Hard Core Predicate

600.442: Modern Cryptography

Fall 2016

Last Time

- Proof via Reduction: f_{\times} is a weak OWF
- Amplification: From weak to strong OWFs

Today - Part I

- What do OWFs Hide?
- Hard Core Predicate
- Concluding Remarks on OWFs

What OWFs Hide

- The concept of OWFs is simple and concise
- But OWFs often not very useful by themselves
- It only guarantees that f(x) hides x but nothing more!
 - E.g., it may not hide first bit of x,
 - \bullet Or even first half bits of x
 - Or ANY subset of bits
- In fact: if $\mathbf{a}(x)$ is some information about x, we don't know if f(x) will hide $\mathbf{a}(x)$ for any non-trivial $\mathbf{a}(\cdot)$

Is there any non-trivial function of x, even 1 bit, that OWFs hide?

Hard Core Predicate

- ullet A hard core predicate for a OWF f
 - is a function over its inputs $\{x\}$
 - its output is a single bit (called "hard core bit")
 - it can be easily computed given x
 - but "hard to compute" given only f(x)
- <u>Intuition</u>: f may leak many bits of x but it does not leak the hard-core bit.
- In other words, learning the hardcore bit of x, even given f(x), is "as hard as" inverting f itself.
- Think: What does "hard to compute" mean for a single bit?
 - you can always guess the bit with probability 1/2.

Hard Core Predicate: Definition

• Hard-core bit cannot be learned or "predicted" or "computed" with probability $> \frac{1}{2} + \mu(|x|)$ even given f(x)

Definition (Hard Core Predicate)

A predicate $h: \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}$ is a hard-core predicate for $f(\cdot)$ if h is efficiently computable given x and there exists a negligible function ν s.t. for every non-uniform PPT adversary \mathcal{A} and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\Pr\left[x \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(1^n, f(x)) = h(x)\right] \le \frac{1}{2} + \nu(n).$$

Hard Core Predicate: Construction

- Can we construct hard-core predicates for general OWFs f?
- Define $\langle x, r \rangle$ to be the **inner product** function mod 2. I.e.,

$$\langle x, r \rangle = \left(\sum_{i} x_i r_i\right) \mod 2$$

Theorem (Goldreich-Levin)

Let f be a OWF (OWP). Define function

$$g(x,r) = (f(x),r)$$

where |x| = |r|. Then g is a OWF (OWP) and

$$h(x,r) = \langle x, r \rangle$$

is a hard-core predicate for f



Proof?

- Proof via Reduction?
- Main challenge: Adversary \mathcal{A} for h only outputs 1 bit. Need to build an inverter \mathcal{B} for f that outputs n bits.

Warmup Proof (1)

- Assumption: Given g(x,r) = (f(x),r), adversary \mathcal{A} always (i.e., with probability 1) outputs h(x,r) correctly
- Inverter \mathcal{B} :
 - Compute $x_i^* \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(f(x), e_i)$ for every $i \in [n]$ where:

$$e_i = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{(i-1)\text{-times}}, 1, \dots, 0)$$

• Output $x^* = x_1^* \dots x_n^*$

Warmup Proof (2)

- Assumption: Given g(x,r) = (f(x),r), adversary \mathcal{A} outputs h(x,r) with probability $3/4 + \varepsilon(n)$ (over choices of (x,r))
- Main Problem: Adversary may not work on "improper" inputs (e.g., $r = e_i$ as in previous case)
- Main Idea: Split each query into two queries s.t. each query individually looks random
- Inverter \mathcal{B} :
 - Let $a := \mathcal{A}(f(x), e_i + r)$ and $b := \mathcal{A}(f(x), r)$, for $r \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}^n$
 - Compute $c := a \oplus b$
 - $c = x_i$ with probability $\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ (Union Bound)
 - Repeat and take majority to obtain x_i^* s.t. $x_i^* = x_i$ with prob. $1 \mathsf{negl}(n)$
 - Output $x^* = x_1^* \dots x_n^*$



Full Proof

Homework!

- Goldreich-Levin Theorem extremely influential even outside cryptography
- Applications to learning, list-decoding codes, extractors,...
- Extremely useful tool to add to your toolkit

Final Remarks

- One-way functions are necessary for most of cryptography
- But often not sufficient. *Black-box* separations known [Impagliazzo-Rudich'89]; full separations not known
- Additional Reading: Universal One-way Functions
 - Suppose somebody tells you that OWFs exist! E.g., they might discover a proof for it!
 - But they don't tell you what this function is. E.g., even they might not know the function! They just have a proof of its existence...
 - Can you use this fact to build an **explicit** OWF?
 - Yes! Levin gives us a method!