

600.271 Automata & Computation Theory
Second Mid-Semester Examination
In-class, Closed Book
April 11, 2012
Time: 1 hr, 10 minutes

I. (10 pts.) Design a context-free grammar for the language
 $\{xcy \mid x, y \in \{a, b\}^*, |x| = |y|, x \neq y^R, \text{ and } |x| \text{ is an odd integer}\}$.

S_i : odd, S_e : even (before mismatch)
 A_i : odd, A_e : even (after mismatch)

$x \rightarrow a \mid b$

$\begin{cases} S_i \rightarrow x S_i x \\ S_e \rightarrow x S_e x \end{cases}$

$S_i \rightarrow a A_i b \mid b A_i a$ (mismatch)
 $S_e \rightarrow a A_e b \mid b A_e a$ (mismatch)

$\begin{cases} A_i \rightarrow x A_i x \\ A_e \rightarrow x A_e x \end{cases} \mid \epsilon$

II. (10 pts.) Specify a decision procedure for the following problem:

- Given n dfas, M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n , over the alphabet Σ , do there exist 2 dfas M_k and M_ℓ , $k \neq \ell$, such that $L(M_k) \cup L(M_\ell) = \Sigma^*$?

Given any M_i and M_j , we can test $L(M_i) \cup L(M_j) = \Sigma^*$ as follows:

Method 1:

design a dfa for the language $\Sigma^* - (L(M_i) \cup L(M_j))$,
 construct an nfa for $L(M_i) \cup L(M_j)$
 convert it to dfa
 swap final and non-final states.
 check whether there exists a path from the initial state to a final state.

Method 2:


First argue that if $L(M_i) \cup L(M_j) \neq \Sigma^*$ then \exists an input string of length $\leq \beta_1, \beta_2$ (where β_1, β_2 are the number of states of M_i and M_j , resp.)
 Feed every string of length $\leq \beta_1, \beta_2$ into M_i & M_j .
 If some string is rejected by both M_i & M_j , then $L(M_i) \cup L(M_j) \neq \Sigma^*$.

Overall procedure

For every pair (i, j) check whether $L(M_i) \cup L(M_j) = \Sigma^*$.
 If for some pair the check succeeds respond 'yes'.
 else respond 'no' and halt.

Method 0.

design a dfa for the lang $L(M_i) \cup L(M_j)$.
 construct an nfa for $L(M_i) \cup L(M_j)$
 convert it to dfa.
 minimize the number of states, $\forall a \in \Sigma$

If the minimized dfa is  ~~the empty set~~ \emptyset
 $L(M_i) \cup L(M_j) = \Sigma^*$.

IV. (10 pts.) Solve one of the following problems.

- (a) • Assume that testing whether a given TM halts on exactly one input is undecidable. Prove that testing whether a given TM halts on exactly two inputs is undecidable.
- (b) • Recall that a given R-PCP $(x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ has a solution if there is a sequence of indices $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, m \geq 1$, such that $x_0 x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m} = y_0 y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \dots y_{i_m}$. Prove that the R-PCP problem is undecidable assuming that the PCP problem is undecidable.

(a) We show 1-input problem \leq_m 2-input problem

Typical inds $[M]$ $[M']$

Transform M to M' s.t. M halts on exactly one input iff M' halts on exactly 2 inputs

M' : on any input i , if $i \neq 0$, M' simulates M on i and $i-1$ by dovetailing. If M halts on ~~at least one of these~~ at least one of these, M' halts.
If $i = 0$, M' simulates M on 0 .

correctness

If M halts on 1 input, say on i , then M' halts on i and $i-1$
If M doesn't halt on ~~input~~ one input:
If M halts on no input: M' halts on no input; i.e. M' doesn't halt on 2 inputs.
If M halts on 2 or more inputs i_1, \dots, i_m then M' halts on $i_1, i_1+1, i_2, i_2+1, \dots, i_m, i_m+1$. Even when $m=2$ and $i_2 = i_1+1$, then M' halts on 3 inputs: i_1, i_1+1, i_1+2 .

Also the transformation from $[M]$ to $[M']$ is computable.

(b) We show $PCP \leq_R R\text{-}PCP$
typical ind $(z, y), (z_1, y_1), \dots, (z_n, y_n)$
Transform E to E' s.t. E has a solution iff E' has a solution.

E' : ~~(z_0, y_0)~~ (c, cc)

For each $(a_1 \dots a_n, b_1 b_2 \dots b_p)$ in E introduce

$(ca_1 ca_2 \dots ca_n, b_1 b_2 c \dots b_p c)$ and $(ca_1 c a_2 \dots c a_n c, b_1 b_2 c \dots b_p c)$.

Hence E' has $1 + 2n$ pairs.

(The second pair is used as the last pair in the solution).

Argue the correctness.