

**600.271 Automata & Computation Theory** ✖  
**Second Mid-Semester Examination**  
 April 12, 2011  
 In-class, Closed Book  
 Time: 1 hr, 10 minutes

I. (10 pts.) Design a context-free grammar for the language  
 $\{xx^Ryy^R \mid x, y \in \{a, b\}^+, abb \text{ is a substring of } x, \text{ and } |y| \text{ is odd}\}$

$$S \rightarrow S_1 S_2 \quad S_1 \text{ for } xx^R \quad S_2 \text{ for } yy^R$$

~~$$S_2 \rightarrow S_2' a \mid S_2' b$$~~

$$\begin{cases} S_2 \rightarrow a S_2' a \mid b S_2' b \mid aa \mid bb \\ S_2' \rightarrow a S_2 a \mid b S_2 b \end{cases}$$

$$S_1 \rightarrow a S_1 a \mid b S_1 b \mid \text{abb} \times \text{bba}$$

~~$$x \rightarrow a x a \mid b x b \mid a x a$$~~

$$x \rightarrow a x a \mid b x b \mid \epsilon$$

\* I was not planning to write solutions to these problems. But the TA & a couple of students in the class to post ~~me~~ solutions to these problems -  
 4/15/2013

II. (10 pts.) Establish the decidability of one of the following problems by designing an appropriate algorithm.

- Given a CFG  $G$ , is there an  $x \in L(G)$  such that  $|x|$  is even?
- Given  $[M_1]$  and  $[M_2]$ ,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  being dfa language recognizers, is  $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  an infinite set?

1) For simplicity of description, we assume that the CFG is in Chomsky normal form. But the proof can be extended to any form. For each nonterminal  $A$ , we create  $A_{ev}$  &  $A_{od}$ , and we create a set  $\Delta$  s.t.

$$A_{ev} \in \Delta \iff A \xRightarrow{*} \alpha \text{ \& } |\alpha| \text{ is even, and}$$

$$A_{od} \in \Delta \iff A \xRightarrow{*} \alpha \text{ \& } |\alpha| \text{ is odd.}$$

Initially  $\Delta$  is empty.

For each  $A \rightarrow a$ , add  $A_{od}$  to  $\Delta$ .  
 For each  $A \rightarrow \epsilon$ , add  $A_{ev}$  to  $\Delta$ .

Repeat until the size of  $\Delta$  doesn't increase

For each  $A \rightarrow BC$ , and

- $B_{ev}, C_{ev} \in \Delta \Rightarrow$  add  $A_{ev}$  to  $\Delta$
- $B_{ev}, C_{od} \in \Delta \Rightarrow$  add  $A_{od}$  to  $\Delta$
- $B_{od}, C_{ev} \in \Delta \Rightarrow$  add  $A_{od}$  to  $\Delta$
- $B_{od}, C_{od} \in \Delta \Rightarrow$  add  $A_{ev}$  to  $\Delta$ .

Since the max size of  $\Delta$  is  $2^N$  if  $N$  is the number of nonterminals, the process stops in a finite number of steps. Finally check whether  $S_{ev} \in \Delta$ , if so output 'yes' else output 'no'.

Claim:  
 2) If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  have  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  states, then  $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  is infinite iff  $M_1$  &  $M_2$  accepts a string  $x$  s.t.  $s \leq |x| \leq 2s$ .  
 then check ~~every~~ <sup>whether a</sup> string of length in the interval  $[s, 2s]$  is accepted by both  $M_1$  &  $M_2$ .

~~Pf outline of claim. If no since  $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$~~

An additional sheet is attached.

Pf: If  $M_1, \& M_2$  accept a string of length  $n \in [B, 2B]$ ,  
 let one such string be  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_l$  s.t.  $B \leq l \leq 2B$   
 let the pairs of states reached by  $M_1, \& M_2$  as this string  
 is accepted be  $(p_0, q_0), (p_1, q_1), \dots, (p_{l+1}, q_{l+1})$ . (p's of  
 $M_1, \& q$ 's for  $M_2$ )

Since there are only  $B_1, B_2$  distinct ~~at~~ pairs, 2 of  
 the pairs are equal. let them be  $(p_i, q_i) \& (p_j, q_j)$   $i < j$   
<sub>-the string</sub>

Then  $a_1 \dots a_i (a_{i+1} \dots a_j)^k a_{j+1} \dots a_l$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , are accepted by  
 both  $M_1, \& M_2$ . This is a set of infinite strings.

If  $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$  is an infinite set, it must contain  
 a string of length  $\geq B$ . let a shortest such string be

$a_1 \dots a_l$ . If  $l \leq 2B$ , we are done.

If  $l > 2B$ , track the pairs as above and cut  
 off a substring of length  $\leq B_1, B_2$  resulting in a  
 shorter string of length  $\geq B$  that is accepted. This  
 violates the minimality assumption.

III. (10 pts.) Design a Turing machine for computing the following function.

$$f(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} x + (y - z) & \text{if } y \geq z \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

I am skipping this simple problem which almost every student got it ~~right~~ correct.

IV. (10 pts.) Solve one of the following problems.

- Prove the undecidability of the following problem. Given CFGs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , is there an  $x \in L(G_1) \cap (L(G_2))^R$ , and  $|x|$  is even? (Hint: Reduce the Post Correspondence Problem to this problem.
- Recall that the Uniform Halting Problem (UHP) asks whether a given Turing machine halts on every input. Reduce the UHP to the problem of testing whether a given TM computes the identity function; i.e. the function  $f$  s.t.  $f(x) = x$  for every  $x$ .

a)  $PCP \leq_m$  this problem

Typical inst.  $E = (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  CFGs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$

Given  $E$  we want to transform it to  $(G_1, G_2)$  s.t.  $E$  has a solution iff  $\exists x \in L(G_1) \cap (L(G_2))^R \triangleright |x|$  is even.

$G_1: S \rightarrow x_1 S_1 | x_2 S_2 | \dots | x_n S_n$

$G_2: S \rightarrow y_1^R S_1 | y_2^R S_2 | \dots | y_n^R S_n$

It is easily seen that  $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} = y_{j_1}^R \dots y_{j_m}^R$  iff  $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} \in L(G_1) \cap (L(G_2))^R$

Note also that  $(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} y_{j_1}^R \dots y_{j_m}^R)^2$  is of even length.

Note that the transformation from  $E$  to  $(G_1, G_2)$  is computable.

b)  $UHP \leq_m$  this problem

Typical inst.  $[M]$

Transform  $[M]$  to  $[M']$  s.t. TM  $M$  halts on every input iff TM  $M'$  computes  $f(x) = x$  for every  $x$ .

$M'$ : On any input  $x$ ,  $M'$  simulates  $M$  on input  $x$ . If  $M$  halts,  $M'$  erases the computation & leaves  $x$  on tape.

The rest is easy.