, new ones are added all the time (*email*, *website*).

- **Close class words** (or function words)
  - pronouns, determiners, prepositions, connectives, ...
  - there is a limited number of these
  - mostly functional: to tie the concepts of a sentence together
Parts of Speech

There are about 30-100 parts of speech:
- distinguish between names and abstract nouns?
- distinguish between plural noun and singular noun?
- distinguish between past tense verb and present tense word?

Identifying the parts of speech is a first step towards syntactic analysis.
Ambiguous Words

• For instance: like
  – verb: I like the class.
  – preposition: He is like me.

• Another famous example: Time flies like an arrow

• Most of the time, the local context disambiguated the part of speech
Part-of-Speech Tagging

- Task: Given a text of English, identify the parts of speech of each word

- Example
  - Input: Word sequence
    Time flies like an arrow
  - Output: Tag sequence
    Time/NN flies/VB like/P an/DET arrow/NN

- What will help us to tag words with their parts-of-speech?
Relevant Knowledge for POS Tagging

- The word itself
  - Some words may only be nouns, e.g. arrow
  - Some words are ambiguous, e.g. like, flies
  - Probabilities may help, if one tag is more likely than another

- Local context
  - two determiners rarely follow each other
  - two base form verbs rarely follow each other
  - determiner is almost always followed by adjective or noun
Bayes Rule

- We want to find the best part-of-speech tag sequence $T$ for a sentence $S$:

$$\text{argmax}_T p(T|S)$$

- Bayes rule gives us:

$$p(T|S) = \frac{p(S|T) p(T)}{p(S)}$$

- We can drop $p(S)$ if we are only interested in $\text{argmax}_T$:

$$\text{argmax}_T p(T|S) = \text{argmax}_T p(S|T) p(T)$$
Decomposing the Model

- The mapping $p(S|T)$ can be decomposed into
  \[
p(S|T) = \prod_i p(w_i|t_i)
  \]

- $p(T)$ could be called a part-of-speech language model, for which we can use an n-gram model (bigram):
  \[
p(T) = p(t_1) p(t_2|t_1) p(t_3|t_2)...p(t_n|t_{n-1})
  \]

- We can estimate $p(S|T)$ and $p(T)$ with maximum likelihood estimation (and maybe some smoothing)
The model we just developed is a **Hidden Markov Model**

Elements of an HMM model:
- a set of states (here: the tags)
- an output alphabet (here: words)
- initial state (here: beginning of sentence)
- state transition probabilities (here: $p(t_n|t_{n-1})$)
- symbol emission probabilities (here: $p(w_i|t_i)$)
Graphical Representation

- When tagging a sentence, we are walking through the state graph:

- State transition probabilities: $p(t_n|t_{n-1})$
Graphical Representation

- At each state we emit a word:

- Symbol emission probabilities: $p(w_i|t_i)$
Search for the Best Tag Sequence

- We have defined a model, but how do we use it?
  - given: word sequence
  - wanted: tag sequence

- If we consider a specific tag sequence, it is straightforward to compute its probability

\[ p(S|T) p(T) = \prod_i p(w_i|t_i) p(t_i|t_{i-1}) \]

- Problem: if we have on average \( c \) choices for each of the \( n \) words, there are \( c^n \) possible tag sequences, maybe too many to efficiently evaluate
First, we go to state \texttt{NN} to emit \textit{time}:
• Then, we go to state VB to emit flies:
Walking Through the States

• Of course, there are many possible paths:

```
START

VB → VB → VB → VB

NN → NN → NN → NN

DET → DET → DET → DET

IN → IN → IN → IN

time flies like an
```
Viterbi Algorithm

- Intuition: Since state transition out of a state only depend on the current state (and not previous states), we can record for each state the optimal path.

- We record:
  - cheapest cost to state \( j \) at step \( s \) in \( \delta_j(s) \)
  - backtrace from that state to best predecessor \( \psi_j(s) \)

- Stepping through all states at each time steps allows us to compute
  - \( \delta_j(s + 1) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_i(s) p(t_j | t_i) p(w_{s+1} | t_j) \)
  - \( \psi_j(s + 1) = \arg\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_i(s) p(t_j | t_i) p(w_{s+1} | t_j) \)

- Best final state is \( \arg\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \delta_i(|S|) \), we can backtrack from there.
morphology
How Many Different Words?

10,000 sentences from the Europarl corpus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Different words</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>16k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>22k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch</td>
<td>24k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>25k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>26k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>26k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danish</td>
<td>29k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>30k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>32k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>33k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>55k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why the difference? Morphology.
Morphemes: Stems and Affixes

- Two types of morphemes
  - stems: small, cat, walk
  - affixes: +ed, un+

- Four types of affixes
  - suffix
  - prefix
  - infix
  - circumfix
• Plural of nouns
  \[\text{cat} + s\]

• Comparative and superlative of adjectives
  \[\text{small} + er\]

• Formation of adverbs
  \[\text{great} + ly\]

• Verb tenses
  \[\text{walk} + ed\]

• All inflectional morphology in English uses suffixes
Prefix

- In English: meaning changing particles

- Adjectives
  - un+friendly
  - dis+interested

- Verbs
  - re+consider

- German verb pre-fix zer implies destruction
Infix

- In English: inserting profanity for emphasis

  abso+bloody+lutely
  unbe+bloody+lievable

- Why not:

  ab+bloody+solutely
Circumfix

- No example in English

- German past participle of verb:

  ge+sag+t (German)
Not that Easy...

- Affixes are not always simply attached

- Some consonants of the lemma may be changed or removed
  - walk+ed
  - frame+d
  - emit+ted
  - eas(–y)+ier

- Typically due to phonetic reasons
Irregular Forms

- Some words have irregular forms:
  - is, was, been
  - eat, ate, eaten
  - go, went, gone

- Only most frequent words have irregular forms

- A failure of morphology:
  morphology reduces the need to create completely new words
Why Morphology?

- Alternatives
  - Some languages have no verb tenses
    → use explicit time references (*yesterday*)
  - Case inflection determines roles of noun phrase
    → use fixed word order instead
  - Cased noun phrases often play the same role as prepositional phrases

- There is value in redundancy and subtly added information...
Multiple stems

- implements regular verb morphology

→ laughs, laughed, laughing
  walks, walked, walking
  reports, reported, reporting
Automatic Discovery of Morphology
syntax
The Path So Far

- Originally, we treated language as a *sequence of words*
  → n-gram language models

- Then, we introduced the notion of *syntactic properties of words*
  → part-of-speech tags

- Now, we look at *syntactic relations* between words
  → syntax trees
A Simple Sentence

I like the interesting lecture
I like the interesting lecture
PRO VB DET JJ NN
I like the interesting lecture

- The adjective interesting gives more information about the noun lecture.
- The determiner the says something about the noun lecture.
- The noun lecture is the object of the verb like, specifying what is being liked.
- The pronoun I is the subject of the verb like, specifying who is doing the liking.
Dependency Structure

I like the interesting lecture

This can also be visualized as a **dependency tree:**

```
  like/VB
   I/PRO

  lecture/NN
    the/DET   interesting/JJ
```
Dependency Structure

I like the interesting lecture

The dependencies may also be labeled with the type of dependency
A popular grammar formalism is **phrase structure grammar**

- Internal nodes combine leaf nodes into phrases, such as *noun phrases (NP)*

![Phrase Structure Tree](image)
Building Phrase Structure Trees

- **Task:** parsing
  - *given:* an input sentence with part-of-speech tags
  - *wanted:* the right syntax tree for it

- **Formalism:** context free grammars
  - *non-terminal nodes* such as NP, S appear inside the tree
  - *terminal nodes* such as like, lecture appear at the leafs of the tree
  - *rules* such as NP → DET JJ NN
Context Free Grammars in Context

- **Chomsky hierarchy** of formal languages (terminals in caps, non-terminal lowercase)
  - **Regular**: only rules of the form $A \rightarrow a$, $A \rightarrow B$, $A \rightarrow Ba$ (or $A \rightarrow aB$)
    Cannot generate languages such as $a^n b^n$
  - **Context-free**: left-hand side of rule has to be single non-terminal, anything goes on right hand-side. Cannot generate $a^n b^n c^n$
  - **Context-sensitive**: rules can be restricted to a particular context, e.g. $\alpha A \beta \rightarrow \alpha a B c \beta$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are strings of terminal and non-terminals

- Moving up the hierarchy, languages are more expressive and parsing becomes computationally more expensive

- Is natural language context-free?
Why is Parsing Hard?

Prepositional phrase attachment: Who has the telescope?
Why is Parsing Hard?

**Scope:** Is Jim also from Hoboken?
CYK Parsing

- We have input sentence:
  
  I like the interesting lecture

- We have a set of context-free rules:
  
  \[ S \rightarrow NP \; VP, \; NP \rightarrow PRO, \; PRO \rightarrow I, \; VP \rightarrow VP \; NP, \; VP \rightarrow VB \]
  
  \[ VB \rightarrow like, \; NP \rightarrow DET \; JJ \; NN, \; DET \rightarrow the, \; JJ \rightarrow , \; NN \rightarrow lecture \]

- **Cocke-Younger-Kasami (CYK) parsing**
  
  - a **bottom-up** parsing algorithm
  
  - uses a **chart** to store intermediate result
Example

Initialize chart with the words

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>like</th>
<th>the</th>
<th>interesting</th>
<th>lecture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example

Apply first terminal rule $PRO \rightarrow I$
Example

... and so on ...

I like the interesting lecture
Try to apply a non-terminal rule to the first word
The only matching rule is $NP \rightarrow PRO$
Example

Recurse: try to apply a non-terminal rule to the first word
No rule matches
Example

Try to apply a non-terminal rule to the second word
The only matching rule is $VP \rightarrow VB$
No recursion possible, no additional rules match
Example

Try to apply a non-terminal rule to the third word
No rule matches
Example

Try to apply a non-terminal rule to the first two words
The only matching rule is $S \rightarrow NP \ VP$
No other rules match for spans of two words
One rule matches for a span of three words: \( NP \rightarrow DET\ JJ\ NN \)
Example

One rule matches for a span of four words: \( VP \rightarrow VP \ NP \)
Example

One rule matches for a span of five words: \( S \rightarrow NP \ VP \)
Statistical Parsing Models

- Currently best-performing syntactic parsers are statistical
- Assign each rule a probability

\[ p(\text{tree}) = \prod_i p(\text{rule}_i) \]

- Probability distributions are learned from manually crafted treebanks
semantics
Some words have multiple meanings.

This is called Polysemy.

Example: bank

- financial institution: I put my money in the bank.
- river shore: He rested at the bank of the river.

How could a computer tell these senses apart?
How Many Senses?

• How many senses does the word *interest* have?
  – She pays 3% *interest* on the loan.
  – He showed a lot of *interest* in the painting.
  – Microsoft purchased a controlling *interest* in Google.
  – It is in the national *interest* to invade the Bahamas.
  – I only have your best *interest* in mind.
  – Playing chess is one of my *interests*.
  – Business *interests* lobbied for the legislation.

• Are these seven different senses? Four? Three?
According to Wordnet, *interest* has 7 senses:

- **Sense 1**: a sense of concern with and curiosity about someone or something, Synonym: involvement
- **Sense 2**: the power of attracting or holding one’s interest (because it is unusual or exciting etc.), Synonym: interestingness
- **Sense 3**: a reason for wanting something done, Synonym: sake
- **Sense 4**: a fixed charge for borrowing money; usually a percentage of the amount borrowed
- **Sense 5**: a diversion that occupies one’s time and thoughts (usually pleasantly), Synonyms: pastime, pursuit
- **Sense 6**: a right or legal share of something; a financial involvement with something, Synonym: stake
- **Sense 7**: (usually plural) a social group whose members control some field of activity and who have common aims, Synonym: interest group
Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)

- For many applications, we would like to disambiguate senses
  - we may be only interested in one sense
  - searching for chemical plant on the web, we do not want to know about chemicals in bananas

- Task: Given a polysemous word, find the sense in a given context

- Popular topic, data driven methods perform well
WSD as Supervised Learning Problem

- Words can be labeled with their senses
  - A chemical **plant/PLANT-MANUFACTURING** opened in Baltimore.
  - She took great care and watered the exotic **plant/PLANT-BIOLOGICAL**.

- Features: directly neighboring words
  - plant life
  - manufacturing plant
  - assembly plant
  - plant closure
  - plant species

- More features
  - any content words in a 50 word window (**animal, equipment, employee, ...**)
  - syntactically related words, syntactic role in sense
  - topic of the text
  - part-of-speech tag, surrounding part-of-speech tags
Learning Lexical Semantics

*The meaning of a word is its use.*
Ludwig Wittgenstein, Aphorism 43

- Represent context of a word in a vector
  → Similar words have similar context vectors

- Learning with neural networks
Word Embeddings
Word Embeddings
Thematic Roles

• Words play **semantic roles** in a sentence

\[ \text{I see the woman with the telescope}. \]

• Specific verbs typically require **arguments** with specific thematic roles and allow **adjuncts** with specific thematic roles.
Information Extraction

Unstructured Web Text → Structured Sequences

Sign of the Zodiac:
1. Aries
2. Taurus
3. Gemini...

Most Common Cause of Death in America:
1. Heart Disease
2. Cancer
3. Stroke...

Largest rodent in the world:
1. Capybara
2. Beaver
3. Patagonian Cavies
questions?