Wheeler graphs, part 1
Ben Langmead

Please sign guestbook (www.langmead-lab.org/teaching-materials) to tell me briefly how you are using the slides. For original Keynote files, email me (ben.langmead@gmail.com).
BWT: matching

\[ T: g a t t a c a t \$ \]

\[ P: g a t \]

$g a t t a c a t$
a$ c a t \$ g a t t$
at$\$ g a t t a c$
att$a c a t \$ g$
c$ a t \$ g a t t a$
g$ a t t a c a t \$
t$\$ g a t t a c a$
t$a c a t \$ g a t$
t$t a c a t \$ g a t$
t$t a c a t \$ g a$
BWT: matching

\[ T: gaaattacattt \]  
\[ P: gatt \]
BWT: matching

\[ T: \textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t} \] $\textcolor{red}{a t c a t}$ 

\[ P: \textcolor{red}{g a t} \]

$g a t t a c a t$

a c a t g a t t

a t g a t t a c

a t t a c a t g

c a t g a t t a

g a t t a c a t$

t g a t t a c a

t a c a t g a t

t a c a t g a

BWT: matching

\( T : \textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$ \\
\( P : \textcolor{red}{g a t } \\

$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{a c a t }$\textcolor{red}{g a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{a t }$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c }$
$\textcolor{red}{a t c a t }$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
$\textcolor{red}{g a t t a c a t }$
BWT: matching

\[ T: \quad \text{\begin{tikzpicture}[baseline=-0.5ex]
\foreach \i in {1,2,3,4,5,6}
{\node (n\i) at (\i-0.5,0) {};\draw (n\i) -- (n\i+1.5,0);}
\end{tikzpicture}\} \]

\[ P: \text{g a t} \]

\text{gattacat}
\text{acattgatt}
\text{atgattacat}
\text{attacattg}
\text{catgattac}
\text{gattacat}$
\text{taattgatta}
\text{gattacat}$
\text{tgaattaca}
\text{tacattgat}
\text{ttacattgata}
BWT: matching

$T:$

$P: g\ a\ t$

$\begin{array}{c}
\$g\ a\ t\ a\ c\ a\ t\\a\ c\ a\ t\$g\\a\ t\$g\ a\ t\ a\ c\\a\ t\ a\ c\ a\ t\$g\\c\ a\ t\$g\ a\ t\ a\ c\\g\ a\ t\ a\ c\ a\ t\$\\t\$g\ a\ t\ a\ c\ a\ t\\t\ a\ c\ a\ t\$g\\t\ t\ a\ c\ a\ t\$g\ a\\t\ t\ a\ c\ a\ t\$g\ a\
\end{array}$
BWT: matching

\[ T : \quad \text{g a t t a c a t} \]

\[ P : \text{g a t} \]
BWT: matching

$T: \bigcirc \xrightarrow{g} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{a} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{t} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{t} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{a} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{c} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{a} \bigcirc \xrightarrow{t}$

$P: g\ a\ t$

$\$g\ a\ t\ a\ c\ a\ t$
$a\ c\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t$
$a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
$\ a\ t\ \$g\ a\ t\ a\ c$
BWT: matching

Two interpretations: we’re finding matching substrings in a string, or we’re finding matching paths in a graph.
BWT: matching

$T: \ 5 \ 3 \ 8 \ 7 \ 1 \ 4 \ 2 \ 6 \ 0$

0: $\text{gattacat}$
1: $\text{acatgatt}$
2: $\text{atactgatt}$
3: $\text{attacata}$
4: $\text{catgattata}$
5: $\text{gattacat}$
6: $\text{tgaacta}$
7: $\text{tacatgat}$
8: $\text{ttacatg}$

**Consecutivity.** In BW order, rows with same prefix are consecutive.

Is this visible in the graph? Let’s label nodes with **BW order**…
BWT: matching

Consecutivity holds for labels of nodes in the BW range; would be clearer if we redrew the graph in BWT(T) order rather than T order.

$\{2, 3\}$

Consecutivity holds for labels of nodes in the BW range; would be clearer if we redrew the graph in BWT(T) order rather than T order.

$\{6, 7, 8\}$
BWT: matching

\[
\begin{align*}
g & \rightarrow \text{3} & \rightarrow \text{8} & \rightarrow \text{7} & \rightarrow \text{1} & \rightarrow \text{4} & \rightarrow \text{2} & \rightarrow \text{6} & \rightarrow \text{0} \\
\{2, 3\} & & & & & & & & \\
\{6, 7, 8\} & & & & & & & &
\end{align*}
\]
BWT: matching

Nodes can be thought of according to what comes after (outgoing edges) and or just before (incoming)

Incoming edges spell out BWT
BWT: matching

Outgoing paths spell out suffixes/rotations
BWT: matching

Can we go beyond straight-line graphs?
BWT: matching

What does this mean?

gatta
gat$
gatta
gat$

or

g a t t a c a t $

or

g a t t a g a t $
BWT: matching

Does our way of thinking about nodes still hold?

No:

Nodes can have multiple predecessors

Nodes can have multiple suffixes leading out from them
BWT: matching

Can we preserve a total order over outgoing suffixes, even when there’s >1 per node?

{gattacat$,
gattagat$}
{attacat$,
attagat$}
{ttacat$,
ttagat$}
{tacat$,
tagat$}
{acat$,
agat$}
{cat$,
at$}
{t$,
$}
BWT: matching

Can we preserve a total order over outgoing suffixes, even when there’s >1 per node?
BWT: matching

Can we preserve a total order over outgoing suffixes, even when there’s >1 per node?

{gattacat$,
gattagat$}

\{attacat$,
attagat$\}

\{ttacat$,
ttagat$\}

\{tacat$,
tagat$\}

\{acat$,
agat$\}
BWT: matching

Can we preserve a total order over outgoing suffixes, even when there’s >1 per node?
BWT: matching

Graph has something like a BW order!
Matching aga, we still have consecutivity.
BWT: matching

Does it work for every graph?

\{gattacat$,
gattatat$\},
\{attacat$,
attatat$\},
\{ttacat$,
ttatat$\},
\{tacat$,
tagat$\},
\{acat$,
atat$\},
\{tacat$,
tagat$\},
\{ttacat$,
ttatat$\},
\{gattacat$,
gattatat$\}
BWT: matching

Does it work for every graph?

\[ \{\text{gattacat$}, \text{attatat}$\} \]
\[ \{\text{attacat$}, \text{attatat}$\} \]
\[ \{\text{ttacat$}, \text{ttatat}$\} \]
\[ \{\text{tacat$}, \text{tagat}$\} \]
\[ \{\text{acat$}, \text{atat}$\} \]
BWT: matching

Can I fix it?

{tacat$, tata$t}$

Still 🥪ed
BWT: matching

Can I fix it?
BWT: matching

For some graphs, total order exists

For others, not (but we can “fix” them sometimes)
BWT: matching

Questions:

Which graphs does it work for?

Do these graphs provably have the desired consecutivity property, so we can do matching?

How do we represent and query the graph?
Wheeler graphs

An edge-labeled directed multigraph $G$ is a Wheeler Graph if nodes can be ordered such that:

1. 0 in-degree nodes come before others

2. For all pairs of edges $e = (u, v), e' = (u', v')$ labeled $a, a'$ respectively, we have:

   $$a < a' \implies v < v', \quad \quad (a = a') \land (u < u') \implies v \leq v'.$$

$<$ alphabetical, $<$ total order over node labels

Wheeler graphs

For each pair of edges:

If edges have different labels, the destination of the edge with the smaller label must come before the destination of the edge with the larger label

\[ a < a' \implies v < v', \]

\[(a = a') \land (u < u') \implies v \leq v'.\]

If edges have the same label but different sources, the destination of the edge from the low source must not come after the destination of the edge from the high source

Consequence: node cannot have 2 incoming edges with different labels
0 in-degree nodes come before others \( (1) \)

For all pairs of edges

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha < \alpha' & \implies v < v' \quad (2) \\
(\alpha = \alpha') \land (u < u') & \implies v \leq v' \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]
Wheeler graphs

0 in-degree nodes come before others \( (1) \)

For all pairs of edges \[ a < a' \implies v < v' \] \( (2) \)

\[ (a = a') \land (u < u') \implies v \leq v' \] \( (3) \)

Is this a Wheeler Graph? \textbf{No}

\( a < a' \) but \( v = v' \) \( (2) \) cannot hold
Wheeler graphs

0 in-degree nodes come before others \quad (1)

For all pairs of edges
\[
\begin{align*}
    a < a' & \implies v < v' \quad (2) \\
    (a = a') \land (u < u') & \implies v \leq v' \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

What if we flip edges to follow the direction of matching?

\[a = a' \text{ and } v = v', \text{ so } (3) \text{ is satisfied whether or not } u < u'\]
Wheeler graphs

0 in-degree nodes come before others \( (1) \)

For all pairs of edges

\[
\begin{align*}
& a < a' \implies v < v' \quad (2) \\
& (a = a') \land (u < u') \implies v \leq v' \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

Successors of edges labeled:

- \( a \): \{1, 2, 3\}
- \( g \): \{5, 6\}
- \( c \): \{4\}
- \( t \): \{7, 8, 9\}

\( (2) \) satisfied

Exercise: prove \( (3) \) is satisfied for all pairs of edges ✅ Wheeler