

600.271 Key to HW8  
Sp '13

11) First we present a general algo that computes all the vertices that are reachable (i.e. exist paths to) from any given vertex  $v$ . (This was discussed in the class). ~~start~~ create a set  $S = \{v\}$ . From each vertex  $u$  in  $S$  compute  $\{w \mid (u, w) \text{ is an edge}\}$ . Add this set to  $S$ . If the size of  $S$  increases then repeat the above procedure. We called it the "contiguous method" or "breadth first search". Since the number of vertices is  $n$  & since repetition happens only after the size of  $S$  increases by at least 1, there are at most  $n-1$  iterations. The overall speed is  $O(nm)$ . A more careful way results in  $O(m)$  steps.

now test that all vertices are reachable from the given vertex. This takes  $O(nm)$  steps. Then test that from each of the other vertices  $u$  is reachable. This takes  $O(n^2m)$  steps. The overall speed is  $O(n^2m)$ . So the algo. is in P.

After testing that there is a path from  $u$  to every vertex, the rest of the computation can

be speeded up by first computing  $G^R = \{(u,v) \mid (v,u) \text{ is an edge of } G\}$  in  $O(n^2)$

steps. Then test whether every vertex is reachable from  $u$  in  $G^R$ .

You don't need to worry about this refinement.

IV 4) Given an nfa  $M$  and a string  $x$ , is  $x \in L(M)$ ?

We cannot assume that the length of  $[M]$  is far smaller than  $|x|$ . So we cannot convert the nfa  $M$  to a dfa. The size of the dfa can be exponentially larger than  $|[M]|$ .

Let  $x = a_1 \dots a_m$

For each  $0 \leq i \leq m$  we compute

$S_i = \{q \mid \text{nfa } M \text{ can end up in state } q \text{ on the input } a_1 \dots a_i\}$

$S_0 = \{q_0\}$   $q_0$  is the initial state of  $M$

Computation of  $S_i$  from  $S_{i-1}$

$S_i = \{q' \mid q \in S_{i-1} \text{ and } \underbrace{a_1 \dots a_{i-1}}_{q \rightarrow q'} a_i \text{ is a transition of } M\}$

After computing  $S_m$ , we check whether one of the final states of  $M$  is in  $S_m$ .

We will grade this as a BONUS PROBLEM since you are not required to know any aspects of the dynamic programming technique.

IV 6. Algo: Let the elements be  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .  
Compute  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ .

Check whether any  $x_i > \frac{2W}{3}$ . If so, output 'yes' else output 'no' and halt.

Clearly the algo runs in  $O(n)$  steps.

Now we argue that the algo is correct.

If some  $x_i > \frac{2W}{3}$ , then in any partition, the set that doesn't contain this  $x_i$  adds up to  $< \frac{W}{3}$ .

If no  $x_i > \frac{2W}{3}$ ; then we argue that a the required partition exists.

Case 1: If there exists an  $x_k$  s.t.  $\frac{W}{3} \leq x_k \leq \frac{2W}{3}$ , then  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\}$  is OK.

Case 2: If every  $x_k < \frac{W}{3}$ .

Start with  $S = \{x_1\}$ .

~~Greedy~~ Repeatedly & greedily include the next element into  $S$  until the sum of the elements is  $\geq \frac{W}{3}$ . This sum must be  $< \frac{2W}{3}$  since each

element is  $< \frac{W}{3}$ . Now the remaining elements form block 2.

8. CFG  $G$ , is  $L(G) = \phi$  ?

We first compute  $\Delta = \{A \mid \exists x \in \Sigma^* (A \xrightarrow{*} x)\}$ .

Then we check whether  $S \in \Delta$ . If  $S \notin \Delta$  then  $L(G) = \phi$ .

Computation of  $\Delta$  (For simplicity, we assume  $G$  in normal form).

If  $A \rightarrow a$ ,  $a \in \Sigma$ , is a production then include  $A$  in  $\Delta$ .

Repeat the following procedure as long as the size of  $\Delta$  increases.

For every  $A \rightarrow BC$ , if  $B, C \in \Delta$  then add  $A$  to  $\Delta$ .

Since the size of  $\Delta$  is bounded by the number of nonterminals, if there are  $n$  nonterminals, the number of repetitions is  $\leq n-1$ .

~~Argue that it runs in  $O(n^3)$~~

If the size of  $G$  is  $n$ , then the number of nonterminals is  $\leq n$  & the number of productions is  $\leq n^2$ .

Each repetition takes  $O(n)$  steps. Hence the overall algorithm runs in  $O(n^2)$  steps.

V 1. digraph  $G, k$

$k \leq l, l \leq n$ .  
 nondet guess  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l$   
 $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l$  s.t. ~~det~~ ok.

check that  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l$  are distinct.

check that  $(u_1, u_2), (u_2, u_3), \dots, (u_{l-1}, u_l), (u_l, v_1),$   
 $(v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), \dots, (v_{l-1}, v_l), (v_l, v_1)$  are

edges.

if all the tests succeed, output 'yes' else output 'no' & halt.

Argue the correctness.

Verifier method: Proof needed is  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l$ . The rest of the steps from the det. verifies.

5.  $x = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ , is  $x$  not a prime.  
 nondet guess  $y = b_1 b_2 \dots b_m, z = c_1 \dots c_l, m, l \leq n$ .  
 Then check  $x = yz$ . If so, answer 'yes' else answer 'no'.

Verifier method: Proof needed is  $y, z$ .  
 Then the verifier is as above.

(of course, in both cases  $y$  suffices. Then we divide  $x$  by  $y$  & test that there is no remainder.)