

# One Way Functions (Part II)

601.642/442: Modern Cryptography

Fall 2017

- Modeling adversaries as non-uniform PPT Turing machines
- Negligible and noticeable functions
- Definitions of strong and weak OWFs
- Factoring assumption
- Candidate weak OWF  $f_x$  based on factoring assumption

# Today's Agenda

- Proving  $f_x$  is a weak OWF
- Yao's hardness amplification: from weak to strong OWFs

# Recall

## Definition (Weak One Way Function)

A function  $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$  is a *weak one-way function* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- **Easy to compute:** there is a PPT algorithm  $\mathcal{C}$  s.t.  $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ,

$$\Pr [\mathcal{C}(x) = f(x)] = 1.$$

- **Somewhat hard to invert:** there is a **noticeable** function  $\varepsilon : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t. for every non-uniform PPT  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\Pr \left[ x \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n, x' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(1^n, f(x)) : f(x') \neq f(x) \right] \geq \varepsilon(n).$$

**Noticeable (or non-negligible):**  $\exists c$  s.t. for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon(n) \geq \frac{1}{n^c}$ .

## Recall (contd.)

- Multiplication function  $f_{\times} : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ :

$$f_{\times}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \perp & \text{if } x = 1 \vee y = 1 \\ x \cdot y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### Theorem

*Assuming the factoring assumption, function  $f_{\times}$  is a weak OWF.*

## Proof Idea

- Let GOOD be the set of inputs  $(x, y)$  to  $f_{\times}$  s.t. both  $x$  and  $y$  are prime numbers
- When  $(x, y) \in \text{GOOD}$ , adversary cannot invert  $f_{\times}(x, y)$  (due to hardness of factoring)
- Suppose adversary inverts with probability 1 when  $(x, y) \notin \text{GOOD}$
- But if  $\Pr[(x, y) \in \text{GOOD}]$  is noticeable, then overall, adversary can only invert with a bounded noticeable probability
- Formally: Let  $q(n) = 8n^{-2}$ . Will show that no non-uniform PPT adversary can invert  $f_{\times}$  with probability greater than  $1 - \frac{1}{q(n)}$

# Proof via Reduction

**Goal:** Given an adversary  $A$  that breaks weak one-wayness of  $f_x$  with probability *at least*  $1 - \frac{1}{q(n)}$ , we will construct an adversary  $B$  that breaks the factoring assumption with non-negligible probability

**Adversary  $B(z)$ :**

- 1  $x, y \xleftarrow{\$} 0, 1^n$
- 2 If  $x$  and  $y$  are primes, then  $z' = z$
- 3 Else,  $z' = x \cdot y$
- 4  $w \leftarrow A(1^n, z')$
- 5 Output  $w$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are primes

## Analysis of $B$ :

- Since  $A$  is non-uniform PPT, so is  $B$  (using polynomial-time primality testing)
- $A$  fails to invert with probability at most  $\frac{1}{q(n)} = \frac{1}{8n^2}$
- $B$  fails to pass  $z$  to  $A$  with probability at most  $1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}$  (by Chebyshev's Thm.)
- Union bound:  $B$  fails with probability at most  $1 - \frac{1}{8n^2}$
- $B$  succeeds with probability at least  $\frac{1}{8n^2}$ : **Contradiction to factoring assumption!**



# Weak to Strong OWFs

## Theorem (Yao)

*Strong OWFs exist if and only weak OWFs exist*

- This is called **hardness amplification**: convert a somewhat hard problem into a really hard problem

# Weak to Strong OWFs

## Theorem (Yao)

*Strong OWFs exist if and only weak OWFs exist*

- This is called **hardness amplification**: convert a somewhat hard problem into a really hard problem
- Intuition: Use the weak OWF *many* times
- Think: Is  $f(f(\dots f(x)))$  a good idea?

## Weak to Strong OWFs

- GOOD inputs: hard to invert, BAD inputs: easy to invert
- A OWF is weak when the fraction of BAD inputs is **noticeable**
- In a strong OWF, the fraction of BAD inputs is **negligible**
- To convert weak OWF to strong, use the weak OWF on **many** (say  $N$ ) inputs independently
- In order to successfully invert the new OWF, adversary must invert ALL the  $N$  outputs of the weak OWF
- If  $N$  is sufficiently large and the inputs are chosen independently at random, then the probability of inverting all of them will be very small

# Weak to Strong OWFs

## Theorem

For any weak one-way function  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ , there exists a polynomial  $N(\cdot)$  s.t. the function  $F : \{0, 1\}^{n \cdot N(n)} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n \cdot N(n)}$  defined as

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{N(n)}) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_{N(n)}))$$

is strongly one-way.

- Think: Show that when  $f$  is the  $f_{\times}$  function, then  $F$  is a strong one-way function

# Proof Strategy

- Since  $f$  is weakly one-way, let  $q(\cdot)$  be a polynomial s.t. for any adversary  $A$ , probability of inverting  $f$  is at most  $1 - \frac{1}{q(n)}$
- Set  $N$  s.t.  $\left(1 - \frac{1}{q(n)}\right)^N$  is small. Observe:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{q(n)}\right)^{nq(n)} \approx \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^n$$

- Suppose  $F$  is not a strong OWF. Then there exists adversary  $A$  and polynomial  $p'(\cdot)$  s.t.  $A$  inverts  $F$  with probability at least  $\frac{1}{p'(nN)} = \frac{1}{p(n)}$
- Think: How to use  $A$  to construct adversary  $B$  for  $f$ ?
  - Feed input  $(y, \dots, y)$  to  $A$ ?
  - Feed input  $(y, y_2, \dots, y_N)$  to  $A$  where  $y_2, \dots, y_N$  are computed using randomly chosen  $x_2, \dots, x_N$ ?

# Adversary $B$ for $f$

**Adversary  $B_0(f, y)$ :**

- Choose  $i \xleftarrow{\$} [N]$  and let  $y_i = y$
- For every  $j \neq i$ , sample  $x_j \in \{0, 1\}^N$  and let  $y_j = f(x_j)$
- Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_N) \leftarrow A(1^{nN}, y_1, \dots, y_N)$
- If  $f(z_i) = y$ , output  $z_i$ , else output  $\perp$

**Adversary  $B(y)$ :**

- Run  $B_0(f, y)$   $2nNp(n)$  times and output the first non- $\perp$  answer

# Analysis of $B$

## Strategy:

- Define GOOD as the set of inputs  $x$  to  $f$  s.t.  $B_0$  inverts  $f(x)$  with noticeable probability  $\alpha(n)$
- Choose  $\alpha(n)$  s.t. when  $x \in \text{GOOD}$ ,  $B$  fails to invert  $f(x)$  with negligible probability. That is,  $B$  succeeds in inverting  $f(x)$  for  $x \in \text{GOOD}$  with high probability
- Prove that  $x \in \text{GOOD}$  with high probability
- Now, even if  $B$  always fails when  $x \notin \text{GOOD}$ , overall,  $B$  will still succeed in inverting with noticeable probability

**Think:** Details?