Secure Computation - III

 ${
m CS}~600.442~{
m Modern}~{
m Cryptography}$

Fall 2016

Securely Computing any Function

Main question: How can Alice and Bob securely compute any function f over their private inputs x and y?

Two Solutions:

- Last time: Goldreich-Micali-Wigderson (GMW). Highly interactive solution. Extends naturally to multiparty case
- Today: Yao's Garbled Circuits technique. Requires little interaction, but only tailored to two-party case

Garbled Circuits

A Garbling Scheme consists of two procedures (Garble, Eval):

• $\mathsf{Garble}(C)$: Takes as input a circuit C and outputs a collection of garbled gates $\hat{\mathsf{G}}$ and garbled input wires $\hat{\mathsf{In}}$ where

$$\hat{G} = {\hat{g}_1, \dots, \hat{g}_{|C|}},$$

$$\hat{In} = {\hat{In}_1, \dots, \hat{In}_n}.$$

• Eval(\hat{G} , \hat{ln}_x): Takes as input a garbled circuit \hat{G} and garbled input wires \hat{ln}_x corresponding to an input x and outputs z = C(x)

4D> 4B> 4B> B 990

Garbled Circuits: Ideas

- Each wire i in the circuit C is associated with two keys (k_0^i, k_1^i) of a secret-key encryption scheme, one corresponding to the wire value being 0 and other for wire value being 1
- For an input x, the evaluator is given the input wire keys $(k_{x_1}^1, \ldots, k_{x_n}^n)$ corresponding to x. Furthermore, for every gate g in C, it is also given an "encrypted" truth table of g
- We want the evaluator to use the input wire keys and the encrypted truth tables to "uncover" a single key k_v^i for every internal wire i corresponding to the value v of that wire. However, k_{1-v}^i should remain hidden from the evaluator

Special Encryption Scheme

Special Encryption Scheme: We need a secret-key encryption scheme (Gen, Enc, Dec) with an extra property: there exists a negligible function $\nu(\cdot)$ s.t. for every n and every message $m \in \{0,1\}^n$,

$$\Pr[k \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), k' \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), \mathsf{Dec}_{k'}(\mathsf{Enc}_k(m)) = \bot] > 1 - \nu(n)$$

That is, if a ciphertext is decrypted using the "wrong" key, then the answer is always \bot

Construction: Modify the secret-key encryption scheme discussed earlier in the class s.t. instead of encrypting m, we encrypt $0^n || m$. Upon decrypting, check if the first n bits of the message are all 0's; if not, then output \bot .

Garbled Circuits: Construction

Let (Gen, Enc, Dec) be a special encryption scheme. Assign an index to each wire in C s.t. the input wires have indices $1, \ldots, n$.

$\mathsf{Garble}(C)$:

- For every non-output wire i in C, sample $k_0^i \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$, $k_1^i \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$. For every output wire i in C, set $k_0^i = 0$, $k_1^i = 1$.
- For every $i \in [n]$, set $\mathsf{in}_i = (k_0^i, k_1^i)$. Set $\mathsf{In} = (\mathsf{in}_1, \dots, \mathsf{in}_n)$
- For every gate g in C with input wires (i, j), output wire ℓ :

First Input	Second Input	Output
k_0^i	k_0^j	$z_1 = Enc_{k_0^i}(Enc_{k_0^j}(k_{g(0,0)}^\ell)$
k_0^i	k_1^j	$z_2 = Enc_{k_0^i}(Enc_{k_1^j}(k_{g(0,1)}^\ell)$
k_1^i	k_0^j	$z_3 = Enc_{k_1^i}(Enc_{k_0^j}(k_{g(1,0)}^\ell))$
k_1^i	k_1^j	$z_4 = Enc_{k_1^i}(Enc_{k_1^j}(k_{g(1,1)}^\ell))$

Set $\hat{g} = \mathsf{RandomShuffle}(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$. Output $(\hat{\mathsf{G}} = (\hat{g}_1, \dots, \hat{g}_{|C|}), \hat{\mathsf{In}})$

Garbled Circuits: Construction (contd.)

<u>Think</u>: Why is RandomShuffle necessary?

Eval($\hat{\mathsf{G}}, \hat{\mathsf{In}}_x$):

- Parse $\hat{\mathsf{G}} = (\hat{g}_1, \dots, \hat{g}_{|C|}), \ \hat{\mathsf{In}}_x = (k^1, \dots, k^n)$
- Parse $\hat{g}_i = (\hat{g}_i^1, \dots, \hat{g}_i^4)$
- Decrypt each garbled gate \hat{g}_i one-by-one, in a canonical order:
 - Let k^i and k^j be the input wire keys for gate g.
 - Repeat the following for every $p \in [4]$:

$$\alpha_p = \mathsf{Dec}_{k^i}(\mathsf{Dec}_{k^j}(\hat{g}_i^p))$$

If
$$\exists \alpha_p \neq \bot$$
, set $k^{\ell} = \alpha_p$

• Let out_i be the value obtained for each output wire i. Output $\mathsf{out} = (\mathsf{out}_1, \dots, \mathsf{out}_n)$



Secure Computation from Garbled Circuits

A plausible strategy for computing C(x,y) using Garbled Circuits:

- A generates a garbled circuit for $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ along with garbled wire keys for first and second input to C
- ullet A sends the garbled wire keys corresponding to its input x along with the garbled circuit to B
- However, in order to evaluate the garbled circuit on (x, y), B also needs the garbled wire keys corresponding to its input y
- Possible Solution: A sends all the wire keys corresponding to the second input of C to B
- **Problem:** In this case, B can not only compute C(x, y) but also C(x, y') for any y' of its choice!
- Solution: A will transmit the garbled wire keys corresponding to B's input using Oblivious Transfer!

Secure Computation from Garbled Circuits: Details

Ingredients: Garbling scheme (Garble, Eval), 1-out-of-2 OT scheme $\mathsf{OT} = (S,R)$

Common Input: Circuit C for $f(\cdot, \cdot)$

A's input: $x = x_1, ..., x_n, B$'s input: $y = y_1, ..., y_n$

Protocol $\Pi = (A, B)$:

- $A \to B$: $A \text{ computes } (\hat{G}, \hat{\mathsf{ln}}) \leftarrow \mathsf{Garble}(C)$. Parse $\hat{\mathsf{ln}} = (\hat{\mathsf{ln}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathsf{ln}}_{2n})$ where $\hat{\mathsf{ln}}_i = (k_0^i, k_1^i)$. Set $\hat{\mathsf{ln}}_x = (k_{x_1}^1, \dots, k_{x_n}^n)$. Send $(\hat{\mathsf{G}}, \hat{\mathsf{ln}}_x)$ to B.
- $A \leftrightarrow B$: For every $i \in [n]$, A and B run $\mathsf{OT} = (S, R)$ where A plays sender S with input (k_0^{n+i}, k_1^{n+i}) and B plays receiver R with input y_i . Let $\hat{\mathsf{In}}_y = (k_{y_1}^{n+1}, \dots, k_{y_n}^{2n})$ be the outputs of the n OT executions received by B.
 - $B: B \text{ outputs Eval}(\hat{\mathsf{G}}, \hat{\mathsf{ln}}_x, \hat{\mathsf{ln}}_y)$

Intuition for Security

Property 1: For every wire i, B only learns one of the two wire keys:

- Input wires: For input wires corresponding to A's input, it follows from protocol description. For input wires corresponding to B's input, it follows from security of OT
- Internal Wires: Follows from the security of the encryption scheme

Property 2: B does not know whether the key corresponds to wire value being 0 or 1 (except the keys corresponding to its own input wires).

Overall, B only learns the output and nothing else. A does not learn anything (in particular, B's input remains hidden from A due to security of OT)

Additional Reading: Read security proof from [Lindell-Pinkas'04]