

Lecture 4: Pseudorandomness

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.
- Ensemble of Probability Distribution

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.
- Ensemble of Probability Distribution
 - $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, X_n is a probability distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ for some polynomial $\ell(\cdot)$

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.
- Ensemble of Probability Distribution
 - $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, X_n is a probability distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ for some polynomial $\ell(\cdot)$
- Computational Indistinguishability

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.
- Ensemble of Probability Distribution
 - $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, X_n is a probability distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ for some polynomial $\ell(\cdot)$
- Computational Indistinguishability
 - Any n.u. PPT D can distinguish $\{X_n\}$ from $\{Y_n\}$ with only negligible probability

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.
- Ensemble of Probability Distribution
 - $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, X_n is a probability distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ for some polynomial $\ell(\cdot)$
- Computational Indistinguishability
 - Any n.u. PPT D can distinguish $\{X_n\}$ from $\{Y_n\}$ with only negligible probability
- Property 1: Closure under Efficient Operations

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.
- Ensemble of Probability Distribution
 - $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, X_n is a probability distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ for some polynomial $\ell(\cdot)$
- Computational Indistinguishability
 - Any n.u. PPT D can distinguish $\{X_n\}$ from $\{Y_n\}$ with only negligible probability
- Property 1: Closure under Efficient Operations
 - Efficient processing cannot help distinguish computationally indistinguishable distributions

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.
- Ensemble of Probability Distribution
 - $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, X_n is a probability distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ for some polynomial $\ell(\cdot)$
- Computational Indistinguishability
 - Any n.u. PPT D can distinguish $\{X_n\}$ from $\{Y_n\}$ with only negligible probability
- Property 1: Closure under Efficient Operations
 - Efficient processing cannot help distinguish computationally indistinguishable distributions
- Property 2: Transitivity (aka, the “Hybrid Lemma”)

Last Lecture

- Example of Reduction
 - Hardness of Factoring \implies Weak One-Way Function
 - (Intuition) Weak One-Way Func. \implies Strong One-Way Func.
- Ensemble of Probability Distribution
 - $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, X_n is a probability distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ for some polynomial $\ell(\cdot)$
- Computational Indistinguishability
 - Any n.u. PPT D can distinguish $\{X_n\}$ from $\{Y_n\}$ with only negligible probability
- Property 1: Closure under Efficient Operations
 - Efficient processing cannot help distinguish computationally indistinguishable distributions
- Property 2: Transitivity (aka, the “Hybrid Lemma”)
 - If first and last hybrids are comp. distinguishable, then at least a pair of consecutive hybrids are comp. distinguishable

Distinguishing vs Prediction

Distinguishing vs Prediction

Definition (Prediction Advantage)

$$\max_{\mathcal{A}} \Pr[b \xrightarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}, t \leftarrow X_n^b : \mathcal{A}(t) = b] - \frac{1}{2}$$

Distinguishing vs Prediction

Definition (Prediction Advantage)

$$\max_{\mathcal{A}} \Pr[b \xrightarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}, t \leftarrow X_n^b : \mathcal{A}(t) = b] - \frac{1}{2}$$

- Comp. Indistinguishability \iff Negl. Prediction Advantage

Distinguishing vs Prediction

Definition (Prediction Advantage)

$$\max_{\mathcal{A}} \Pr[b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}, t \leftarrow X_n^b : \mathcal{A}(t) = b] - \frac{1}{2}$$

- Comp. Indistinguishability \iff Negl. Prediction Advantage
 - Think: Comp. Indistinguishability \Rightarrow Negl. Prediction Advantage?

Distinguishing vs Prediction

Definition (Prediction Advantage)

$$\max_{\mathcal{A}} \Pr[b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}, t \leftarrow X_n^b : \mathcal{A}(t) = b] - \frac{1}{2}$$

- Comp. Indistinguishability \iff Negl. Prediction Advantage
 - Think: Comp. Indistinguishability \Rightarrow Negl. Prediction Advantage?
 - Think: Comp. Indistinguishability \Leftarrow Negl. Prediction Advantage?

Distinguishing vs Prediction (contd.)

Lemma (Prediction Lemma)

Let $\{X_n^0\}$ and $\{X_n^1\}$ be ensembles of probability distributions. Let D be a n.u. PPT that $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ -distinguishes $\{X_n^0\}$ and $\{X_n^1\}$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, \exists n.u. PPT \mathcal{A} s.t.

$$\Pr[b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}, t \leftarrow X_n^b : \mathcal{A}(t) = b] - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{2}$$

for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Distinguishing vs Prediction (contd.)

Lemma (Prediction Lemma)

Let $\{X_n^0\}$ and $\{X_n^1\}$ be ensembles of probability distributions. Let D be a n.u. PPT that $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ -distinguishes $\{X_n^0\}$ and $\{X_n^1\}$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, \exists n.u. PPT \mathcal{A} s.t.

$$\Pr[b \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}, t \leftarrow X_n^b : \mathcal{A}(t) = b] - \frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{2}$$

for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- Think: Proof?

Pseudorandom Distributions

Pseudorandom Distributions

- How to test that a string is “random-looking?”

Pseudorandom Distributions

- How to test that a string is “random-looking?”
 - Roughly same number of 0s and 1s

Pseudorandom Distributions

- How to test that a string is “random-looking?”
 - Roughly same number of 0s and 1s
 - Roughly same number of 00s and 11s

Pseudorandom Distributions

- How to test that a string is “random-looking?”
 - Roughly same number of 0s and 1s
 - Roughly same number of 00s and 11s
 - Given any prefix, hard to guess next bit

Pseudorandom Distributions

- How to test that a string is “random-looking?”
 - Roughly same number of 0s and 1s
 - Roughly same number of 00s and 11s
 - Given any prefix, hard to guess next bit
 - Given any prefix, hard to guess next sequence

Pseudorandom Distributions

- How to test that a string is “random-looking?”
 - Roughly same number of 0s and 1s
 - Roughly same number of 00s and 11s
 - Given any prefix, hard to guess next bit
 - Given any prefix, hard to guess next sequence
 - ...

Pseudorandom Distributions

- How to test that a string is “random-looking?”
 - Roughly same number of 0s and 1s
 - Roughly same number of 00s and 11s
 - Given any prefix, hard to guess next bit
 - Given any prefix, hard to guess next sequence
 - ...

Want: *Strings that pass **all** efficient tests*

Pseudorandom Distributions (contd.)

- Uniform Distribution

Pseudorandom Distributions (contd.)

- Uniform Distribution
 - $U_{\ell(n)}$ denotes uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$

Pseudorandom Distributions (contd.)

- Uniform Distribution
 - $U_{\ell(n)}$ denotes uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$
- Pseudorandomness

Pseudorandom Distributions (contd.)

- Uniform Distribution
 - $U_{\ell(n)}$ denotes uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$
- Pseudorandomness
 - Intuition: A distribution is pseudorandom if it “looks like” a uniform distribution to any efficient test

Pseudorandom Distributions (contd.)

- Uniform Distribution
 - $U_{\ell(n)}$ denotes uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$
- Pseudorandomness
 - Intuition: A distribution is pseudorandom if it “looks like” a uniform distribution to any efficient test

Pseudorandom Distributions (contd.)

- Uniform Distribution
 - $U_{\ell(n)}$ denotes uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$
- Pseudorandomness
 - Intuition: A distribution is pseudorandom if it “looks like” a uniform distribution to any efficient test

Definition (Pseudorandom Ensembles)

An ensemble $\{X_n\}$, where X_n is a distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$, is said to be pseudorandom if:

$$\{X_n\} \approx \{U_{\ell(n)}\}$$

Pseudorandom Distributions (contd.)

- Uniform Distribution
 - $U_{\ell(n)}$ denotes uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$
- Pseudorandomness
 - Intuition: A distribution is pseudorandom if it “looks like” a uniform distribution to any efficient test

Definition (Pseudorandom Ensembles)

An ensemble $\{X_n\}$, where X_n is a distribution over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$, is said to be pseudorandom if:

$$\{X_n\} \approx \{U_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- Think: How to show indistinguishability against *all* efficient tests?

Next-bit Unpredictability

Next-bit Unpredictability

Definition (Next-bit Unpredictability)

An ensemble of distributions $\{X_n\}$ over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ is next-bit unpredictable if, for all $0 \leq i < \ell(n)$ and n.u. PPT \mathcal{A} , \exists negligible function $\nu(\cdot)$ s.t.:

$$\Pr[t = t_1 \dots t_{\ell(n)} \leftarrow X_n : \mathcal{A}(t_1 \dots t_i) = t_{i+1}] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \nu(n)$$

Next-bit Unpredictability

Definition (Next-bit Unpredictability)

An ensemble of distributions $\{X_n\}$ over $\{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ is next-bit unpredictable if, for all $0 \leq i < \ell(n)$ and n.u. PPT \mathcal{A} , \exists negligible function $\nu(\cdot)$ s.t.:

$$\Pr[t = t_1 \dots t_{\ell(n)} \leftarrow X_n : \mathcal{A}(t_1 \dots t_i) = t_{i+1}] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \nu(n)$$

Theorem (Completeness of Next-bit Test)

If $\{X_n\}$ is next-bit unpredictable then $\{X_n\}$ is pseudorandom.

Next-bit Unpredictability \implies Pseudorandomness

$$H_n^{(i)} := \{x \leftarrow X_n, u \leftarrow U_n : x_1 \dots x_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{\ell(n)}\}$$

Next-bit Unpredictability \implies Pseudorandomness

$$H_n^{(i)} := \{x \leftarrow X_n, u \leftarrow U_n : x_1 \dots x_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- First Hybrid: H_n^0 is the uniform distribution $U_{\ell(n)}$

Next-bit Unpredictability \implies Pseudorandomness

$$H_n^{(i)} := \{x \leftarrow X_n, u \leftarrow U_n : x_1 \dots x_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- First Hybrid: H_n^0 is the uniform distribution $U_{\ell(n)}$
- Last Hybrid: $H_n^{\ell(n)}$ is the distribution X_n

Next-bit Unpredictability \implies Pseudorandomness

$$H_n^{(i)} := \{x \leftarrow X_n, u \leftarrow U_n : x_1 \dots x_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- First Hybrid: H_n^0 is the uniform distribution $U_{\ell(n)}$
- Last Hybrid: $H_n^{\ell(n)}$ is the distribution X_n
- Suppose $H_n^{(\ell(n))}$ is next-bit unpredictable but not pseudorandom

Next-bit Unpredictability \implies Pseudorandomness

$$H_n^{(i)} := \{x \leftarrow X_n, u \leftarrow U_n : x_1 \dots x_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- First Hybrid: H_n^0 is the uniform distribution $U_{\ell(n)}$
- Last Hybrid: $H_n^{\ell(n)}$ is the distribution X_n
- Suppose $H_n^{(\ell(n))}$ is next-bit unpredictable but not pseudorandom
- $H_n^{(0)} \not\approx H_n^{(\ell(n))} \implies \exists i \in [\ell(n) - 1]$ s.t. $H_n^{(i)} \not\approx H_n^{(i+1)}$

Next-bit Unpredictability \implies Pseudorandomness

$$H_n^{(i)} := \{x \leftarrow X_n, u \leftarrow U_n : x_1 \dots x_i u_{i+1} \dots u_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- First Hybrid: H_n^0 is the uniform distribution $U_{\ell(n)}$
- Last Hybrid: $H_n^{\ell(n)}$ is the distribution X_n
- Suppose $H_n^{(\ell(n))}$ is next-bit unpredictable but not pseudorandom
- $H_n^{(0)} \not\approx H_n^{(\ell(n))} \implies \exists i \in [\ell(n) - 1]$ s.t. $H_n^{(i)} \not\approx H_n^{(i+1)}$
- Now, next bit unpredictability is violated

Pseudorandom Generators

Pseudorandom Generators

Definition (Pseudorandom Generator)

A pseudorandom generator (PRG) $G: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ is an efficiently computable function, where $\ell(\cdot)$ is a suitable polynomial s.t. $\ell(n) > n$, such that:

$$\{G(U_n)\} \approx \{U_{\ell(n)}\}$$

Pseudorandom Generators

Definition (Pseudorandom Generator)

A pseudorandom generator (PRG) $G: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ is an efficiently computable function, where $\ell(\cdot)$ is a suitable polynomial s.t. $\ell(n) > n$, such that:

$$\{G(U_n)\} \approx \{U_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- 1 Stretches n random bits into $\ell(n)$ pseudorandom bits

Pseudorandom Generators

Definition (Pseudorandom Generator)

A pseudorandom generator (PRG) $G: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ is an efficiently computable function, where $\ell(\cdot)$ is a suitable polynomial s.t. $\ell(n) > n$, such that:

$$\{G(U_n)\} \approx \{U_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- 1 Stretches n random bits into $\ell(n)$ pseudorandom bits
- 2 Impossible unconditionally (need comp. indistinguishability)

Pseudorandom Generators

Definition (Pseudorandom Generator)

A pseudorandom generator (PRG) $G: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$ is an efficiently computable function, where $\ell(\cdot)$ is a suitable polynomial s.t. $\ell(n) > n$, such that:

$$\{G(U_n)\} \approx \{U_{\ell(n)}\}$$

- 1 Stretches n random bits into $\ell(n)$ pseudorandom bits
- 2 Impossible unconditionally (need comp. indistinguishability)
- 3 How to construct PRGs?

Hardcore Predicate

Hardcore Predicate

- Let f be a one-way function

Hardcore Predicate

- Let f be a one-way function
- Intuition: $h(\cdot)$ is hardcore for f if $h(x)$ is hard to predict even if $f(x)$ is given to the adversary

Hardcore Predicate

- Let f be a one-way function
- Intuition: $h(\cdot)$ is hardcore for f if $h(x)$ is hard to predict even if $f(x)$ is given to the adversary

Definition (Hardcore Predicate)

The predicate $h: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is hardcore for $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ if \forall n.u. PPT adversary \mathcal{A} , \exists negligible function $\mu(\cdot)$ such that:

$$\Pr \left[x \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(1^n, f(x)) = h(x) \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \mu(n)$$

Hardcore Predicate

- Let f be a one-way function
- Intuition: $h(\cdot)$ is hardcore for f if $h(x)$ is hard to predict even if $f(x)$ is given to the adversary

Definition (Hardcore Predicate)

The predicate $h: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is hardcore for $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$ if \forall n.u. PPT adversary \mathcal{A} , \exists negligible function $\mu(\cdot)$ such that:

$$\Pr \left[x \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^n : \mathcal{A}(1^n, f(x)) = h(x) \right] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \mu(n)$$

- Hardcore Predicate suffices to construct PRG

Construction Outline: PRG from OWF

- Step 1: OWF (OWP) \implies Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP)

Construction Outline: PRG from OWF

- Step 1: OWF (OWP) \implies Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP)
- Step 2: Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP) \implies One-bit stretch PRG

Construction Outline: PRG from OWF

- Step 1: OWF (OWP) \implies Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP)
- Step 2: Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP) \implies One-bit stretch PRG
- Step 3: One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Construction Outline: PRG from OWF

- Step 1: OWF (OWP) \implies Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP)
- Step 2: Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP) \implies One-bit stretch PRG
- Step 3: One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG
- Today: Step 2 for OWP and Step 3

Construction Outline: PRG from OWF

- Step 1: OWF (OWP) \implies Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP)
- Step 2: Hardcore Predicate for OWF (OWP) \implies One-bit stretch PRG
- Step 3: One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG
- Today: Step 2 for OWP and Step 3
- Step 1 in next lecture

Hardcore Predicate for OWP \implies One-bit stretch PRG

- Construction: $G(s) = f(s) \parallel h(s)$

Hardcore Predicate for OWP \implies One-bit stretch PRG

- Construction: $G(s) = f(s) \parallel h(s)$
- Think: Proof?

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

- Let $G_1 : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

- Let $G_1 : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG
- $X_0 \leftarrow s$

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

- Let $G_1 : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG
- $X_0 \leftarrow s$
- $X_1 \| b_1 \leftarrow G_1(X_0)$

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

- Let $G_1 : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG
- $X_0 \leftarrow s$
- $X_1 \| b_1 \leftarrow G_1(X_0)$
- $X_2 \| b_2 \leftarrow G_1(X_1)$

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

- Let $G_1 : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG
- $X_0 \leftarrow s$
- $X_1 \| b_1 \leftarrow G_1(X_0)$
- $X_2 \| b_2 \leftarrow G_1(X_1)$
- $X_3 \| b_3 \leftarrow G_1(X_2)$

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

- Let $G_1 : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG
- $X_0 \leftarrow s$
- $X_1 \| b_1 \leftarrow G_1(X_0)$
- $X_2 \| b_2 \leftarrow G_1(X_1)$
- $X_3 \| b_3 \leftarrow G_1(X_2)$
- $X_i \| b_i \leftarrow G_1(X_{i-1})$

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

- Let $G_1 : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG
- $X_0 \leftarrow s$
- $X_1 \| b_1 \leftarrow G_1(X_0)$
- $X_2 \| b_2 \leftarrow G_1(X_1)$
- $X_3 \| b_3 \leftarrow G_1(X_2)$
- $X_i \| b_i \leftarrow G_1(X_{i-1})$
- $G_{poly}(s) := b_1 \dots b_{\ell(n)}$

One-bit stretch PRG \implies Poly-stretch PRG

Intuition: Iterate the one-bit stretch PRG poly times

Construction of $G_{poly} : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\ell(n)}$:

- Let $G_1 : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ be a one-bit stretch PRG
- $X_0 \leftarrow s$
- $X_1 \| b_1 \leftarrow G_1(X_0)$
- $X_2 \| b_2 \leftarrow G_1(X_1)$
- $X_3 \| b_3 \leftarrow G_1(X_2)$
- $X_i \| b_i \leftarrow G_1(X_{i-1})$
- $G_{poly}(s) := b_1 \dots b_{\ell(n)}$
- Proof?