Lecture 4: Pseudorandomness - II

(ロ) (四) (主) (主) (主) のへで

- Hard Core Predicates
- Computational Indistinguishability

ъ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- Pseudorandom Distributions & Next-bit Unpredictability
- Completeness of Next-bit Test for Pseudorandomness
- Pseudorandom Generators
 - 1-bit stretch
 - Polynomial stretch
- Pseudorandom functions

э.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

- Uniform distribution over $\{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$ is denoted by $U_{\ell(n)}$
- <u>Intuition</u>: A distribution is pseudorandom if it looks like a uniform distribution to any efficient test

Definition (Pseudorandom Ensembles)

An ensemble $\{X_n\}$, where X_n is a distribution over $\{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$, is said to be pseudorandom if:

 $\{X_n\} \approx \{U_{\ell(n)}\}$

• Looking ahead: A PRG's output should be pseudorandom

- Here is another interesting way to talk about pseudorandomness
- A pseudorandom string should pass all efficient tests that a (truly) random string would pass
- Next Bit Test: for a truly random sequence of bits, it is not possible to predict the "next bit" in the sequence with probability better than 1/2 even given all previous bits of the sequence so far
- A sequence of bits *passes the next bit test* if no efficient adversary can predict "the next bit" in the sequence with probability better than 1/2 even given all previous bits of the sequence so far

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

Definition (Next-bit Unpredictability)

An ensemble of distributions $\{X_n\}$ over $\{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$ is next-bit unpredictable if, for all $0 \leq i < \ell(n)$ and n.u. PPT \mathcal{A} , \exists negligible function $\nu(\cdot)$ s.t.:

$$\Pr[t = t_1 \dots t_{\ell(n)} \sim X_n \colon \mathcal{A}(t_1 \dots t_i) = t_{i+1}] \leqslant \frac{1}{2} + \nu(n)$$

Theorem (Completeness of Next-bit Test)

If $\{X_n\}$ is next-bit unpredictable then $\{X_n\}$ is pseudorandom.

$$H_n^{(i)} := \left\{ x \sim X_n, u \sim U_n \colon x_1 \dots x_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{\ell(n)} \right\}$$

- First Hybrid: H_n^0 is the uniform distribution $U_{\ell(n)}$
- Last Hybrid: $H_n^{\ell(n)}$ is the distribution X_n
- Suppose $H_n^{(\ell(n))}$ is next-bit unpredictable but not pseudorandom
- $H_n^{(0)} \not\approx H_n^{(\ell(n))} \implies \exists i \in [\ell(n) 1] \text{ s.t. } H_n^{(i)} \not\approx H_n^{(i+1)}$
- Now, next bit unpredictability is violated
- <u>Exercise</u>: Do the full formal proof

(ロ) (回) (三) (三) (三) (0,0)

Definition (Pseudorandom Generator)

A deterministic algorithm G is called a *pseudorandom generator* (PRG) if:

- G can be computed in polynomial time
- |G(x)| > |x|

•
$$\left\{x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n : G(x)\right\} \approx_c \left\{U_{\ell(n)}\right\}$$
 where $\ell(n) = |G(0^n)|$

The **stretch** of G is defined as: |G(x)| - |x|

- Can we construct PRG with even 1-bit stretch?
- What about many bits? Can we generically stretch?

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

PRG with 1-bit stretch

- Remember the hardcore predicate?
- It is hard to guess h(s) even given f(s)
- Let G(s) = f(s) ||h(s) where f is a OWF
- Some small issues:
 - -|f(s)| might be less than |s|
 - -f(s) may always start with prefix 101 (not random)
- Solution: let f be a one-way permutation (OWP) over $\{0,1\}^n$
 - Domain and Range are of same size, i.e., |f(s)| = |s| = n

-
$$f(s)$$
 is uniformly random over $\{0,1\}^n$ if s is
 $\forall y : \Pr[f(s) = y] = \Pr[s = f^{-1}(y)] = 2^{-n}$
 $\Rightarrow f(s)$ is uniform and cannot start with a fix value!

◆ロト ◆母 ト ◆臣 ト ◆臣 ト ○臣 ○ のへの

PRG with 1-bit stretch

- Let $f: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^*$ be a **OWP**
- \bullet Let $h:\{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$ be a hard core predicate for f
- Construction: $G(s) = f(s) \parallel h(s)$

Theorem (PRG based on OWP)

 $G \ is \ a \ pseudorandom \ generator \ with \ 1-bit \ stretch.$

- <u>Think:</u> Proof?
- <u>Proof Idea</u>: Use next-bit unpredictability. Since first *n* bits of the output are uniformly distributed (since *f* is a permutation), any adversary for next-bit unpredictability with non-negligible advantage $\frac{1}{p(n)}$ must be predicting the (n + 1)th bit with advantage $\frac{1}{p(n)}$. Build an adversary for hard-core predicate to get a contradiction.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへの

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^{\ell(n)}$: • Let $G: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG

$$s = X_0$$

 $G(X_0) = X_1 || b_1$
 \vdots
 $G(X_{\ell(n)-1}) = X_{\ell(n)} || b_{\ell(n)}$

• $G_{poly}(s) := b_1 \dots b_{\ell(n)}$

Think: Proof?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ● 今へで

Proof that G_{poly} is pseudorandom

• Want:
$$\left\{ s \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n : G_{poly}(s) \right\} \approx_c \left\{ U_{\ell(n)} \right\}$$

• Let D be any non-uniform PPT algorithm.

Step 0:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{Experiment } H_0 \\ s &= X_0 \\ G(X_0) &= X_1 \| b_1 \\ G(X_1) &= X_2 \| b_2 \\ \vdots \\ G(X_{\ell-1}) &= X_\ell \| b_\ell
\end{array}$$

Output $D(b_1b_2\ldots b_\ell)$

Claim: $\left| \Pr_s[D(G'(s)) = 1] - \Pr_s[H_0 = 1] \right| = 0.$ **Proof:** Input of *D* is identically distributed in both cases. \Box

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン … ヨ

Proof that G_{poly} is pseudorandom

Step 1: modify H_0 one line at a time.

$$\frac{\text{Experiment } H_0}{s = X_0}$$

$$G(X_0) = X_1 || b_1$$

$$G(X_1) = X_2 || b_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$G(X_{\ell-1}) = X_\ell || b_\ell$$

Output $D(b_1b_2\ldots b_\ell)$.

Proof that G_{poly} is pseudorandom

Step 1: modify H_0 one line at a time.

Claim:

$$\frac{\text{Experiment } H_0}{s = X_0} \qquad \frac{\text{Experiment } H_1}{s = X_0} \\
G(X_0) = X_1 \| b_1 \qquad X_1 \| b_1 = s_1 \| u_1 \\
G(X_1) = X_2 \| b_2 \qquad G(s_1) = X_2 \| b_2 \\
\vdots \qquad \vdots \\
G(X_{\ell-1}) = X_\ell \| b_\ell \qquad G(X_{\ell-1}) = X_\ell \| b_\ell \\
\text{Output } D(b_1 b_2 \dots b_\ell). \qquad \text{Output } D(u_1 b_2 \dots b_\ell). \\
\text{Pr}_s[H_0 = 1] - \Pr_{s,s_1,u_1}[H_1 = 1] \Big| \leq \mu(n)$$

• Can similarly define $H_2, \ldots, H_{\ell-1}$ s.t. in $H_{\ell-1}, b_1 b_2 \ldots b_{\ell}$ is sampled from U_{ℓ}

• To prove that G_{poly} is PRG, it suffices to show that $H_0 \approx_c H_\ell$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

Step 2: Hybrid Lemma

- For contradiction, suppose that G_{poly} is not a PRG, i.e., H_0 and H_{ℓ} are distinguishable with non-negligible probability $\frac{1}{p(n)}$
- By Hybrid Lemma, there exists *i* s.t. H_i and H_{i+1} are distinguishable with probability $\frac{1}{p(n)\ell(n)}$
- <u>Idea</u>: Contradict the security of G

ヘロト 人間ト 人団ト 人口ト

Proof that G_{poly} is pseudorandom (contd.)

Step 3: Breaking security of G

- For simplicity, suppose that i = 0 (proof works for any i)
- $\bullet\,$ Construct D to break the pseudorandomness of G as follows
 - D gets as input Z || r sampled either as $X_1 || b_1$ or as $s_1 || u_1$
 - Compute $X_2 || b_2 = G(Z)$ and continue as the rest of the experiment(s)
 - Output $D(rb_2 \dots b_\ell)$
- If Z || r is pseudorandom, i.e., sampled as $X_1 || b_1 = G(s)$, then output of D is distributed identically to the output of H_0
- Otherwise, i.e., Z || r is (truly) random, and therefore output of D is is distributed identically to the output of H_1
- Hence: D distinguishes the output of G with advantage $\frac{1}{p(n)\ell(n)}$ and runs in polynomial time. This is a contradiction \Box

◆ロト ◆母 ト ◆ 臣 ト ◆ 臣 ・ のへの

- OWF \implies PRG: [Impagliazzo-Levin-Luby-89] and [Hastad-90]
 - Celebrated result! Good to read
- More Efficient Constructions: [Vadhan-Zheng-12]
- Computational analogues of Entropy
- Non-cryptographic PRGs and Derandomization: [Nisan-Wigderson-88]

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト